

**TOWN OF GRANBY  
CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Meeting of November 29, 2011  
Aldrich Hall**

---

**Proposed Minutes**

---

In attendance: AF, DW, EC, BS, JH & PK

**7:00 Building & Board of Health Permits:**

none

**7:15 Administrative Duties:**

1. Commentary: Next meeting, 13 Dec 2011, will propose next year's meeting dates
2. Post next meeting: 13 Dec 2011 Prepare 2012 meeting dates
3. Approve Minutes: BS motioned to approve minutes, AF seconded, all voted in favor.
4. CC Financial accounts update (quarterly)  
Bills to Pay: Received invoice from June Hart for 3 hours, \$45. AF motioned to pay, BS seconded, all voted in favor. Charles Dauchy submitted invoice for consulting services at GHA for 12.5 hours = \$1000. BS moved to pay, AF seconded, all voted in favor.
5. Outreach/Education: None
6. Notices (ZBA): None
7. Announcements/News: None
8. Con Com Web site update: No change
9. New members for Con Com: None
10. Site Visits to be scheduled: December 9<sup>th</sup> Meet @ Housing Authority @ 1 pm, CVS, Morgan St. Water Project, 18 New Ludlow Rd.

**Discussion (Case Files)**

---

**Appointments/Public Hearings:**

Time	GCC#	DEP#	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	Name/Owner/Rep
------	------	------	----------	-------------	----------------

**8:00:** "RDA" continued Kevin R. Miller, 123-125 Burnett Street

Con Com received a letter from Mr. Brooks withdrawing the RDA. After consulting with DEP, and considerable discussion among the Commission BS moves to issue a positive 4 on RDA/DOA Form 2, seconded EC motion, AF, DW, EC, BS voted in favor and PK voted against the motion.

*Discussion:*

*Normally, the withdrawal of a Request for Determination ("RDA") (Form 1) results in an immediate Notice of Intent ("NOI") (Form 3) filing since a positive determination effectively triggers an NOI. In the case of Mr. Miller, the original RDA was sought to be withdrawn by the consultant one day before the scheduled continuance of the hearing without mention of any forthcoming NOI filing. Based on this circumstance, the intent of the Commission's action is to memorialize the positive determination with clarity, to avoid any future misunderstanding by its lack of definitive action of the matter. Historically, lack of action by a Commission has often been construed to in-effect constitute a negative determination.*

**Old Business:**

Proposed Bylaws: The Commission read both proposed versions (June and Dave). Dave agreed to study both documents and attempt to edit them into one.

Mr. John Furman sent letter responding to CVS consulting/site observations cost of \$260.

Ms. Cindy Gaspari 12 Ken Lane spoke to the Commission regarding concerns about an immobilized vehicle on her neighbor's property. She will return December 13<sup>th</sup> to seek an update.

**Adjournment:** PK motion to adjourn, EC second motion all voted in affirmative to close the meeting.