

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING

DECEMBER 15, 2003

7:30 PM – MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING – HANOVER, NH

The meeting of the Board of Selectmen was called to order at 7:30 pm by the Chairman, Brian Walsh. Present were: Brian Walsh, Chairman; Katherine Connolly, Vice-Chairman; William "Bill" Baschnagel; Peter Christie; Judson "Jay" Pierson; Julia Griffin, Town Manager; and members of the public.

1. PUBLIC COMMENT

Winnie Stearns, of 5 Dorrance Place, read a written statement she prepared for the Selectboard regarding the Planning Board's approval of Dartmouth College's South Block project. Mrs. Stearns argued that the public was "essentially eliminated from the discussion" during the Site Plan Review held December 9, 2003. She asked that the hearing be reopened. Mrs. Stearns also submitted a Complaint Summary, authored by G. Milbury and R. White of the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES), which outlined communications between Mrs. Stearns and NHDES regarding the proposed ventilation of a 95-car underground parking garage also associated with the South Block project. Selectman Walsh asked to discuss this issue at the Selectboard's January 5, 2004 meeting. Mrs. Stearns agreed.

2. REVIEW OF THE FY 2002-2003 AUDIT

Bob Sanderson, of Plodzik & Sanderson, briefly walked the Board through the Financial Statements and Supplemental Schedules his firm prepared for the Town. Beginning with the General Fund, he explained that the Unreserved, Undesignated Fund Balance at the start of the fiscal year was \$930,728. Deducted from that was \$248,243 which was used to reduce the 2002 Tax Rate. Added back in was a budget surplus of \$203,387 (created from a revenue deficit of \$145,655 and an unexpended appropriations balance of \$349,042) and reserve funding for special projects totaling \$134,193. The end result was a \$1,020,065 balance in the Unreserved, Undesignated Fund Balance on July 30, 2003. Selectman Walsh pointed out that it was normal practice to operate with an Undesignated Fund Balance between 5% and 10% of the budget and that \$1,020,065 was within that range for Hanover's budget. Town Manager Griffin spoke of the efforts the Town has made over the last few years to reduce its dependence on the undesignated fund balance to offset tax rate increases.

Mr. Sanderson advised of the listing of Special Revenue Funds included in the audit report and opened the floor to questions. Selectman Walsh said this was the second audit that had been done since Betsy McClain, Director of Administrative Services, became employed by the Town. He mentioned inter-account issues that were reported in the

previous year's audit and asked if those issues had been resolved. Mr. Sanderson said yes and complimented Ms. McClain on her efforts.

Mr. Sanderson spoke of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments, which created a new format for reporting of governmental entities. He said since Hanover did not adopt GASB34 over the last year as was required, his firm was obligated to issue an adverse opinion on the financial statements. He explained that GASB34 was not a state or federal requirement but said that he would recommend that the Town still adopt it. Mr. Walsh said the question was whether the Town wanted to spend the taxpayers' money to hire outside people to help conform. He suggested the work could be done internally over a three or four year period. Ms. McClain stated that there were State and Federal resources available to help with that process. She said if adopted the Town would have to report the value of assets that were acquired or significantly enhanced since 1980. Town Manager Griffin said that many people among her professional circles resented having to come up with those estimates and questioned the purpose. She said in the smaller towns this would take up a lot of staff time that should be spent on more important community issues.

Selectman Pierson asked if not adopting GASB34 would affect the Town's bond rating. Mr. Sanderson said from what he understood it would not affect the rating or federal funding initially. Mr. Pierson asked if a warning would be given prior to penalties being assessed for non-compliance. Mr. Sanderson said the State would have to develop new guidelines on the reports they would accept, which might allow towns to not adopt GASB34.

Selectman Walsh said the issue of GASB34 would be further discussed as part of the budget process. He thanked Mr. Sanderson for reporting and closed the review.

3. PUBLIC HEARING FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PHASED TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES ON HOVEY LANE, BUELL STREET AND CURRIER STREET

Town Manager Griffin explained that the Selectboard had adopted a Traffic Calming Policy a year prior to help staff address neighborhood complaints of traffic volume and speed. A neighborhood proposal for traffic calming was brought to the Board last summer that was deemed too dramatic in terms of its overall impact. Staff was asked to work with the neighborhood to develop a compromised set of traffic calming options. The goal in this neighborhood would be to make these streets less convenient for outside residents to use without closing the streets off to neighborhood residents' use only.

