RECORD STATE ELECTION NOVEMBER 04, 2014

In accordance with the foregoing warrant the inhabitants of the Town of Granby qualified to vote in elections and primaries met in the East Meadow School on East State Street, in the Town of Granby on Tuesday, the Fourth day of November, 2014 and voted as follows:

SENATOR IN CONGRESS - VOTE ONE

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
EDWARD J. MARKEY (D) 7 Townsend St. Malden Candidate for Re-election	706	579	1285
BRIAN J. HERR (R) 31 Elizabeth Rd, Hopkinton	580	569	1149
WRITE IN'S	0	0	0
ALL OTHERS	0	1	1_
BLANKS	69	57	126
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	<u>2561</u>

GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR - VOTE ONE

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
BAKER & POLITO (R)	696	<u>687</u>	1383
COAKLEY & KERRIGAN- (D)	495	334	829
FALCULIZ & IENNINGS	404	118	222
FALCHUK & JENNINGS United Independent Party	104	110	
LIVELY & SAUNDERS	19	34	53
Independent			
McCORMICK & POST Independent	8	12	20
WRITE IN'S		0	0
ALL OTHERS	0	1	1
			

BLANKS	33		53			
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	<u>2561</u>			
ATTORNEY GENERAL - VOTE ONE						
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL			
MAURA HEALEY-(D) 40 Winthrop St, Boston	<u>714</u>	570	1284			
JOHN B. MILLER (R) 40 Westland Ave., Winchester	569	571	1140			
WRITE IN'S	0	0	0			
ALL OTHERS	0	0	0			
BLANKS	72	65	137			
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355_	1206	2561			
SECRETARY OF STATE - VO	ΓE ONE					
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL			
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN (D) 46 Lake St., Boston Candidate for Re-election	782	647	1429			
DAVID D'ARCANGELO- (R) 183 Bainbridge St. Malden	433	<u>441</u>	<u>874</u>			
DANIEL L. FACTOR (J) 11 Davis Rd., Acton	69	53	122			
WRITE IN'S	0	0	0			
ALL OTHERS	0	_1_	1_			
ALL OTHERS BLANKS	71	164	1 135			

TREASURER - VOTE ONE

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG (D) 37 Hyslop Rd., Brookline	633	487	1120
MICHAEL JAMES HEFFERNAN- (R) 244 Grove St., Wellesley	545	565	1110
IAN T. JACKSON (J) 232 Highland Ave., Arlington	80	57	137
WRITE IN'S	0		0
ALL OTHERS	0	_1_	_1_
BLANKS	97	96	193
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	<u>2561</u>
AUDITOR - VOTE ONE			
AUDITOR - VOTE ONE	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
AUDITOR - VOTE ONE SUZANNE M. BUMP (D) 409 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington Candidate for Re-election	PCT 1 694	PCT 2 522_	TOTAL 1216
SUZANNE M. BUMP (D) 409 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington			
SUZANNE M. BUMP (D) 409 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington Candidate for Re-election PATRICIA S. SAINT AUBIN- (R)	694	522_	1216
SUZANNE M. BUMP (D) 409 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington Candidate for Re-election PATRICIA S. SAINT AUBIN- (R) 6 Shady Way, Norfolk MK MERELICE (J)	694 479	<u>522</u> <u>514</u>	993
SUZANNE M. BUMP (D) 409 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington Candidate for Re-election PATRICIA S. SAINT AUBIN- (R) 6 Shady Way, Norfolk MK MERELICE (J) 22 White Pl., Brookline	694 479 60	522 514 45	
SUZANNE M. BUMP (D) 409 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington Candidate for Re-election PATRICIA S. SAINT AUBIN- (R) 6 Shady Way, Norfolk MK MERELICE (J) 22 White Pl., Brookline WRITE IN'S	694 60 0	522_ 514	

