

**VILLAGE OF HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
REGULAR MEETING
OCTOBER 21, 2008**

A Regular Meeting was held by the Board of Trustees on Tuesday, October 21, 2008 at 8:05 p.m. in the Meeting Room, Municipal Building, 7 Maple Avenue.

PRESENT: Mayor Wm. Lee Kinnally, Jr., Trustee Peter Swiderski, Trustee Jeremiah Quinlan (8:25 p.m.), Trustee Diggitt McLaughlin, Trustee Danielle Goodman, Village Manager Francis A. Frobel, Village Attorney Marianne Stecich, and Village Clerk Susan Maggiotto.

CITIZENS: Seven (7).

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mayor Kinnally: I believe there was a question raised as to something with the Village Attorney in the Regular Meeting minutes of September 9, 2008.

Trustee McLaughlin: Yes, there was a question. Can we put those over until next time because I do not have my minutes here.

On MOTION of Trustee McLaughlin, SECONDED by Trustee Swiderski with a voice vote of all in favor, the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of October 7, 2008 were approved as presented.

APPROVAL OF WARRANTS

On MOTION of Trustee McLaughlin, seconded by Trustee Swiderski with a voice vote of all in favor, the following Warrants were approved:

Multi-Fund No. 30-2008-09 \$ 74,655.55

Multi-Fund No. 31-2008-09 \$102,100.07

PUBLIC COMMENTS

John Gonder, 153 James Street: At the last Board meeting I brought up the Cropsey painting. I tried to find out if the Hudson River Museum knew anything about who had ownership. They would not comment. However, the sign under it said Board of Education, Hastings-on-Hudson Union-Free School District No. 4. The following day there was a reunion of a couple of classes from Hastings and an alumni association meeting. After the meeting, people went down to the Historic Society and to the Newington Cropsey

Foundation. There was a question on who had ownership. The art director said as far as he knew it was the sole possession of the school board and they could not sell it.

Mayor Kinnally: After you made the inquiry, Ms. Maggiotto looked into it. That is the case: the Board of Education has sole ownership of that painting and it will remain at the Hudson River Museum until they find a suitable home for it.

Jim Metzger, 427 Warburton Avenue: I am as sad for this village tonight as I ever have been in all of the issues I have spoken to you about. A level of censorship was exercised this weekend by somebody in Village government to remove at least one, possibly several, Halloween paintings due to political messages. I thought we were above that. An e-mail went out on Friday evening before the painting was done to say no political or religious messages. I understand the concept of separation of church and state, but it has always been the history of this village to allow people to express themselves and to exercise their First Amendment rights. We are in a political situation in this country where awareness of what is going on is as high as it has ever been. I have a nine-year-old nephew who regularly discusses the presidential race in his elementary school. I believe it was a 14-year-old child who wrote on a wall something to the effect that "a ghoul with lipstick is still a ghoul." There was nothing else on that sign political. It was a painting, it was a message. For somebody in this Village to take it upon themselves to erase that, I find abhorrent. Anybody who condoned that should be ashamed of himself.

I am quite surprised that more of my neighbors are not here. It has been a hot topic of conversation around the Village and it has been on Channel 12 news. I know that our Village Manager had a comment to make on the news and I appreciate that, but I would like to know who took it upon himself and I would like a formal apology to be issued to a 14-year-old child who had his statement taken down without any discussion. I find it horrible.

Mayor Kinnally: Yes, this is an item that has come to our attention. We do not have all the information yet. We would like to have a meeting with counsel immediately following our regular Board meeting to discuss it. Fran has looked into it. Some of what you said may be accurate, may not be accurate, I am not sure. We are looking to find out all the facts. This is a policy that came out of Parks and Rec. We are not going to change or address it until we have an opportunity to discuss it with Ray Gomes, who was unavailable because his father passed away on Friday, and talk to everybody and see what happened.

Jacqueline Lhoumeau, 157 Southside Avenue: I want to follow up on my request to run the sprinklers at the library outside of quiet hours, for example starting at 9 or 10 at night rather than in the middle of the morning. I am woken up almost every single night by the sprinklers.

Village Manager Frobel: Let me speak with Deven and get that timer adjusted. I thought it was going to be shut down by now and winterized.

Ms. Lhoumeau: It will be shut down, but it is going to start up in the spring.

Village Manager Frobel: I can reprogram it.

