

DEERFIELD PLANNING BOARD  
DEERFIELD, NEW HAMPSHIRE  
NOVEMBER 20, 2013

MINUTES OF MEETING

PRESENT: Board members Fred McGarry, Kate Hartnett, Alan O'Neal, Lisa Wolford, Peter Schibbelhute. Also present Planning Consultant Gerald Coogan and secretary Jane Boucher.

7PM Chair Fred McGarry called the meeting to order.

7PM Continuation: Public Hearing: Minor Subdivision: Joyce Yeaton; Ritchie Road

F. Webb Stout, Joyce Yeaton and Patricia Dail were present.

Mr. Stout provided a bid from Bartlett Excavation for improvements to Ritchie Road in the amount of \$16,500.00 to widen the road to 370 feet. The bid also includes;

1. Clear side of the road
2. Haul and truck material on site for road
3. Pile brush to be burned on side of road
4. spread 3/4 Allenspack 6" deep- 20 ft. wide on top of road.

Peter Ivantos from Epping suggested that the Planning Board not require a bond until a lot is sold and no certificate's of occupancy be issued until a bond is in place. Mr. Ivantos indicated that this is the procedure in place for the Town of Epsom.

Chair McGarry said that a private party purchasing the property may end up responsible for upgrading the road if a bond is not in place.

Mr. Stout provided a copy of a right of way easement which will be finalized after the subdivision is recorded.

Lisa Wolford moved to grant conditional approval to Joyce Yeaton for a minor subdivision on Ritchie Road with the following conditions;

1. A letter of credit in the amount of \$16, 500.00 be in place and
2. The Right of Way Easement be finalized after the subdivision plan is recorded.
3. Conditional approval to lapse in 90 days. (February 20, 2014)

Alan O'Neal seconded. Voted in favor.

7:15 Application: Public Hearing; Site Plan Review: Verizon Wireless: 48 South Road, Deerfield, NH.

Attorney John Weaver, Daniel Briggs, Kevin Briggs and Bradley Briggs along with several abutters were present.

Chair McGarry read the Notice of Public Hearing to consider an application for a Site Plan Review for Cellico Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, c/o Attorney John Weaver, McLane Law Firm, 900 Elm St., Manchester, NH for property located on 48 South Road, Deerfield, NH (identified as Tax Map 423 Lot 22) consisting of 144 acres and owned by Daniel Briggs, Bradley Briggs, Kevin Briggs and Dana Briggs. The intent of the application is to construct a wireless telecommunications 150' monopole tower, to be located within a 50' x 50' compound.

Gerald Coogan provided a memo outlining the application and noting that this is the second application for a telecommunications facility in Deerfield under Section 329 Wireless Telecommunications Facilities Ordinance which was adopted on March 14, 2006. A copy of Mr. Coogan's memo is attached to these minutes.

Alan O'Neal moved and Peter Schibbelhute seconded to accept the application. Voted in favor.

Attorney John Weaver provided copies of the proposed plans noting that they are proposing to construct a 150' telecommunications tower. Attorney Weaver noted that a Radio Frequency Engineer will be present at the next meeting.

Attorney Weaver said that this tower will provide effective coverage to South Deerfield. He noted the location of the proposed tower which is 420 feet off Candia Road and 500 feet from the Deerfield/Candia Town Line. He noted that balloon testing is required according to the Ordinance. Photographs will be taken in specific locations and the Board can indicate where they would like photos to be taken. Attorney Weaver asked the Board to schedule a date for the balloon testing as well as a rain date. It was determined to schedule the testing on Saturday, November 23, 2013 between 8AM and 12 Noon, with Sunday, November 24 as a rain date.

Kate Hartnett questioned how residents would know if the test was cancelled.

Attorney Weaver said a sign could be put out on Candia Road advising if the test was cancelled. Chair McGarry said if it was raining or strong winds, people should conclude that the testing has been cancelled.

John Thurber, an abutter, questioned if was windy at 8AM, would the testing be held later. Attorney Weaver replied that was usually up to the discretion of the engineer.

The Board determined that pictures be taken from South Road, Stage Road, Cotton Road, G.B. White Building, Meetinghouse Hill Road and Ridge Road. Abutters were told that they could also take photographs and submit them to the Planning Board.

Abutter Janet Samuels asked if the tower will be lit.

Attorney Weaver replied that the tower will not be illuminated.

An abutter questioned if a drop in property values or medical concerns were to be considered.

Attorney Weaver said that there is no record of drop in property values or medical issues.