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS- Vote for One

First District

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
RICHARD E. NEAL-(D) 36 Atwater Ter., Springfield Candidate for Re-election	962	780	1742
WRITE IN'S <u>Pct 1- Richard Neal</u>	1	0	_1
ALL OTHERS	0	5	5
BLANKS	<u>392</u>	421	<u>813</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	2561
COUNCILLOR- Vote for One Eighth District	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
MICHAEL J. ALBANO- (D) 403 Maple Rd, Longmeadow Candidate for Re-election	<u>896</u>	<u>720</u>	1616
WRITE IN'S	0	0	0
ALL OTHERS	0	4	4
BLANKS	459	482	941
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	2561

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT- Vote for One

First Hampden & Hampshire District

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
DEBRA A. BORONSKI (R) 157 Somers Rd, E. Longmeadow	580	549	1129
ERIC PHILIP LESSER- (D) 107 Woolworth Rd. Longmeadow	627	514	1141
MIKE FRANCO 7 Primrose Ln, Holyoke America First		73	145
WRITE IN'S	0	0	0

ALL OTHERS		1_	_1_
BLANKS	<u>76</u>	69	145
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	2561
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERA Precinct 1- Third Hampshire Distr Precinct 2- Second Hampshire Distr	ict	Vote for One	
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
ELLEN STORY-(D) 16 Emerson Ct., Amherst Candidate for Re-election	763		763
KENNETH J. ROBERTS, JR. (L 124 West Street Precinct-1	436		436
JOHN W. SCIBAK-(D) 13 Chileab Rd, South Hadley Candidate for Re-election Precinct-2		734	734
WRITE IN'S	0	0	0
ALL OTHERS	0	2	2
BLANKS	<u> 156</u>	<u>470</u>	<u>626</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST *As of 2012 Granby became two I Court to two different districts. Precinct 1 remained the same -Th Second Hampshire district Granb	ird Hampshir	e District and P	
DISTRICT ATTORNEY- Vote f Northwestern District	or One		
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
DAVID E. SULLIVAN (D) 4 Taft Ave, Easthampton Candidate for Re-election	936	<u>736</u>	1672

WRITE IN'S	0	0	0
ALL OTHERS	_1_	3	4
BLANKS	<u>418</u>	467	885
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355_	1206	<u>2561</u>
REGISTER OF PROBATE- Vote Hampshire County	e for One		
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
MICHAEL J. CAREY- (D) 11 Groveland St., Easthampton Candidate for Re-election	911	738	1649
WRITE IN'S		0	0
ALL OTHERS		4	6
BLANKS	442	464	906
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	2561
You may vote for every position on the regardless of where of you reside in the	e Pathfinder Reg ne District.	ional Technical School D	istrict Committee,
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TEC Pathfinder (4 Years) Belchertown	CHNICAL SC	HOOL COMMITTEE	· Vote for One
, ,	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
LORRAINE F. ALVES 200 South St. Belchertown Candidate for Re-election	879	<u>721</u>	<u>1600</u>
WRITE IN'S Pct 1- Bill Johnson	_1	1	
Pct 2- Wayne Masse			
ALL OTHERS	0	0	
BLANKS	475	<u>484</u>	959
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	2561

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Granby

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
WRITE IN'S	18	16	34
Pct 1- Bill Johnson-14, Michael Q Rinard- 1	Quesnel- 1, Glo	ria Viver-1, Tom H	Iamel –1, & Kim
Pct 2- Bill Johnson –11, Nathan Bruso-1, & Marrissa Rogers-1	Bergeron-1, Wa	iyne Masse-1, Kevi	n Brooks-1, Ben
ALL OTHERS	0	0	0
BLANKS	1337	1190	2527
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1355	1206	<u>2561</u>
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL T Pathfinder (4 Years) Hardwick JULIE QUINK 685 Turkey St, Hardwick Candidate for Re-election	PCT 1	CHOOL COMMI [*] PCT 2 <u>633</u>	TTEE- Vote for One TOTAL
WRITE IN'S Pct 1- Bill Johnson Pct 2- Wayne Masse	1_	1_	2
ALL OTHERS	0	0	0
BLANKS	<u>581</u>	572	1153
TOTAL VOTES CAST	<u>1355</u>	<u>1206</u>	<u>2561</u>
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1 Pathfinder (4 Years) Monson	FECHNICAL S	SCHOOL COMM	ITTEE- Vote for One
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
WRITE IN'S	8	4	12