Ms. Lhoumeau: Could we now decide it should be outside of our official quiet hours? Are they not 11:30 to 7:30?

Mayor Kinnally: I could not tell you. I do not know if we have any official policy.

Village Manager Frobel: At Village hall and the library. Thank you.

Ms. Lhoumeau: Then I brought up the question of the big horn for the fire and ambulance: do we still need it in this day and age.

Mayor Kinnally: I responded that the fire department still believes it is necessary even with electronic pagers, etc.

Village Manager Frobel: Part of the volunteer firefighting force during the workday is the men who work in the DPW. If the machine is running at a certain time they might not hear the pager. A matter of seconds can make a difference, and their preference is to have that horn work during the workday as well.

Ms. Lhoumeau: Could any experiment be made to shorten the hours and see the result? I am wondering if it is a vestige that we keep using because we are used to it. Is it necessary, given the few people who need it and the number of people who are disturbed by it? Back in the '90s we were told in New York State you had to have a secondary form of notification if you had a volunteer department. Is that still true?

Mayor Kinnally: I do not know. I will have to talk to the chiefs about it.

103:00 AWARD OF BID FOR STREET RESURFACING

Village Manager Frobel: The funding source remains unchanged from previous years: \$200,000 from the bond issue previously authorized, and \$75,000 in our operating budget. We will be able to accomplish all 12 streets we had enumerated earlier this summer.

Mayor Kinnally: We also need the particulars of the bid contract: the tonnage, the price. These are the streets: Holly Place, Edison Avenue, Marion Avenue, Curry Road, Ronny Circle, Windsor Road, Devon Way, Derry Lane, Stratford Lane, Darwin Avenue, Summit Drive, and Ravine Drive. Of those there would be an overlay on Holly Place, Edison, Marion, Curry, Ronny Circle; Windsor would be milled and paved; Devon would be milled and paved, as would the balance, Derry, Stratford, Darwin, Summit, and Ravine.

Village Manager Frobel: We joined with Greenburgh and five other villages in the bid. Mike Gunther, Superintendent of Public Works, met with the contractor on Monday. They revisited each of the streets, we went over the calculations again. We are comfortable that we can get all these streets done. We will begin the milling on October 29. In advance of the milling, our crews will be working on raising catchbasins and cutting manholes and keyways. That will result in a savings, which is why we are able to do this at the price we are. The paving should run from November 3 through November 7, weather permitting. We have an estimate that it could come in as low as \$192,000.

Mayor Kinnally: And the timing of this is a function of our having to wait for the Town of Greenburgh's paving to be completed?

Village Manager Frobel: Greenburgh is going to go first, but I am pleased to report that Mike has pressed the contractor and we are close to the being one of the first, if not the first, of the villages to get this work done. I apologize for not having the numbers. I think it was \$92 a ton for the asphalt in place and \$4 and something for a yard of milling, but I do not have those numbers in front of me. I had forgotten those were part of the resolution. I will call Mike to get the numbers.

Trustee Goodman: Was any state money given towards this?

Village Manager Frobel: Yes, the \$75,000 is the state money in our operating budget.

Mayor Kinnally: Why do we not move on to Board discussion and comments.

BOARD DISCUSSION AND COMMENTS

1. Update on the Waterfront

Mayor Kinnally: We have not heard anything further from ARCO on the issue of the testing and the timing. They had indicated that they had hoped to have something in late fall, and I will press them on that.

Trustee Goodman: I had a point to make, and this was raised by a citizen that approached me. It dovetails to questions I had to Mr. Sontchi when he was here on September 23. There still seems to be this perception that the Board of Trustees' failure to act is slowing up the cleanup. I realized that the question raised to me also had to do with the LWRP. When he was here I asked him if there is anything that the Village has failed to do or if there is anything we could do to speed things up or help to move the process along. He basically said, I'll get back to you. With respect to the LWRP, and perhaps this question was raised because we put off our discussion last week and wanted a separate session or two just on the LWRP: has the failure to have passed an LWRP slowed up the waterfront cleanup?

Mayor Kinnally: One has nothing to do with the other. The cleanup on the waterfront is a function of ARCO and the state getting together and agreeing upon the level of cleanup and the engineering for the cleanup for OU-1 as well as for OU-2. The LWRP does not address OU-2. But no, they are completely disconnected as far as moving forward with what the DEC has to do in coming up with a PRAP and the consent decree.