Ms. Griffin stated that the current proposal was to implement some modifications in two phases, due to seasonal weather constraints. Phase One involved adding two temporary narrowings; one at the bend in the road on Hovey Lane, adjacent to the end of metered parking, and the other at the entrance of Buell Street, off Currier Place. These

narrowings could be replaced with permanent, landscaped bump-outs if they prove to be successful. In addition, traffic calming signs would be put up to inform people what is going on. Phase I would be implemented immediately. Phase II would occur in the spring and would involve adding rubberized speed bumps on Hovey Lane, Buell Street, and Currier Place. The speed bumps could also be replaced with permanent speed tables if also proven successful. Traffic counts and neighborhood surveys would be conducted after each phase on all of these streets, as well as Dorrance Place, to measure impacts. Ms. Griffin said a three-way stop sign, to be located at the corner of Currier Place and Dorrance Place, was being considered as part of Dartmouth's South Block project and would not be proposed as part of this traffic calming effort.

Selectman Walsh mentioned the chicane experiment that was done in this neighborhood two years prior that was unsuccessful. He asked how the current proposal differed from that effort. Ms. Griffin explained that the narrowings would be located in different places than the chicanes. She added that the chicanes failed because they did not look legitimate. They were run over, physically taken apart by people, or bypassed via Ledyard Lane. People did not understand their intended purpose. She said the challenge was to find a device that would make people slow or stop, without it posing as a hazard to people who might not see it.

Selectman Walsh commented on the vandalism that had occurred during the traffic count attempts. He asked if that occurred in other areas of town. Pete Kulbacki, Director of Public Works, confirmed that all four counters were vandalized but said he was able to obtain reasonably accurate counts. He said this was not an issue in other parts of town.

Selectman Connolly spoke favorably of the proposed plan. She asked if the positioning of the narrowing on Buell Street would create a queue on Main Street. Mr. Kulbacki said no and explained that 95% of the peak traffic ran in one direction in the morning and the other direction in the afternoon.

Selectman Baschnagel asked of the specific language proposed for the signs. Mr. Kulbacki said that the following was being considered: "Yield to On-Coming Traffic", "No Through Traffic", "Traffic Calming Ahead", and "Speed Bumps". Ms. Griffin said it would be important to place informational leaflets on the cars parked around the high school to advise of these changes and their purpose. Anne Morris, of 9 King Road, cautioned that the phrasing "traffic calming" was not precise enough. She asked that the sign language be more specific and suggested, "Traffic Control Structures Ahead". Selectman Christie agreed stating that he would like to see signage that clearly states the objective of this project.

John Stearns, of 5 Dorrance Place, congratulated the Selectboard for not blocking off the streets as was previously proposed by the neighborhood. He mentioned the effectiveness of the use of speed dips in the Maynard Lot and Thompson Lot and asked that the Board consider dips as an alternative to the proposed speed bumps. Mr. Stearns also asked that the construction of the 95-car garage, the current traffic flow, and the possibility of

making the end of East South Street open to two-way traffic be considered as a whole with respect to their traffic implications to this area.

Winnie Stearns, of 5 Dorrance Place, said adding a stop sign at the end of Currier Place, fifty feet from an existing sign, would give a false sense of security. She said seldom do people stop at stop signs. She asked that the used car lot on Buell Street be cleaned up, stating that cars were endlessly parked in the right-of-way.

Bill Boyle, of 12 Buell Street, spoke favorably of the proposal. He questioned the proposed location of a "25 mph" speed zone sign near Ledyard Lane, as it was in a 20 mph school zone. He also suggested that the speed bumps on Buell Street should be located in places where vehicles could not drive onto adjacent lawns to avoid them. Dr. Boyle further suggested that the Town needed to look at reducing people's dependence on cars in general. He said currently people could not safely walk on Hovey Lane. He encouraged the Town to provide a student drop-off area on Lebanon Street or Route 10.

Caroline Gilbert, of 6 Hovey Lane, asked if one side of the narrowings would have a right-of-way over the other. Mr. Kulbacki said no, "Yield" signs would be posted on both sides.