Pct 1-Bill Johnson-2, Adam Boissoneault-1, Chris Redmond-1, Peter Slepchuk-1, Robert M. Leyden-1, John Colin-1 & Brian Couture-1

Pct 2- Bill Johnson-1, Ray Cabana-1, Wayne Masse-1, & Mike Kennedy-1

ALL OTHERS		0	0			
BLANKS	<u>1347</u>	1202	<u>2549</u>			
TOTAL VOTES CAST	<u>1355</u>	<u>1206</u>	<u>2561</u>			
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Palmer						
	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL			
MICHAEL J. CAVANAUGH 57 South St., Palmer Candidate for Re-election	<u>774</u>	628	1402_			
WRITE IN'S Pct 1- Bill Johnson Pct 2- Wayne Masse	1	1				
ALL OTHERS	0	0	0			
BLANKS	580	<u> 577</u>	<u>1157</u>			
TOTAL VOTES CAST	<u> 1355</u>	<u>1206</u>	<u>2561</u>			
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TEC Pathfinder (4 Years) New Braintree	CHNICAL SO PCT 1	CHOOL COMMITTEE PCT 2	E- Vote for One TOTAL			
WRITE IN'S	8	5	13			
Pct 1- Bill Johnson-2, Adam Boissonault-1, Cecilia Hamel-1, Jason Labrie-1, Robert M. Lydon-1, Peter Morin-1, & Peter Slepchuk-1 Pct 2- Lisa Beauchemin-1, Sam Bergeron-1 Olive Davis 1, David Masse-1 & Grace Sands-1						
ALL OTHERS	0	0	0			
BLANKS	<u>1347</u>	<u>1201</u>	2548			
TOTAL VOTES CAST	<u>1355</u>	1206	<u>2561</u>			

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Ware

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
M. BARBARA RAY 27 Beach Rd, Ware Candidate for Re-election	766	607_	1373
WRITE IN'S	1	1	2
Pct 1- Bill Johnson-1			
Pct 2- David Masse- 1			
ALL OTHERS		0	0
BLANKS	<u>588</u>	598	1186
TOTAL VOTES CAST	<u>1355</u>	<u>1206</u>	<u>2561</u>

QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate the requirement that the state's gasoline tax, which was 24 cents per gallon as of September 2013, (1) be adjusted every year by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index over the preceding year, but (2) not be adjusted below 21.5 cents per gallon.

A YES VOTE would eliminate the requirement that the state's gas tax be adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index.

 \boldsymbol{A} NO VOTE would make no change in the laws regarding the gas tax.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
Question 1-YES	698_	621	<u>1319</u>
Question 1-NO	<u>619</u>	<u>504</u>	<u>1123</u>
Question 1-Blanks	38	81	<u>119</u>
Question 1-TOTAL	<u>1355</u>	<u>1206</u>	<u>2561</u>

OUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would expand the state's beverage container deposit law, also known as the Bottle Bill, to require deposits on containers for all non-alcoholic non-carbonated drinks in liquid form intended for human consumption, except beverages primarily derived from dairy products, infant formula, and FDA approved medicines. The proposed law would not cover containers made of paper-based biodegradable material and aseptic multi-material packages such as juice boxes or pouches.

The proposed law would require the state Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) to adjust the container deposit amount every five years to reflect (to the nearest whole cent) changes in the consumer price index, but the value could not be set below five cents.