Trustee Goodman: I think you, Mayor, have been diligent. You have been going to meetings with ARCO. We have been meeting with them as available. Diggitt and I took yet another tour in July. I just keep getting these questions from people. Maybe there is a lumping together with the LWRP, which is a land use document that has to do with planning for the future of the clean waterfront. But right now it is a Superfund, and I do not really see anything we could do more.

Mayor Kinnally: I do not think it is a Superfund site. It may be a listed site, but it is not a Superfund site because no Superfund monies will be used. ARCO has agreed to remediate it on its own dime.

Trustee Goodman: Then maybe I am thinking of the south end. Is that a Superfund site? Because I do not think Exxon has committed any monies there.

Mayor Kinnally: They are still discussing it. But certainly it is a listed site.

Trustee Swiderski: I have also heard the concern that the Village's desire to see the buildings possibly saved has contributed to this delay. Categorically that is not true. The engineering studies on the buildings were done concurrently with testing underway, were required for development of the remediation plan, and do not contribute to that delay in any way. So if people are concerned that a desire to preserve history is somehow stalling this process by years, it is not.

Cindy Travis, 427 Warburton Avenue: Is there a time limit on the lawsuit or the consent decree?

Mayor Kinnally: There is no lawsuit. The consent decree has been signed. The only difference is that under the consent decree the Village and ARCO had agreed within a year to designate certain additional open space that would be dedicated, conveyed, but by mutual tacit agreement that has been stretched out because of a number of other things. Other than that, there is nothing else that is time-sensitive in that agreement. The consent decree is the consent decree; it has been signed off by the parties and so ordered by the court.

Ms. Travis: So there really is no time constraint on ARCO to ever do this, correct?

Mayor Kinnally: Do not just lay it at the feet of ARCO; this is not just a function of ARCO. This is a mutual obligation by both ARCO and the DEC to move things forward. ARCO has submitted additional data that was requested by the DEC, and my understanding is that the DEC is analyzing that data and internally trying to come up with an institutional response. There are competing interests and tensions between the DEC and Fish & Wildlife as far as what to do with the site, with capping, with dredging, with penetrating certain areas. I am not here to come to the defense of ARCO, but it is not simply ARCO. This is a collaboration, and you need two to tango and I do not know what they are doing.

Trustee McLaughlin: Joe Sontchi is the geologist who was here a few weeks ago. He has been doing this kind of work for a number of years, and he said that his experience with the New York State DEC was probably the best of any state where he had worked. He has worked with another site in New York State, and he commented on the professionalism and education of the people at the DEC, and the fact that people view the DEC as a career and not a springboard into a consultancy. After the talks I have had with him I felt reassured about what is going to happen. The people I know at the DEC are career people and are totally dedicated to the river. One of the reasons this is stretching out is that it is a hugely complicated pollution and has needed a rethinking of engineering processes to figure out how to deal with it.

Ms. Travis: I understand all that, and I have listened very carefully in the many years I have been coming to these meetings. But in any other realm it would be pointed out that this has gone on too long, what is holding this up. It cannot be that these two major entities are just taking more time. I get the feeling that ARCO really has no motivation to finish.

Mayor Kinnally: You may have that feeling, but my perception in discussing it with both the DEC and with ARCO is that that is not the case; they have been responsive. I cannot tell you as far as the time limits, but they have certainly been responsive in providing to the DEC

the additional information that the DEC has requested. They have told us that they have a timetable and hope to get this information back from the DEC such that they can go out for PRAP and all the hearings in the first quarter of 2009.

Ms. Travis: I heard him say that it will take two years to design the cleanup. This village, as all the river towns, is going to go into a financial crisis without having anything moved ahead. There are a lot of citizens talking about what can be done even in partial areas: the Exxon area that is doing absolutely nothing, for example. I do not think we have a choice to sit around and do nothing for ten years. Maybe we have to be the stick poking the animal.

103:00 AWARD OF BID FOR STREET RESURFACING (continued)

On MOTION of Trustee Quinlan, SECONDED by Trustee Goodman the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees award the bid for street resurfacing to Core Construction as follows:

Top: \$91.00 / ton
Milling: \$4.20 / sq. yd.

for an amount not to exceed \$275,000, to be paid from bond proceeds (\$200,000) and General Fund (\$75,000).

