

**TOWN OF DEERFIELD, NH
MUNICIPAL BUDGET COMMITTEE
George B. White Building
8 Raymond Road, Deerfield, NH 03037
May 30, 2017
MINUTES**

Call to Order

6:30 pm – Chair called the meeting to order

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

Chairman Spillane asks all to rise and pledge allegiance to the Flag

Chairman Spillane welcomed everyone to the organizational meeting.

Moment of Silence/Prayer:

Chairman Spillane called for a moment of Silence/Prayer

Roll Call: James Spillane, Chairman, Bill von Hassel, Vice Chairman, Members: John Dubiansky, Kevin Verville, David Carbone, Philip Bilodeau, Alden Dill, Troi Hopkins, Zach Langlois, School Board Representative Member; Andrew Robertson, Select Board Member.

Unexcused Absence: Steve Giovinelli

asked if Chairman Spillane would read the roll for the person that is

Chairman Spillane introduced the following members of the board:

Andy Robertson, John Dubiansky

Chairman Spillane asked if Mr. Dubiansky would like to say something about yourself and/or anything else at all. Introduce yourself to everybody.

Mr. Dubiansky stated that ya, he thought that everyone here knows him. He grew up in town on Nottingham Road and now live on Swamp Road. He has been on the fire department and rescue squad for 21 years. He stated that he works for the school for about ten. He still works at Parks and Rec. He stated that he has been there for probably, since they started the after-school program and works full time for the City of Manchester as a waste water treatment plant operator.

Roll call continued with Kevin Verville, Dave Carbone, himself, Bill von Hassel, Phil Bilodeau,

Phil stated that he lives on Nottingham Road and has been in Deerfield since 1970. Did Planning Board, Zoning Board of Adjustment. He stated that he works in Concord. He also stated that his two kids grew up through the school system here.

Alden Dill stated that he lives on Griffin Road.

Zach Langlois and Troi Hopkins.

Chairman Spillane stated that that was the roll. He went to say that he didn't think that there were outstanding minutes.

Review of Outstanding Minutes:

Motion: Kevin Verville moves to approve the minutes of 20 Dec, 2016 as written

Second: Andy Robertson

Discussion: The chair recognized Mr. Verville who stated for information for our new members who obviously haven't seen a copy of those, they probably are online in draft format but it is just for general housekeeping for carryover members.

Chairman Spillane asked if anyone had any corrections. He stated that he basically has transcribed them at that point as he is doing now because we don't have a secretary, we still don't have a secretary. Hopefully we will be able to fill that before the next season starts in the fall. We have all summer to look. Seeing no comments

Vote: Yea 7, Nay 0, Abstained 3 – Motion Carries

Motion: Kevin Verville moves to approve the minutes of the 3rd of January, 2017 as written

Second: Andy Robertson

Discussion: Zach Langlois stated to the Chairman that he didn't see the January 30th, and was corrected to January 3rd, online.

Mr. Verville stated that they weren't online but that they were circulated to members.

Mr. Langlois stated that he just found them on the website.

Chairman Spillane stated that he believed that he also circulated them. Seeing no comments on those the vote was taken

Vote: Yea 7, Nay 0, Abstained 3 – Motion Carries

Motion: Mr. Verville moves to approve the minutes of 10, January, 2017 as written

Second: Mr. Robertson

Discussion: None heard

Vote: Yea 7, Nay 0, Abstained 3 – Motion Carries

Mr. Verville stated to the Chairman that there were two sets of detailed minutes that are as of yet outstanding. Maybe we can take that up at our next meeting.

Chairman Spillane stated that he would suggest that.

Chairman Spillane stated that he would move into Election of Officers.

Motion: Chairman Spillane moves to nominate Mr. Verville as Chair

Second: Mr. Verville

Discussion: The Chair called on Mr. Langlois.

Mr. Langlois stated that for the sake of creating an option I would also like to move another member. Chairman Spillane stated that okay, they should deal with the motion on the floor first and then move onto another motion should that one fails, is the way it is usually done.

Chairman Spillane asked if there were any other further discussion. The chair recognized Mr. Verville.

Mr. Verville stated that the only thing that he would say is that if he is elected chair, he will run the meetings as he ran them for the three years previous to last year, not withstanding the posting of the public hearing which proved to be a challenge for me for a couple of years but finally did master that so if

elected Chair, I will run fair and open meetings, make sure everything is posted, make sure people have hard copies of agendas, minutes and any other documents required for the working of the meeting.

Chairman Spillane asked if there were any other comments, discussions, questions.

Mr. Bilodeau asked the Chair how we would choose between one or two candidates if you are only going to move that one motion? He went on to say that he didn't, wasn't clear.

Chairman Spillane recognized Mr. Langlois.

Mr. Chairman, I intend to nominate depending the outcome of Mr. Verville's vote, or the vote for Mr. Verville, I intend to nominate Alden Dill to act as chairman for this committee so that effectively does give an option. I would see that that motion is seconded when an opportunity is made to make it.