Bryant Denk, of 5 Hovey Lane, endorsed Mr. Stearns' speed dip proposal and the notion of distributing informational leaflets prior to the installation of the traffic calming devices. He advised of the past practice of people gunning their engines after having passed through the chicanes to make up for lost time. Mr. Denk asked if rumble strips were considered. Mr. Kulbacki said no, they were hard on bikes and were pretty loud. Speed bumps and dips were intended to slow people down. The dips, however, filled with snow and ice, which deteriorates pavement faster than a speed bump would.

Joanna Jackson, 8 Dorrance Place, questioned the purpose of placing speed bumps on Currier Place and objected to the notion of installing a stop sign at the intersection of Currier Place and Dorrance Place. She too cautioned that people would speed up at the end of Currier Place or even onto Lebanon Street to make up for lost time caused by the speed bumps. Town Manager Griffin explained that traffic counts would be done to measure the impact to Currier Place and Dorrance Place from the traffic calming that would be done on Hovey Lane and Buell Street. If needed, speed bumps would be installed on Currier Place in the spring to inhibit its use. She said that the proposed locations of the speed bumps were open to discussion.

Margo Lewin, of 3 Hovey Lane, pointed out that an advantage to the permanent narrowing was that pedestrian lanes could be constructed within them.

Judith White, of 15 Currier Place, spoke of the numerous school-aged children who live in this area and said it was dangerous for these children to walk these streets. She pointed out that the only sidewalk in the neighborhood was on Currier Place. She asked that the Board make the neighborhood safe for pedestrians, as well as address the traffic issues.

It was MOVED by Selectman Baschnagel and SECONDED by Selectman Pierson to try the plan out and move ahead with Phase I.

Selectman Walsh asked if staff should amend the current proposal, based on suggestions made at the current public hearing and present a revised proposal to the Board. Selectman Baschnagel suggested that staff could work with the neighborhood representatives and press ahead. Town Manager Griffin pointed out that many of the pedestrian issues could be addressed as part of the high school renovation project. She asked the people of this neighborhood to email her with any possible pedestrian access ways that could be entertained as part of Site Plan Review for that project. Some mentioned at the current meeting included a Town right-of-way along the power lines between Currier Place and Hovey Lane, an existing walkway between the Howe Library and Hovey Lane, and a path that leads up from the field hockey fields.

THE SELECTMEN VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TRY THE PLAN OUT AND MOVE AHEAD WITH PHASE I.

4. DISCUSSION WITH THE PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD RELATIVE TO A SKATEBOARD PARK

Town Manager Griffin advised that Roger Lohr, of the Parks & Recreation Board, had researched the issue of a skateboard park in depth and had put together a very detailed report that was then reviewed by the Parks & Recreation Board. That Board then developed a series of recommended steps that they were now asking the Selectboard to consider. They were as follows:

1. "Seek residents from Hanover and other participating organization representatives to be the lead contacts, who would direct a hired skateboard park designer. Together they would be known as the Outdoor Teen Recreation Facility Task Force (OTRF). OTRF is to research options and prepare a presentation for various interested organizations.
2. Try to join with Improvement Society, Norwich, and Dresden School District to underwrite the upfront costs of outdoor teen recreation facility development. If Norwich refuses to participate, then there would be additional fees for Norwich residents to use the facility.
3. Plan as a combined outdoor teen facility so that multiple uses are available and incorporated into the overall project.
4. OTRF to seek alternative location sites that fit the parameters as best as possible and create levels of developmental funding needs.
5. OTRF to develop funding plan that would sell park naming rights, sponsorships, donations and in-kind contributions, season pass sales and daily passes on busy

days. Define funding responsibilities for participating organizations. Cost estimates for a facility that would meet expectations would be \$100,000 to \$150,000.

6. OTRF to submit final proposal with site alternatives and funding levels alternatives.
7. Plan to be finalized with participating organizations.
8. Finalize plan for funding program, initiate the program and the development of the outdoor teen recreation facility.”