The proposed law would increase the minimum handling fee that beverage distributors must pay dealers for each properly returned empty beverage container, which was 2½ cents as of September 2013, to 3½ cents. It would also increase the minimum handling fee that bottlers must pay distributors and dealers for each properly returned empty reusable beverage container, which was 1 cent as of September 2013, to 3½ cents. The Secretary of EEA would review the fee amounts every five years and make appropriate adjustments to reflect changes in the consumer price index as well as changes in the costs incurred by redemption centers. The proposed law defines a redemption center as any business whose primary purpose is the redemption of beverage containers and that is not ancillary to any other business.

The proposed law would direct the Secretary of EEA to issue regulations allowing small dealers to seek exemptions from accepting empty deposit containers. The proposed law would define small dealer as any person or business, including the operator of a vending machine, who sells beverages in beverage containers to consumers, with a contiguous retail space of 3,000 square feet or less, excluding office and stock room space; and fewer than four locations under the same ownership in the Commonwealth. The proposed law would require that the regulations consider at least the health, safety, and convenience of the public, including the distribution of dealers and redemption centers by population or by distance or both.

The proposed law would set up a state Clean Environment Fund to receive certain unclaimed container deposits. The Fund would be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, to support programs such as the proper management of solid waste, water resource protection, parkland, urban forestry, air quality and climate protection.

The proposed law would allow a dealer, distributor, redemption center or bottler to refuse to accept any beverage container that is not marked as being refundable in Massachusetts.

The proposed law would take effect on April 22, 2015.

A YES VOTE would expand the state's beverage container deposit law to require deposits on containers for all non-alcoholic, non-carbonated drinks with certain exceptions, increase the associated handling fees, and make other changes to the law.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws regarding beverage container deposits.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
Question 2-YES	329	253	582
Question 2-NO	1002	937	<u>1939</u>
Question 2-Blanks	24	<u>16</u>	40
Ouestion 2-TOTAL	1355	<u>1206</u>	<u> 2561</u>

QUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would (1) prohibit the Massachusetts Gaming Commission from issuing any license for a casino or other gaming establishment with table games and slot machines, or any license for a gaming establishment with slot machines; (2) prohibit any such casino or slots gaming under any such licenses that the Commission might have issued before the proposed law took effect; and (3) prohibit wagering on the simulcasting of live greyhound races.

The proposed law would change the definition of "illegal gaming" under Massachusetts law to include wagering on the simulcasting of live greyhound races, as well as table games and slot machines at Commission-licensed casinos, and slot machines at other Commission-licensed gaming establishments. This would make those types of gaming subject to existing state laws providing criminal penalties for, or otherwise regulating or prohibiting, activities involving illegal gaming.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would prohibit casinos, any gaming establishment with slot machines, and wagering on simulcast greyhound races.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the current laws regarding gaming.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
Question 3-YES	525	433	<u>958</u>
Question 3-NO	801	<u>757</u>	1558
Question 3-Blanks	29	16	45_
Question 3-TOTAL	<u>1355</u>	1206	<u>2561</u>

QUESTION 4: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would entitle employees in Massachusetts to earn and use sick time according to certain conditions.

Employees who work for employers having eleven or more employees could earn and use up to 40 hours of paid sick time per calendar year, while employees working for smaller employers could earn and use up to 40 hours of unpaid sick time per calendar year.

An employee could use earned sick time if required to miss work in order (1) to care for a physical or mental illness, injury or medical condition affecting the employee or the employee's child, spouse, parent, or parent of a spouse; (2) to attend routine medical appointments of the employee or the employee's child, spouse, parent, or parent of a spouse; or (3) to address the effects of domestic violence on the employee or the employee's dependent child. Employees would earn one hour of sick time for every 30 hours worked, and would begin accruing those hours on the date of hire or on July 1, 2015, whichever is later. Employees could begin to use earned sick time on the 90th day after hire.