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Peter Swiderski	X	
Trustee Jeremiah Quinlan	X	
Trustee Diggitt McLaughlin	X	
Trustee Danielle Goodman	X	
Mayor Wm. Lee Kinnally, Jr.	X	

Trustee Swiderski: This is an experiment in shared services. This is the first time we have gone in on a bid of this size with five other communities. In any experiment, you want to see if it is successful. The metric here is to see if there is any way we can find a community roughly our size, in the last couple of months, that has bid for this sort of contract alone, and get a point of comparison: is this hassle of working with five other communities worth our effort? Did we do better on the milling as a result, which is less influenced by the price of oil, and overall how does this compare to a community roughly our size that did it alone?

Mayor Kinnally: It is a good point, but timing is sensitive, too. If it was awarded on August 15 it might be different.

Trustee Swiderski: But that is why milling may be the only proxy for whether this is worth it.

Mayor Kinnally: We might go back to Core and say to them, how would you have reacted if we had gone in alone. I do not know if, in this case, the volume is . . .

Trustee Swiderski: That is the question.

Trustee Goodman: Fran raised the issue of the asphalt best placed in the warmer weather. Even if we have gotten a better price, if this does not hold up, what have we gained and is it better to wait until spring?

Village Manager Frobel: Mike will be on the site and he will be closely monitoring it. The key is going to be the temperature of the asphalt.

Trustee McLaughlin: Am I right that the Town of Greenburgh delayed this? Did we agree to do this many months ago, but it was dilly-dallying on the part of the town government?

Village Manager Frobel: It took longer than we anticipated, yes. It was a struggle to get some of the information we needed to make this work.

Trustee Goodman: So if it turns out we got a better price, and all of this worked out well, would there be a way of telling Greenburgh we would rather do it in the warm weather?

Village Manager Frobel: They knew going in what our preference was, and all the villages had the same apprehension. We would like to do this during the summer when a lot of people are away on vacation. We do not have to hassle with so many parked cars, leaves on the road, you do not have to sweep it as much as you do now. There were a lot of advantages to doing it during the summer, but this is an experiment.

VILLAGE MANAGER'S REPORT

Village Manager Frobel: I had a lovely conversation with John Graham of the VFW, and I conveyed to him your conversation about looking at Spring Street as an option in the renaming of a street to Veterans Way. He was very appreciative and enthused about it. He

was going to take it back to his board of directors. He will be calling me soon with its answer to have you act on it.

Trustee McLaughlin: And our proposal is to make it an honorary name so that people on Spring Street continue to live on Spring Street.

Village Manager Frobel: Exactly, and he realizes that. He thought that was a nice gesture. We are looking to begin the digging of test pits at Quarry Park next week. The only footnote to that now is, with the press of business to get the manholes and the catchbasins raised, our crews will be working on the road resurfacing as a priority. So if that has to slip one week you will have to understand. We cannot be in two places at once, and our priority would be to get these roads ready for resurfacing.

BOARD DISCUSSION AND COMMENTS (continued)

2. Update on the Comprehensive Plan Committee

Trustee Quinlan: They have a meeting this Wednesday, and I understand they are going to discuss the request for proposals from the consultant. Mr. Metzger, could you bring us up to date, if there is anything I am missing?

Mr. Metzger, Comprehensive Plan Committee: We are trying to get a consultant on board. We have spent a little over a year discussing the issues surrounding the Village. We have held many meetings throughout the Village, small meetings and large meetings, and have compiled a huge database of information to pass off to a consultant. A subcommittee of our group put together an RFP that is now out on the Village web site. It was sent to a number of firms individually, and it is available on the web sites of certain national organizations. We are hoping to start getting some results back in December or January. At that point the Board of Trustees and our group will be reviewing these and we will narrow it down to three groups. In the next four or five months we will have somebody on board and will be moving out of the investigation phase and into the production phase.

3. Steep Slope Changes

Trustee Quinlan: Fran and I have been e-mailing each other about having a member of the Planning Board, Mr. Cameron, come and explain to us more about the steep slope changes. We could all use some further education on it. I would like to see Mr. Cameron invited, if time permits and the schedule permits, to the next Board meeting. He is available on the 28th.

4. Other

Trustee Swiderski: A month ago a call was issued looking for volunteers interested in trail maintenance. The Village lost its biggest advocate for the Village trails when Fred Hubbard passed away several months ago. Something profoundly underappreciated by all of us is the role Fred played as the eyes and ears reporting back downed limbs and serious washouts in the woods to Parks and Rec. With the loss of that, and his ability to organize volunteers, especially on Rowley's Bridge Trail, there has been a hole.