Selectman Pierson asked Mr. Lohr to speak about the success of other skateboard parks in the area. Mr. Lohr said that Lebanon’s new facility on Glenn Road was small but was very well used. It was funded by the Rotary Club at a cost of \$50,000 and included a picnic area. Hartford’s in-door facility was available on a seasonal basis only; it was also used as a hockey arena. Mr. Lohr reported that the daily fees collected in Hartford have more than paid for that investment. He said that the question in Hanover was how many of its residents were interested in skateboarding. Mr. Lohr suggested that a survey could be done to determine that. Selectman Pierson reiterated that Mr. Lohr’s research indicated that these types of facilities have proven to be self-sustaining financially throughout the country. The costs of their development have been reimbursed through fees. He said Hanover’s biggest problem, as far as total cost is concerned, would be the cost of land and finding a space that would be worthwhile. He said that the Parks & Recreation Board was asking for the opportunity to put together a committee to look at this issue in more detail to determine usage, location, and costs.

Bruce Genereaux, also a member of the Parks & Recreation Board, said that his Board was looking for a place to legitimize what has historically been considered as a counter-culture element. They want to provide an alternative venue for those youths in the community who may not be involved regularly with organized team sports. He said that he felt that the Town was very early in the process of understanding how this could be done or why it was needed.

Selectman Baschnagel spoke of the financial ramifications for such a facility. He suggested that the park, including the land, could cost up to \$500,000. He said that it would only be worthwhile to look into such a facility if it could be proven that said facility would serve a broad population in Hanover, rather than a targeted few. Mr. Lohr argued that it was difficult to compare the activities of the 30 to 40 kids who might use this facility to that of other kids who were involved with school sponsored athletic team activities. He pointed out that in 2002 there were 60 to 70 police incidences involving skateboarders in Hanover. He said this issue went beyond just kids skating. Selectman Pierson added that this facility would provide activities other than just skateboarding. He said that it was the Parks & Recreation Board’s opinion that an outdoor facility could be a viable project but that it needed further study. Selectman Connolly asked what other

activities would be offered. Mr. Lohr reported that 54% of Hanover's youths were not involved in team sports. He said the next step would be to research what activities this teen facility could provide to address those kids.

Selectman Walsh questioned the use of the word "designer". He said there were clearly three critical things to work through prior to designing a facility: location, capital, and a fee structure. Mr. Lohr suggested substituting "designer" with "consultant", as this person would rally outside sources to assist in developing this facility and its funding. Mr. Walsh said that he would support the creation of a volunteer task force to look into locations, fees and capital. He suggested the consultant issue could be better discussed during the budget review process in February. Judy Reeve, of 14 North Balch Street, said that she agreed that a professional must be hired to design the skateboard park but that a volunteer task force could research the programmatic needs of the community and explore costs to hire the designer. She said if the facility was done well, it would act as a magnet for great activity and would draw more people than is expected.

Hank Tenney, Director of Parks & Recreation, spoke of the amount of time that Mr. Lohr and the Parks & Recreation Board have already put into this issue. He agreed with Selectman Walsh's three criteria stating that location was most essential. He said the charge to the task force should be to formulate a group interested in working on this facility, to return to the Selectboard with information on possible locations, rules, regulations and fees, and then to address the issue of hiring a designer. Mr. Tenney acknowledged the Town of Norwich's negative response to participate in this venture. He stated that if there was not sufficient interest from the community, outside of the Parks & Recreation Board, for this effort, he would not ask the Parks & Recreation Board to continue this on their own. He said that he also had a tough time asking the Selectboard for funding without having a plan for the facility. Mr. Lohr argued that the volunteer effort had gone as far as it would go. He said professional help was needed from this point on to assist the task force with land issues a layperson might not know. Ms. Reeve suggested the Town run transaction ads to find volunteers. Selectman Baschnagel spoke in favor of asking the Parks & Recreation Board to continue working on this effort.

Selectman Connolly noted that there had been many years of complaints about the present skateboard facility and its effect on the quality of life of the immediate neighborhood. She implored the Parks & Recreation Board to stay away from residential neighborhoods when considering future locations. Selectman Walsh said that he would prefer to hear more on the neighbors' issues before making a final suggestion to avoid residential neighborhoods. Bryant Denk, an abutter to the current park, admitted that the present park was noisy but said that he was willing to live with the noise, as he believed the facility helped these kids with their self esteem. He agreed with Selectman Connolly that it would be important to research the population that would use the facility most. It was also clarified that the police incidents were not related to the park per se but to skateboard activity in the downtown area and on the college campus.

Selectman Pierson spoke in support of Selectman Walsh's proposed charge and Mr. Tenney's suggestion that if a group of volunteers did not come forward, the project be dropped. Mr. Tenney said the existing park did get a lot of use. He would approach the current users and try to get them and their parents involved in this effort.