Mr. Samuels questioned why the location was selected. Attorney Weaver replied that the engineer can better answer that question.

Jerry Liptak questioned if anyone knew the height of the tree line. Attorney Weaver said he would check to see if that can be determined.

Kate Hartnett questioned if copies of all the information could be available for public review. Ms. Hartnett will check with the Library to see if a copy could be left there for citizens review.

Lisa Wolford asked Attorney Weaver to explain "coverage map". He replied that a coverage map describes the areas Verizon is trying to provide coverage to.

Peter Schibbelhute moved and Alan O'Neal seconded to schedule balloon testing for 8AM-12Noon on Saturday, November 23, 2013 with a rain date for Sunday, November 24. Voted in favor.

Mr. Samuels questioned if the tower would create any interference with radios.

Chair McGarry said that perhaps the engineer could better address that question.

It was noted that notices have been sent to Towns within 20 miles. Kate Hartnett questioned who the notices were sent to. It was determined that notices were sent to "Towns" and not governing bodies.

Gerald Coogan will confirm that Candia has received the notice.

Alan O'Neal moved and Peter Schibbelhute seconded to continue the public hearing for a Site Plan Review for Verizon Wireless

to December 11, 2013 at 7:45PM. Voted in favor.

8:15 PM Continuation: Public Hearing: Site Plan Review;JCR  
Construction: 27 North Road  
Tobin Farwell was present along with several abutters.

Mr. Farwell advised that JCR Construction would like to schedule a site visit to determine noise levels for the helicopter take off and landing. He advised that , after the October 23 meeting, Mr. Reed said he was withdrawing his application due to the negative reaction and therefore the October 26 scheduled site visit was cancelled. Since then , Mr. Reed has decided not to withdraw and would like to schedule a site visit.

Chair McGarry noted that Attorney James Raymond, Town Counsel, has advised that the site visit should be considered a public meeting and abutters are permitted to attend.

Lisa Wolford said that she had been approached by one of the abutters asking why the site visit scheduled for October 26, 2013 had been cancelled and she felt she could not comment.

Mr. Farwell said that the site visit could be scheduled for November 30, 2013 or after December 16, 2013.

Lisa Wolford provided copies of several e-mails that she asked be included with the minutes of tonights meeting.

An abutter asked if the decibel readings would be taken from different locations. Two meters will be used to read the decibel readings.

Abutter Dennis Winski suggested readings from homes of abutters. He said that his first concern was take offs and landing.

Diane Young, although not an abutter, voiced concern regarding noise levels.

Jackie Linkler questioned using JCR's meter.Chair McGarry said that Tobin Farwell and Alan O'Neal will be reading the meters.

The Board determined that there will be four locations for four readings;

- 1 reading on the property line
- 2.reading on North Road entrance
- 3.reading on 31 Mountain Road
- 4.reading on 23 Mountain Road

Alan O'Neal moved and Peter Schibbelhute seconded to hold a

site visit to 27 North Road to observe noise levels for helicopter take off and landings on November 30, 2013 at 9AM with the helicopter coming in at 9:30PM. Voted in favor.

Alan O'Neal moved and Peter Schibbelhute seconded to continue the public hearing for a site plan review for JCR Construction on December 18, 2013 at 7:25PM. Voted in favor.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ZONING ORDINANCE

Chair McGarry commented that the Board will have to hold a special meeting to discuss proposed zoning changes. It was noted that the last day to hold the first public hearing was January 7, 2013.

Chair McGarry noted that he had checked with the Town of Windham and reviewed their Watershed Ordinance. Mr. McGarry had reviewed and incorporated changes into Deerfield's Ordinance and provided copies to the Board for their review.

Chair McGarry noted two significant additions under 330.6 Review Requirements for Development in the Watershed Protection Overlay District

C. For any development that will render post-development impervious surface of more than 20% or more than 2,500 square feet of the entire lot, whichever is greater, a stormwater management and erosion control plan, consistent with NH Stormwater Manual, (latest edition) prepared by NH DES, shall be prepared and submitted for review and approval as part of a Major Watershed Application unless previous approval has been granted through the Site Plan/Subdivision Watershed Application process. Major Watershed Applications are reviewed and approved by the Planning Board.

D. For any development that will render post-development impervious surface of 20% or less, or 2,500 sq. feet (whichever is greater) the application will require submittal of a Minor Watershed Application for review and approval. Minor Watershed Applications are reviewed and approved by the Building Inspector.