Chairman Spillane asked if there were any further discussion on it.

Vote: Yea 5, Nay 4, Abstained 1 – Motion Carries

Chairman Spillane stated that that passes and congratulated Mr. Verville and stated that he would give him his key later. Mr. Verville thanked Mr. Spillane.

Mr. Verville stated that he forgot about the key.

Mr. Spillane stated that Mr. Verville gets to learn how to run the door with that stupid little (rest inaudible).

Motion: Chairman Verville moves to nominate Mr. Dubiansky as Vice Chair

Second: Mr. Spillane

Discussion: Chairman Verville asked if there were any discussion and asked Mr. Dubiansky if he would accept. Mr. Dubiansky stated yes.

Vote: Yea 6, Nay 2, Abstained 2 – Motion Carries

Mr. Spillane asked to hold up so he could capture the results.

Chairman Verville congratulated Mr. Dubiansky.

Old Business:

Chairman Verville asked if anybody that is a current member have any old business.

None stated.

New Business:

Chairman Verville stated that there is just an agenda going around. We are in lack of a recording secretary. Our recording secretary has resigned.

Mr. Spillane interjected to state that that is what he was doing.

Chairman Verville continued that there are two sets of outstanding minutes that need a full type-up and I took the liberty to reach out to Mr. Harrington to see if the town had a resource available. He indicated that he reached out to the new recording secretary for the select board but I have not heard back. So in lieu of that, the one thing that I don't have time for is to type up all the minutes, so as a committee we need to come to a consensus of how we are going to deal with that until we get a recording secretary.

One solution would be to simply publish abbreviated minutes. So, motions, vote totals, that would be about it. So, if anybody knows of anybody that would like to be a recording secretary or if there is a member on the committee that would volunteer to do that work, it would be greatly appreciated.

Mr. Spillane stated greatly, as he didn't want to keep on typing through meetings either.

Chairman Verville stated that it does not require attendance at the meetings. The meetings are actually video recorded and so you can transcribe the minutes from watching the video on YouTube as soon as it is posted which is pretty quick.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Spillane.

He would also add that he could continue to try to capture high level minutes if we don't have someone at the meeting that then can be brought into the detailed minutes which is the way I had to do it when we lost our recording secretary.

Right here on the agenda I just type up any major decisions we made or votes and people who did them so that we can at least get that online in time for the law requirements to have our minutes available. The RSA just requires that we have any major decisions that were made and attendance posted as minutes so that part isn't really detailed like we like to have but it fits the law and it can be done quickly so that we are in compliance with the law.

Chairman Verville thanked Mr. Spillane and asked for any other comments on a recording secretary.

Mr. Dubiansky asked Chairman Verville if he had reached out to Dianne and she just hasn't gotten back to you.

Chairman Verville stated that he had talked to Mr. Harrington and he is going to reach out. So, I haven't heard back so we will wait to see on that. I will also ask to have it posted anew.

Chairman Verville stated that next for our new members but always a good review for all of us, budget committees are defined in state statute under NH RSA TITLE III, CHAPTER 32, I have put the web link for that encourage all members to take the time to read through that. That is what gives us our legal charge.

Also, we have to comply with NH RSA TITLE VI CHAPTER 91-A: ACCESS TO GOVERNMENTAL RECORDS AND MEETINGS better known as the "Right to Know" Law as well. I would encourage all members of the committee to take a look at that.

Just as a refresher at parliamentary procedure, we follow "Robert's Rules of Order" and is our custom, or as modified and voted by the MBC. So, if we are following parliamentary procedure and we want to change that procedure, we certainly have that as long as it is legal.

A quorum is six members so for us to have a meeting we need to have six or more members present, any less than that is not a meeting. That also loops back up to Item C which is Right to Know. So, we shouldn't be having conversations which more than, it has to be less than a quorum otherwise it's essentially a non-posted meeting.

I will draw your attention to the absences because Chapter 32:15 VI is quite specific on that and so four consecutive absences essentially moves you off the MBC. To the best of his knowledge it has never happened, he is not sure. I know that Andy and Mr. Spillane....

Mr. Spillane stated that he didn't think it had happened in his time.

Chairman Verville added which is ten years.

Mr. Robertson stated that they had one member that was gone from absence and one member that was gone for behavior.

Chairman Verville stated okay.

Mr. Spillane stated that it had to of happened over ten years ago then to which Mr. Robertson stated yes.

Chairman Verville stated so it can happen so I put my MBC email there and will check it right before meetings start before every meeting. I have also put my cell phone number there so that you can call or text, either way is fine.

The MBC has an email policy and I have made copies of that policy if you can take one and pass it (inaudible) pass it down. Again, this is for reference. You can read that at your leisure. We also have a policy from the select board relative to legal inquiries to the New Hampshire Municipal Association. This is a relatively, I guess it isn't that new, from January 2015.