Selectman Walsh thanked Mr. Lohr for his work.

5. DISCUSSION WITH CONSERVATION COMMISSION RELATIVE TO RESTRICTIONS ON EXPENDITURE OF CONSERVATION FUND

Town Manager Griffin advised of a memorandum that the Conservation Commission had drafted to the Selectmen addressing the issue of the \$50,000 self-imposed spending limitation. She said the Commission had found that this amount was a difficult threshold to manage when considering property transactions. Selectman Baschnagel explained that Conservation Commissions in the State of New Hampshire were authorized under State Law to expend Conservation Funds. Hanover created the additional restriction that expenditures over \$50,000 be approved by the Selectboard and Town Meeting vote.

Judy Reeve, Chair of the Conservation Commission, explained that though most of the Commission's projects involved rural Hanover, their interests were not just of the rural parts of town.

Anne Morris, Chair of the Open Space Subcommittee of the Conservation Commission, provided an update of the Committee's activities and use of the Conservation Fund. She said the Open Space Program was functioning well since its beginning in 2001. She said the process was erratic and often slow. Since 2001 the Committee had completed one purchase for land on Moose Mountain. They were now working on three additional projects, two of which were close to completion. They were also in very early negotiations on two other projects involving 1,000 acres collectively.

Ms. Morris thanked the Selectboard for their interest and support in the Conservation Fund. She said that the Commission was of the opinion that the Open Space Program was viable but that the Memorandum of Understanding between the Commission and Selectboard slowed the process. She explained that the average cost of a lot in Hanover was over \$77,000 and that typically the land conservation projects involved larger pieces of land. This means that the Commission must approach Town Meeting for every land acquisition, even though the Conservation Fund balance has ample funding to cover these acquisitions. (Its balance as of November 30, 2003 was \$487,860.)

Ms. Morris stated that the Commission was very careful with their expenditures. They worked aggressively to stretch the Conservation Fund dollars as far as possible. They often teamed up with non-profit land trusts, which subsequently created timeline issues. Ms. Morris said that if the Commission cannot meet these timelines and make the necessary commitments, deals fall through. She explained the process whereby projects are developed. The Open Space Committee works with the individual landowners to

reach a purchase agreement. This agreement is then brought to the Conservation Commission for approval. If granted, the agreement is then brought before the Selectboard for approval. Ms. Morris said the intent of their request is not to change the current process but rather to provide the flexibility to make the financial commitments in a more timely fashion.

Selectman Baschnagel read from the current Memorandum of Understanding, "*Capital expenditures in excess of an aggregate total of \$50,000 shall require approval by the Board of Selectmen and Town Meeting.*" Ms. Reeve explained that the Commission was asking that the Town trust their very conservative and responsible stewardship of these funds to follow a process that does not require Town Meeting approval. She said Hanover was unique in its restriction that expenditures over \$50,000 be put to Town Meeting vote. She said there would still be many safeguards in the process including Selectboard approval and a public hearing. Town Manager Griffin added that given the legwork the Commission completes in developing land acquisition deals they would like the Selectboard to modify the Memorandum of Understanding by waiving the requirement of the \$50,000 hurdle at Town Meeting or increasing the restriction amount.

Selectman Walsh pointed out that Town Meeting bought and sold land, not Selectboards. He suggested the Commission could follow typical real estate transactions by agreeing on a price with the landowner, offering to pay 10% up front, and holding the sale until Town Meeting approval is gained in May. Selectman Baschnagel asked if the Commission was in a place to risk that 10% should the Town Meeting deny the funding for the land purchase. Mr. Walsh suggested yes. Mr. Baschnagel said the Commission was very conservative and to this point had been very reluctant to take those risks.

Selectman Connolly recalled that the current Memorandum of Understanding was set up to keep these funds from being expended by a mere majority vote of four Commission members. She said she would agree to raise the cap to \$100,000 but would not agree with giving four Commission members the ability to expend what is now nearly \$500,000 in taxpayers' money any way they saw fit. Ms. Reeve countered that the Commission could not vote to empty the fund, as various portions of it were needed for things other than land conservation.