Board members will review the draft and discuss further on December 4, 2013. Information from Jack Mettee on the Village District will also be discussed.

Lisa Wolford advised that she had met with Joe Farrally and Babette Morrell, from Northwood. Ms. Morrell did not think Northwood would be interested in working on a Watershed Ordinance.

The Board will meet on December 4, 2013 and discuss a. Village Zoning, Pleasant Lake Watershed and Home Occupation Registration.

APPROVAL OF MANIFEST

Alan O'Neal moved and Peter Schibbelhute seconded to approve the manifest in the amount of \$7301.47. (Jack Mettee \$6150.00; Gerald Coogan \$500.00 JCR; \$332.50 Yeaton; 297.50 Verizon; \$21.97 Mileage J. Boucher). Voted in favor.

LOT Mergers

Lisa Wolford questioned the discussion on October 9, regarding Lot Mergers and if the Planning Board request that owners, prior to requesting a merger approval, have separate and legal documents reviewed and signed off that the lots are free and clear of any violations. Gerald Coogan will consult with Town Counsel regarding this and report back to the Board.

BMI REALTY TRUST

Secretary Jane Boucher said that Centrix Bank had advised that the LOC in the amount of \$38, 200 for BMI Trust was expiring on December 8, 2013. Steve Chabot has not done a final inspection. The secretary will contact Centrix Bank and ask for a copy of the LOC.

Verizon Application

Notices were incorrectly sent to Towns by first class mail. Our ordinance states that governing bodies must be noticed by certified mail. Gerald Coogan will speak with Attorney John Weaver regarding this.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30PM.

Recorded and transcribed by Jane Boucher  
Pending Approval by the Planning Board

**MEMORANDUM**

November 18, 2013

TO: Deerfield Planning Board  
FR: Gerald Coogan, AICP  
RE: Application for Conditional Use Permit (CUP) and Site Plan Review for Cellco Partnership  
d/b/a Verizon Wireless

**Owner:** Daniel Briggs, Bradley Briggs, Kevin Briggs and Dana Briggs, Deerfield, NH 03037

**Applicant:** Cellco Partnership  
d/b/a Verizon Wireless

**Agent:** Atty. John Weaver, McLane Law Firm, 900 Elm Street, Manchester, NH 03101

**Wetlands scientist:** NA

**Lot Area:** 144 acres

**Location:** 48 South Road, Deerfield, NH, Tax Map 423, Lot 22

**Background:** This is the second application for a telecommunications facility in the Town of Deerfield under Section 329 Wireless Telecommunications Facilities Ordinance, which was adopted on March 14, 2006. The Deerfield Zoning Ordinance (DZO) Section 329.2 Purpose states:

- These regulations have been enacted in order to establish general guidelines for the siting towers and antennas and to enhance and fulfill the following goals:
- Preserve the authority of the Town of Deerfield to regulate and provide for reasonable opportunity for the siting of telecommunications facilities.
- Enhance the ability of providers of telecommunication services to provide such services to the community effectively and efficiently.
- Reduce the adverse impacts such facilities may create on, including, but not limited to:  
Migratory bird flight corridors, impacts on aesthetics, environmentally sensitive areas,  
historically significant locations, health and safety by injurious accidents to person and  
property, and diminution of property values.
- Preserve the Town's unique view sheds, scenic values and natural

resources in particular those identified in the Town's recently completed Open Space

Project description: The applicant desires to construct a 150 foot monopole tower within a 50 foot by 50 foot fenced compound area. The facility will include:

- Telecommunications equipment shelter, a propane tank, electrical and telco utilities connections;
- Twelve (12) panel antenna (four per sector) mounted at a centerline elevation of 147 feet above ground on the tower;
- Six Remote Radio Heads (RRH) mounted behind the antenna on the tower and surge protectors mounted near the antenna;
- Ice bridge from the shelter to the tower to protect equipment

Approval Process: The applicant must demonstrate that they can meet the following:

- Construction Performance Requirements contained in Section 329.5
- Federal Requirements: provided;
- Building Code and Safety: will be met;
- Height: Tower is 150 feet
- Setbacks and separation: met;
- Security Fence: On the plan
- Landscaping: The section calls for a vegetative buffer. The tower will be located in the existing woods with the existing trees and landscaping left as is;
- Camouflaging: Per the DZO Section 329, the applicant will, to the extent possible, design the buildings and related structures to use materials, colors, textures, screening and landscaping that will blend the tower facilities with the natural setting and built environment; The applicant will blend the tower into the landscape; and
- Balloon test: applicant requests the Board to agree a date and time for the test at the Nov 20<sup>th</sup> hearing
- Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Requirements
- Notification to other towns within 20 miles: completed;
- Plan Requirements: provided; checklist reviewed and acceptable;
- Propagation Map: provided;
- Photographic documentation of the balloon test: to be provided;
- Written proof facility complies w/ FCC RF Standards: provided
- NEPA evaluation: Phase I Site Assessment, July 18, 2013 provided;