A number of people have informally banded together, and apparently it is going to take ten of us to replace Fred, to try to come up with a way to report back to the Village and organize volunteers. We do not want to replace what the Village should be doing, but do the low-level cleanup and identification of bigger projects as well. We are talking informally and trying to beat out a framework where we do not overlap with the Village's responsibilities, with something sustainable that the volunteers will actually do. We are engaging in a preliminary survey to understand what would be involved in upkeep, and we will try to put something coherent together that will memorialize the services Fred provided this Village in a way that will provide the Village with real service, and bring it to the Board.

Trustee McLaughlin: So are you asking for volunteers?

Trustee Swiderski: Not yet, but we will be.

Trustee Goodman: This was a loose end: the lawsuit, the Bernstein lawsuit. We had an issue about how our counsel was billing us, and I was wondering if you had a chance to talk to them.

Mayor Kinnally: I think I reported on that, that I had talked to Rick and Rick took my comments and criticisms. His assistant e-mailed me a bill. I wrote back saying I believe we paid this. They e-mailed me back saying this is for additional work. I do not know the nature of the billing. Other than looking at the first page I never went into the backup, but I will forward that tomorrow.

Trustee Goodman: I agreed that this was an important lawsuit. Peter made the point that the bill was maybe \$2 per household. My point is, we should try to get our value. When I sign checks, Fran or Susan has checked out to see if we have gotten the value for the services, we got the goods. That is easy. Legal services are different. If the bills are not specified as to what is being done it is hard for us to say something should come off the bill or the bill is fair.

Trustee Quinlan: Lee, have we received or is that brief due to the court of appeals?

Mayor Kinnally: I do not know the timing of it, Jerry.

Trustee Quinlan: I would like to see a copy of the brief along with the bill.

Mayor Kinnally: Sure. Fran just passed me the signed agreement he received from the Newington Cropsey Foundation for the increase to \$65,000 per year on their contribution to the Village, effective June 1, 2008. Now that I have this in hand, I am going to call Mr. Sterlocchi and talk to him about the sign and the money that is owed to the Village for the last fiscal year and the current one.

Mayor Kinnally: I need a motion for an executive session on personnel items.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

On MOTION of Trustee McLaughlin, SECONDED by Trustee Swiderski with a voice vote of all in favor, the Board scheduled an Executive Session immediately following the Regular Meeting to discuss personnel.

Trustee Goodman: When are we taking up the parking in the commuter lot again?

Trustee McLaughlin: I think the Board gave you and me permission to set up something like a public meeting where we start out with what we all agree with, then explore the areas of disagreement.

Trustee Goodman: But is this on the agenda for the next meeting?

Mayor Kinnally: No. I think you wanted to have that meeting first before we act on it. I think there was general consensus.

Trustee Goodman: No, I thought we got permission so long as we did not slow up what the Village management needed.

Trustee Quinlan: My understanding was that the Village Manager had the authority to determine the terms of the lottery, that that did not need Board action, that that was a Village administration policy.

Trustee McLaughlin: For instance, people have suggested changing the proportion of one type of parking to another.

Trustee Goodman: So would that be within the purview of having a roundtable or a citizens committee?

Village Manager Frobel: That would be helpful to me.

Mayor Kinnally: But that would have a revenue impact because to the extent that we change the mix and we need additional meters the Village is going to have to buy additional meters. We have had discussions about the mix.

Village Clerk Maggiotto: I would like to remind everyone that there is no place to put additional meters without altering the lot. You cannot just plunk them in the ground because they will get knocked over. We have meters in every place that we possibly can under the present configuration.

Mayor Kinnally: When we reconfigured the lot we took out some of the islands. Is that correct?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Yes, there are no islands in the central portion, which we wanted for a number of different reasons.

Trustee Goodman: We have heard from people wanting more meters, but I heard from someone who said on certain days, if he gets there beyond a certain hour, there are not enough permit spaces. So it depends on the day and the time. We need the roundtable.

Trustee McLaughlin: We cannot have it until after November 4. So perhaps you and I should put our heads together and come up with a date maybe as early as November 10.

Mayor Kinnally: A number of ideas have gone back and forth. But as Susan pointed out, on some of these there are physical restrictions to doing what may seem obvious. She is right, you cannot just put these stanchions in there because they will be bent pretty quickly.