Selectman Christie said he was convinced that the current restriction worked against the intent of the Fund. He suggested involving the Selectboard after having gained public support or perhaps before and after that point. Selectman Baschnagel said he would be comfortable with a process of the Commission and Selectboard agreeing with purchases. He asked if Town Meeting approval was needed to revise the Memorandum of Understanding. Town Manager Griffin said no. Selectman Pierson agreed with the proposed process, as it would allow the Selectboard the opportunity to view all potential purchases prior to public solicitation.

Selectman Walsh said he was rankled by the State Law that allowed Conservation Funds to be spent without Town Meeting approval when everything else required it. He said he

was less worried about the time element but appreciated the Commission's concerns. He suggested that there were three ways to pursue this flexibility issue. One would be to limit the annual expenditure to \$100,000. A second would be to change the process. A third would be to create an action fund of \$100,000 that could be spent and replenished. Speaking personally, Mr. Walsh said that he did not think he would reach a point where spending half of the fund on one acquisition should be done. He said Town Meeting input was needed at some level to assist in making these decisions.

It was decided that the Commission would redraft their proposal for the Selectboard's further review at a later date. Selectman Walsh offered to forward his thoughts to them.

6. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

Ms. Griffin reported that staff was knee-deep in budget review. A draft budget would be done by mid-January.

7. SELECTMEN'S REPORTS

Peter Christie

Mr. Christie reported on the Finance Committee's review of the school budget. They were also working to revise their charter, when completed it will be put before the Selectboard, School Board, and ultimately Town Meeting. Mr. Christie also reported on the Recycle Committee's continued efforts to investigate alternatives to the current recycling plan.

Katherine Connolly

Ms. Connolly reported on the Planning Board's approval of Dartmouth's South Block project. She asked that the minutes of that meeting be forwarded to the Selectboard to assist them in considering Mrs. Stearns' issues raised during Public Comment. Ms. Connolly also advised of the Planning Board's discussion of the open space concept associated with five apartment houses proposed near the Gile Tract. She said that the next Planning Board meeting would involve the continued review of the proposed middle school application.

Bill Baschnagel

Mr. Baschnagel reported on the Planning Board's action to grant an extension to Dartmouth on their rugby project. He said that the Upper Valley Trail Alliance had received a \$200,000, four-year grant to work on community projects associated with outdoor health and recreation. They were in the process of soliciting matching funds and had asked the Conservation Commission for \$10,000 that would also be paid over a period of four years. Ms. Griffin added that an identical request had been made to the

Town. Mr. Baschnagel said that the Conservation Commission was supporting a grant application by the Upper Valley Trails Alliance to look at bike paths for mountain bikes.

Jay Pierson

Mr. Pierson reported that the Chamber of Commerce and the Water Company Board would meet later in the week. He said that the Parks & Recreation Board continued to receive good reports on the success of the Richard W. Black Community & Senior Center. He said that the winter brochures had been distributed, offering additional programs. The Parks & Recreation Board was also given a presentation on a proposed Dog Park. Mr. Pierson asked for contributions from the Selectboard members to pay for a plaque that is displayed at the Community & Senior Center listing their names and those of the Committee members who helped in making the Center possible.

Brian Walsh

Mr. Walsh reported on the Howe Library Capital Campaign. He said a high turnout from members of the Howe Corporation was needed to meet the grant challenge from the Howe Corporation. He said that the Town Meeting Task Force would meet on the following Thursday

8. OTHER BUSINESS

The Board agreed to the following schedule for polling hours at the January 27, 2004 State Primary:

7:00 to 10:00	Selectman Pierson
10:00 to 1:00	Selectman Christie
1:00 to 4:00	Selectman Walsh
4:00 to 7:00	Selectmen Baschnagel & Connolly

9. ADJOURNMENT

It was MOVED by Selectman Baschnagel and SECONDED by Selectman Pierson to adjourn the meeting. THE SELECTMEN VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 10:21 PM.

SUMMARY

1. **It was MOVED by Selectman Baschnagel and Seconded by Selectman Pierson to try the plan out and move ahead with Phase I. THE SELECTMEN VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TRY THE PLAN OUT AND MOVE AHEAD WITH PHASE I.**
2. **It was MOVED by Selectman Baschnagel and SECONDED by Selectman Pierson to adjourn the meeting. THE SELECTMEN VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 10:21 PM.**

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "P. Christie".

Peter Christie, Secretary

These minutes were transcribed by Beth Rivard.