- FCC licenses: provided;
- Collocation Agreement: Verizon agrees to this and has requested that the Town provide a copy of the agreement used at the most recent telecommunications site for review; applicant will provide the Town with a copy of the agreement for review; and
- Further information required: information provided on others sites, sites outside Deerfield for consideration, collocation of other carriers, sites in Deerfield under consideration.

Comment: Discuss the performance guarantee of the tower if it will be removed and the process for regular inspection and review of the Tower. Since this a technical application, the DPB can discuss the need for a 3<sup>rd</sup> party specialist in telecommunications to provide an independent technical review.

Recommendation: Accept the application as complete and begin the public hearing process; set a date and time for the required balloon test.

cc: Atty. John Weaver

From: Gerald Coogan [gicoogan@tds.net](mailto:gicoogan@tds.net)  
Subject: Re: practical approach to JCR site visit  
Date: November 11, 2013 2:11:20 PM EST  
To: Kate Hartnett <[nhkate@ncia.net](mailto:nhkate@ncia.net)>, Lisa Wolford  
<[wolfordnh@gmail.com](mailto:wolfordnh@gmail.com)>, Fred McGarry <[mcgarry128@myfairpoint.net](mailto:mcgarry128@myfairpoint.net)>  
Cc: Peter Schibbelhute <[PeteSchib@gmail.com](mailto:PeteSchib@gmail.com)>, Alan O'Neal  
<[aoneal@metrocast.net](mailto:aoneal@metrocast.net)>, Jane Boucher <[f5fy@aol.com](mailto:f5fy@aol.com)>

I spoke with Tobin Farwell, PE and he will attend the Nov 20th DPB continued public hearing. It appears he has no new information to present and from his standpoint, he sees the major discussion point being selecting a date and time for the helicopter demonstration. When the PB decides on a time / date of the demonstration, the site visit will be publicly noticed and as such the public can attend.

Please advise what additional information you would like to have for the 20th.

Thank you.

Jerry

**From:** Kate Hartnett  
**Sent:** Monday, November 11, 2013 11:57 AM  
**To:** Lisa Wolford ; mcgarry128@myfairpoint.net  
**Cc:** Gerald Coogan ; Peter Schibbelhute ; Alan O'Neal ; Jane Boucher  
**Subject:** practical approach to JCR site visit

Makes sense to me. THx for the idea Lisa. Jerry, can you do?

Kate Hartnett  
[nhkate@ncia.net](mailto:nhkate@ncia.net)  
Deerfield 603.463.9091  
Berlin 603.752.2666

**From:** Lisa Wolford

**Sent:** 09 November, 2013 11:46 AM

**To:** mcgarry128@myfairpoint.net

**Cc:** Gerald Coogan ; Kate Hartnett ; Peter Schibbelhute ; Alan O'Neal  
; Jane Boucher

**Subject:** JCR site visit

Why don't we check with JCR first? It is very unlikely that more than a handful of people would show up, and JCR may not have any problem with that. If noise is the primary concern, the abutters should have the opportunity to know first-hand whether that concern is well-founded or not.

On Nov 7, 2013, at 5:45 PM, mcgarry128@myfairpoint.net wrote:

We'll have to pass this by Upton and Hatfield. I have concerns from the applicant's standpoint. It's one thing to have 5 members of the planning board on the property but it would be entirely different if the Board plus 30 abutters show up. As a property owner, I would be concerned from a potential liability standpoint.

Fred

On Tue, 5 Nov 2013 22:26:49 -0500, Lisa Wolford <wolfordnh@gmail.com> wrote:

I thought more about this. Assuming the public can indeed go to the site visit, we told people at the meeting that they could not. If this was wrong, then we should correct the misimpression (we should also let JCR know). I think the only way to do this is to do so at the 11/20 meeting, which everyone already has notice of.

JCR should also know that the withdrawal email and conversations will be a part of the record--just so it is not a surprise to anyone.

