Town of Falmouth Community Development Department

271 Falmouth Road
Falmouth, ME 04105
207.781.5253
207.781.8677
www.town.falmouth.me.us



Memorandum

Date:	December 4, 2012
To:	Town Council
From:	Amanda L. Stearns, Community Development Director
Cc:	Nathan A. Poore, Town Manager
	Justin Brown, Code Enforcement Officer
Re:	31 Harding Avenue, Application for Mislocated Dwelling

Richard Weare is requesting consideration for a Consent Agreement under Section 8.2.1 for a mislocated single family dwelling located at 31 Harding Avenue. He has received a Conditional Use Approval from the BZA and they have recommended that no penalties be imposed. The following comments address Section 1-14 of the Code of Ordinances, General penalty; continuing violations; consent agreements.

Excerpt from Section 8.2.1:

- (c) In determining what, if any, civil penalty to impose as part of a consent agreement entered into pursuant to section 8.2.1 of the zoning and site plan review ordinance, the town council may consider:
 - (1) How long the violation has existed; The house was constructed in 1967 based on assessing records, 45 years.
 - (2) The circumstances surrounding the construction which violates the setback; The lot was part of a division in 1967 which included the Town to create the Harding Avenue extension.
 - (3) Whether a building permit was issued for the construction; No building permit and associated site plan were found in the file or a Certificate of Occupancy.
 - (4) Whether the violation is the result of survey work conducted after the construction which resulted in a shift of the boundary line **possible**
 - (5) Such other facts as the council deems relevant.

Based on the record and factual information I offer the following comments.

- 1. There was no intent to deceive the town or other parties regarding the required setbacks.
- 2. There was a survey available to the contractor and property owner from which to measure setbacks.
- 3. The current assessed value of the home is \$125,500 with an effective square footage of 2,360.

Based on the following guidelines the Council discussed as a basis for determining penalties, a strict application of the guidelines would generate a penalty of \$1,366.

Circumstance	Range of options
The age of the violation	Each year since 1965 equals 1 @ \$100 per year = \$200
The circumstances of the mislocation of the improvement	 Incorrect survey X1 - NA Using geographical features, thought to be the boundary; i.e.: fences, hedgerows or other, X2 - NA
Consideration of ownership and approved building permit plan	• Current owner exceeded the approved Building Permit construction plan, 3 times the value of the area of violation NA
	• No Building Permit Application filed or granted, 6 times the value of the area of violation. – there is no record of a building permit, but due to the amount of time of the construction, no penalty imposed
The assessed value of the parent structure in its entirety. A \$500,000 home of 4,000 square feet of habitable equals \$125.00 per square foot	Value; area of the violation multiplied by the assessed square foot value of the improved dwelling, not including the land, multiplied by two (2) Approximate square footage of the area in violation based on the survey is 11 square feet and is valued at approximately \$53 per
	square foot (based on the assessed value and effective square footage) = \$1,166

Guidelines for determining penalty fee for mislocated dwellings.