The proposed law would cover both private and public employers, except that employees of a particular city or town would be covered only if, as required by the state constitution, the proposed law were made applicable by local or state legislative vote or by appropriation of sufficient funds to pay for the benefit. Earned paid sick time would be compensated at the same hourly rate paid to the employee when the sick time is used.

Employees could carry over up to 40 hours of unused sick time to the next calendar year, but could not use more than 40 hours in a calendar year. Employers would not have to pay employees for unused sick time at the end of their employment. If an employee missed work for a reason eligible for earned sick time, but agreed with the employer to work the same number of hours or shifts in the same or next pay period, the employee would not have to use earned sick time for the missed time, and the employer would not have to pay for that missed time. Employers would be prohibited from requiring such an employee to work additional hours to make up for missed time, or to find a replacement employee.

Employers could require certification of the need for sick time if an employee used sick time for more than 24 consecutively scheduled work hours. Employers could not delay the taking of or payment for earned sick time because they have not received the certification. Employees would have to make a good faith effort to notify the employer in advance if the need for earned sick time is foreseeable.

Employers would be prohibited from interfering with or retaliating based on an employee's exercise of earned sick time rights, and from retaliating based on an employee's support of another employee's exercise of such rights.

The proposed law would not override employers' obligations under any contract or benefit plan with more generous provisions than those in the proposed law. Employers that have their own policies providing as much paid time off, usable for the same purposes and under the same conditions, as the proposed law would not be required to provide additional paid sick time.

The Attorney General would enforce the proposed law, using the same enforcement procedures applicable to other state wage laws, and employees could file suits in court to enforce their earned sick time rights. The Attorney General would have to prepare a multilingual notice regarding the right to earned sick time, and employers would be required to post the notice in a conspicuous location and to provide a copy to employees. The state Executive Office of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, would develop a multilingual outreach program to inform the public of the availability of earned sick time.

The proposed law would take effect on July 1, 2015, and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would entitle employees in Massachusetts to earn and use sick time according to certain conditions.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws regarding earned sick time.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	TOTAL
Question 4-YES	<u>745</u>	610	1355
Question 4-NO	574_	551	1125
Question 4-Blanks	36	45	81
Question 4-TOTAL	<u>1355</u>	1206	<u>2561</u>

QUESTION # 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING PRECINCT 2

2nd Hampshire Representative District

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote for legislation that replaces the state's restrictions on marijuana with a law that regulates the cultivation of and commerce in marijuana, by persons over the age of 21, in the same manner as laws that apply to retail and agricultural businesses; such a law would (i) require persons covered by the law to take steps to prevent access to growing plants and marijuana by minors under the age of 18, (ii) punish distribution among and to such minors, (iii) retain for minors the civil offense of possessing one ounce or less of marijuana, and (iv) make no change to existing laws regarding driving while under the influence?

A YES VOTE you are in favor of this question A NO VOTE you are not in favor of this question

PRECINCT 2 ONLY

Question 5-YES	612	<u>612</u>
Question 5-NO	425	425
Question 5-Blanks	<u>169</u>	169
Question 5-TOTAL	<u>1206</u>	<u> 1206</u>

The polls opened at 7:00 a.m. and closed at 8:00 p.m., in the first hour 212 voters voted. At closing there was 2,561 voters who came out to support their candidates and questions.

At the close of voter registration (October 15, 2014), the town had 4,383 registered voters. The prediction for this election throughout the State was to be around 55% Granby did well and had a turnout of 58.4%

Out of those that voted 132 were absentee ballots and there were three provisional ballots filed. It was determined that the voters that filed the provisional ballots did not qualify in Granby to vote therefore the ballots were not counted in the final tally.

I certify that all ballots cast for candidates and questions in this State Election held on November 04, 2014 have been counted and recorded in accordance with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

Katherine A. Kelly Regal Town Clerk, CMMC