On Nov 5, 2013, at 9:24 PM, "Gerald Coogan" <[gicoogan@tds.net](mailto:gicoogan@tds.net)> wrote:

To all,

I think the Board should meet on Nov 13th and decide on a date / time for the demonstration. That way the process will be transparent and abutters will be properly notified.

J

**From:** [Lisa Wolford](#)

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 05, 2013 7:01 AM

**To:** [Gerald Coogan](#)

**Cc:** [Fred McGarry](#) ; [Kate Hartnett](#) ; [Peter Schibbelhute](#) ; [Alan O'Neal](#) ; [Jane Boucher](#)

**Subject:** Re: Draft DPB Meeting Minutes of October 23, 2013

OEP says that the public must be able to observe at site visits. I think there is probably no question that the abutters must be notified.

The excerpt below is from the OEP handbook. If there is any further question about this, we should seek input from Upton (and if that happens, they should cite whatever authority--rules, statutes, cases--that inform their opinion.

#### **SITE VISITS**

Despite what the statutes may say, planning board members have no right to trespass without permission (constitutionally, since you represent the government, it might violate Due Process, and give rise to a civil rights action against you). The board should always get permission from the owner.

- If the permission is refused, the board normally would deny an application for failure of the applicant to allow the board to get sufficient information.
- Individual board members may visit a site (with permission), and as long as there is no quorum of the

board, the Right-to-Know Law does not apply.

- If a quorum attends a site visit, that is a "meeting." Notice is required, as well as minutes.

- **Since it is a meeting, a site visit must also provide for the public to attend and observe.**

- If the applicant refuses access to the non-board public, that also may be a basis for denial (without prejudice).

On Nov 4, 2013, at 11:00 PM, Gerald Coogan wrote:

To all,

Please see the attached draft minutes of 10 / 23 / 13.

Jerry

Gerald Coogan, AICP  
Planning & Development Consultant  
P.O. Box 461  
New London, NH 03257-0461  
603.526.9888 Office  
603.748.5580 Cell  
gicoogan@tds.net  
<DPB Oct 23 13.doc>

Do the abutters need to be notified of this meeting?

On Nov 4, 2013, at 10:32 AM, Jerry Coogan wrote:

To all

FYI. As noted, JCR would like to conduct a demonstration on a weekend. Please advise and thank you.

Jerry

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Tobin Farwell" <[t\\_farwell@farwellengineering.com](mailto:t_farwell@farwellengineering.com)>

**Date:** November 4, 2013, 9:00:00 AM EST

**To:** "'Gerald Coogan'" <[gicoogan@tds.net](mailto:gicoogan@tds.net)>

**Subject:** RE: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Jerry,

I spoke with Joe, and after reconsideration he has decided to move forward with the project and meet with the planning board on the 20<sup>th</sup>. We would like to set up a demonstration at the Raymond site, if possible before the meeting on the 20<sup>th</sup>. We can't fly at night but weekends are available. Can you coordinate with the other members of the planning board for a time that works for them? Let me know and I will coordinate the helicopter.

Thanks

Tobin

**From:** Gerald Coogan [<mailto:gicoogan@tds.net>] **Sent:** Sunday, November 03, 2013 12:49 PM **To:** Tobin Farwell **Cc:** Jane Boucher **Subject:** JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Hi Tobin:

**From:** Gerald Coogan <gicoogan@tds.net>  
**Subject:** Re: Site visit schedule for JCR Airport Site  
**Date:** November 8, 2013 8:39:09 AM EST  
**To:** Kate Hartnett <nhkate@ncia.net>, Lisa Wolford <wolfordnh@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** Fred McGarry <mcgarry128@myfairpoint.net>, Peter Schibbelhute <PeteSchib@gmail.com>, Alan O'Neal <aoneal@metrocast.net>, Jane Boucher [f5fy@aol.com](mailto:f5fy@aol.com)

It is best that the Board decide on a site visit at its Nov 20th continuation meeting. Tobin Farwell concurs with this.

Jerry

**From:** Kate Hartnett  
**Sent:** Friday, November 08, 2013 7:36 AM  
**To:** [Lisa Wolford](#) ; [Jerry Coogan](#)  
**Cc:** [Fred McGarry](#) ; [Peter Schibbelhute](#) ; [Alan O'Neal](#) ; [Jane Boucher](#)  
**Subject:** Site visit schedule for JCR Airport Site

Hi all. I can do this weekend, either day, or Mon 11 Nov or Tues 12 Nov. Next weekend no good.