Trustee Quinlan: Are we saying that if we wanted to increase the meters as opposed to the permit spaces, we cannot do that because there is no place to put the meters?

Mayor Kinnally: There is no curbing to protect them. The Board could decide to do it, but it would mean reconfiguring the lot.

Trustee Quinlan: And then that would be a revenue, and it would take Board action.

Mayor Kinnally: No, it would be an expense.

Trustee Quinlan: Yes, but it might also increase the revenue, so that would take Board action.

Trustee Goodman: The point of the citizens committee is to come up with ideas. And then it is for Village management to pass on the practicality of those ideas. To the extent it needs Board action, they will pass it on. If it is something that is not feasible. . .

Mayor Kinnally: But if it is a configuration thing, it would not be done by the time of the lottery.

Trustee Goodman: The citizens group is totally separate from the lottery, and we understand that.

Trustee Quinlan: What is the timing? When does the Village Manager and his staff have to decide whether to have a lottery every year?

Mayor Kinnally: The Village Board has already said it wants to do a lottery. What the Village Board wants to do after this year is a decision it can make next year, based upon the experience of this year, if they want to keep it permanent or whatever. And that will obviously be a subject of some discussion at the roundtable.

Trustee Quinlan: I have to disagree with you, Lee, about whether the lottery is going to be temporary for one year and then we are going to wait a year to decide. My opinion is to have a lottery and make it a yearly lottery because that is only fair.

Mayor Kinnally: I am reacting to what Susan said, that it is not necessary at this point to say it is going to be an annual lottery.

Trustee Quinlan: But I think it is, for the very reason that people trust government.

Mayor Kinnally: I understand, but I do not know if it is necessary right now to make that decision. I am one of five, I do not know.

Trustee Quinlan: What do my fellow Boardmembers think? Should we put it off for another year or should we make it a yearly lottery?

Trustee Goodman: Why do we not let the lottery take place, have this roundtable, get some feedback. I agree with you, I do not think we should be a place where you lock in these

parking permits, because people, when they are selling their houses, need to be able to represent to the prospective buyer that this is a place where you get parking for the train. If the seller says we have a yearly lottery and that is how it is handled, it sounds like a fair thing, and if you miss out on the lottery, then there is parking at meters.

Mayor Kinnally: Jerry, I agree with you. I think the fairest thing is to have an annual lottery. But I do not know if it is necessary to say right now that that is what is going to happen, because we may need more experience. But I think it is unfair to say if you are out of luck in 2009 you are out of luck for a number of years until there are openings and you can move up the list. I agree with that completely.

Trustee Goodman: If we are determined to do a lottery, then we should promulgate those rules and publicize them, and be willing to change them if someone has a good idea. We have all received e-mails with a number of ideas.

Mayor Kinnally: I agree, but given the lead time and the upcoming holidays I would think that all has to be in place by Thanksgiving. But the e-mails have generated some interesting thoughts, and I think it is food for thought.

Trustee Swiderski: It is after October 15 and leaf blowers are now usable. When we passed this law we talked about having a discussion about its effectiveness and if there was a need to play with the dates or any of the concepts. Could we put that on the agenda for the next meeting?

Village Manager Frobel: We have started that process. I wrote a memo to myself today with my experience over the summer, and I gave a directive to the lieutenant to go through the police records to see what their experience has been. The press now is going to be the paving, the testing at the quarry, and a few other items. If you will give me a little more time, I was aiming for early December to have a report to you.

Trustee Goodman: The leaf blower law has not even been in effect for a year. Your first LWRP draft came out in 2001. The leaf blowers got taken care of and the LWRP did not. I think we should put our feet to the fire and put everything else aside that we possibly can and take care of that, because that document being undone is a black eye.

Mayor Kinnally: Well, the document is done. There is certain action that we need to have. We need to have a series of bullet points from the Manager or the Planner on exactly what the Board has to address.

Trustee Goodman: But it is very complicated, and it takes time and brainpower. Between that and the leaf blowers, the leaf blowers can wait until January or February and let us get done what we have to do. There are outstanding grants to deal with and everything else.

ADJOURNMENT

On MOTION of Trustee McLaughlin, SECONDED by Trustee Swiderski with a voice vote of all in favor, Mayor Kinnally adjourned the Regular Meeting at 9:00 p.m.