Kate Hartnett  
[nhkate@ncia.net](mailto:nhkate@ncia.net)  
Deerfield 603.463.9091  
Berlin 603.752.2666

**From:** [Lisa Wolford](#)  
**Sent:** 05 November, 2013 6:43 AM  
**To:** [Jerry Coogan](#)  
**Cc:** [Fred McGarry](#) ; [Kate Hartnett](#) ; [Peter Schibbelhute](#) ; [Alan O'Neal](#) ; [Jane Boucher](#)  
**Subject:** Re: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

I can meet either this weekend (11/9-10) or next (11/16-17). Depending on the day, I may also be able to meet early in the morning, like from 7 to 8 a.m.

We have received a Cell Tower application and would like to schedule the application for Wed Nov 20th if Mr. Reed withdraws his application. At present, we are reserving all of the time on Nov 20th for the JCR application. We would appreciate your contacting Mr. Reed and determining if he wishes to proceed with the continued public hearing on Wed Nov 20th.

Please advise by late Tuesday the 5th if possible and thank you.

Jerry

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Tobin Farwell

**To:** 'gicoogan tds.net'

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 16, 2013 10:13 AM

**Subject:** RE: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Has steve gotten the plans or not!!! WHO IS SUPPOSED TO GET HIM THE PLANS. If it is me let me know!!

Tobin

**From:** gicoogan tds.net [mailto:[gicoogan@tds.net](mailto:gicoogan@tds.net)] **Sent:** Wednesday, October 16, 2013 10:58 AM **To:** Tobin Farwell **Subject:** Re: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Hi Tobin:

Thank you and please share the information from the pilot with me.

If Steve K has rec'd the plans, he will do a letter report for the DPB.

Regards,

Jerry



On Wed, Oct 16, 2013 at 9:52 AM, Tobin Farwell  
<[t\\_farwell@farwellengineering.com](mailto:t_farwell@farwellengineering.com)> wrote:

It is my understanding that the NHDOT certificate is what is required. I am meeting with the Pilot this week to get a more complete understanding of the rules governing Helicopters and will let you know.

As far as Steve K. I provided you a copy of the plans and the town with several copies as required and requested.

Thanks  
Tobin

**From:** gicoogan tds.net [mailto:[gicoogan@tds.net](mailto:gicoogan@tds.net)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:17 AM  
**To:** Tobin Farwell **Cc:** Steven B. Keach  
**Subject:** Re: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Hi Tobin:

FAA requirements for helicopter pads facilities etc? Are they exempt under a certain size?

Did Steve K get a copy of the site plan?

Thank you.

Jerry

On Wed, Oct 16, 2013 at 8:50 AM, Tobin Farwell  
<[t\\_farwell@farwellengineering.com](mailto:t_farwell@farwellengineering.com)> wrote:

Jerry,

The helicopter landing pad will be asphalt not concrete.

It is my understanding that there will be no fluids for the helicopter stored on site.

Tobin

**From:** Gerald Coogan [mailto:gicoogan@tds.net] **Sent:** Tuesday, October 15, 2013 9:07 PM **To:** Tobin Farwell **Subject:** Re: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Hi Tobin:

Thank you.

In addition, are there FAA requirements re: helicopter flight safety, take offs / landings etc. Please contact Steve Keach and see if he any comments.

Please review and provide comments on the items in yellow.

Thank you.

Jerry

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Tobin Farwell

**To:** 'Gerald Coogan'

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 15, 2013 8:45 AM

**Subject:** FW: JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Certificate for JCR heli pad

Tobin

**From:** Matthew Reed [mailto:matthew.reed@jcrutility.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 15, 2013 7:50 AM

**To:** t\_farwell@farwellengineering.com

**Subject:** JCR Airport Site Certificate for Deerfield

Good Morning,

Please refer to the attachment for the heliport certificate from the state for our site in Deerfield, NH on North Road. If you require any additional

information or have questions, please feel free to contact us at your convenience.

Thank you,

**Matthew Reed Safety & Operations Manager JCR Construction  
181 Route 27 PO Box 331 Raymond, NH 03077 Business:(603)  
895-4062**

**Business Fax:(603) 895-2844**

**Cell: (603) 490-9070**

**matthew.reed@jcrutility.com www.jcrutility.com**

### **STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY**

**The information contained in this electronic message and any attachments to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify JCR Construction Company, Inc. immediately at 603.895.4062 or reply to matthew.reed@jcrutility.com , and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.**