So, what the nutshell is of the policy is that individual members cannot make a legal inquiry to the Municipal Association. Past practice of this body has been to send those, if you have a legal inquiry, to send that legal inquiry to the Chairman and then the Chairman will then send the inquiry verbatim as received from the requesting member to the Municipal Association and then the reply from the Municipal Association will be provided to that member for (inaudible) so if you have a legal.....

Mr. Dill stated to Chairman Verville stated that you were relatively safe in your bet that you were going to become Chair.

Chairman Verville stated that he did prepare to be the Chair, he did, yes.

Mr. Dill stated that it seems a little odd Kevin.

Mr. Bilodeau asked if the agenda was published today or yesterday. Chairman Verville stated that he copied this today, that this is not published, so I am handing this out for background information for members of the committee.

Mr. Bilodeau stated that it was on the town letterhead as of January 12th, 2015. Did you amend this letter?

Both Mr. Spillane and Chairman Verville stated that the letter was from the select board.

Mr. Spillane stated that the select board had sent this to the MBC. They made this decision and sent it to the MBC.

Mr. Bilodeau stated that he was confused. Mr. Dill asked if Chairman Verville was the chair on January 12th, 2015. Chairman Verville stated that he was and was affirmed by Mr. Spillane.

Mr. Dill stated that he misunderstood.

Chairman Verville stated that was fine. So, the email policy of the committee predates my membership on the committee so that goes way back. The letter relative to the Municipal Association was a policy passed by the select board. The MBC has repeatedly requested that policy be rescinded. The select board has chosen not to do that. So that is the policy. What we have decided as a committee in the past is if any member has a legal inquiry, the legal inquiry goes to the Chair per BOS policy, the Chair will forward it to the Municipal Association verbatim and then send the reply back to from the Municipal Association to the member verbatim. So, it follows the policy but allows basically any member to ask any question.

And then there is a proposed meeting schedule for this year. It's the same as the last probably at least five, six years, he thought but with adjustments for the calendar dates.

So, unless somebody wants to modify the date of our next meeting, our next meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, 19 September.

So, if anyone wants, we can modify this on the fly for new members, if we go through the year, we may find we want to modify this, then we can. This is not set in stone, this is just a flushed-out schedule so people have transparency and can make appropriate planning.

Some of these are legal requirements so the public hearing and whatnot are defined by RSA, what the window is for that.

Mr. Spillane added but we don't know what those dates are yet? The two deadline dates are not....

Chairman Verville stated that he thought that these were correct but that he had to double check them because it is by RSA so it is (inaudible)...

Mr. Robertson stated that the Municipal Association publishes a quick guide that lists everything so

Chairman Verville stated that it is usually much later in the year.

So, any other new business.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. von Hassel.

Mr. von Hassel stated that he thought with a few exceptions, most of us would agree that some of the meeting dragged on interminably last year through the last term. I would like to float the idea out there that we put a time limit on the discussion by an individual of a certain line item to two minutes with the possible extension of one minute approved by the Chair.

Motion: Mr. von Hassel moves to we put a time limit on the discussion by an individual of a certain line item to two minutes with the possible extension of one minute approved by the Chair.

Second: Mr. Langlois

Discussion: Chairman Verville stated to be clear, the motion on the table to place a time limit continued by Mr. von Hassel on an individual per discussion of the line item to two minutes only with an exception of one minute approved by the Chair.

Chairman Verville stated that it is a two minute per line item and the one minute is at the discretion of the Chair, one-minute extension.

Mr. von Hassel stated correct.

The Chair recognized Mr. Spillane. He stated that it didn't sound unreasonable to him but would that be for the initial discussion and therefore if there are other points brought up and it comes back to that number or how is that handled? Does that member not get to speak again if they have used their two minutes or I mean what's the, I am just wondering where this might lead to.

Mr. von Hassel stated that he could envision that the Chair could, I mean you don't really want to stifle someone's conversation about a particular item but then again you don't want it to drag on till 9:30 at night so I would propose that there be an additional one-minute exception if it comes back to that topic but end it at that point.

Chairman Verville asked for any further discussion and recognized Ms. Hopkins.

Ms. Hopkins stated and this applies to every member? Mr. von Hassel stated correct. Ms. Hopkins continued, including the Chair and the assistant Chair. Mr. von Hassel agreed.

Mr. von Hassel stated that that was his intent.

Ms. Hopkins stated that she liked that intent.

Chairman Verville asked for any more discussion, seeing none he stated that he would weigh in a little bit. I would advise against this motion. So, that allows you a total of two-minute questioning time for any line item on the budget. The, some of these budget items require more time than that. It is at the discretion of the committee. What I would recommend would be defeat this motion, a motion to limit debate can be made at any meeting, just like a motion to adjourn can be made at any time. It is always in order. I would suggest that it would be more prudent to vote to limit debate when debate actually is being non-productive. To limit the amount of time any member on this committee has to inquire about a line item to less amount of time than what boards allow, committees allow for public comment during their meeting. To me, it sounds very imprudent so it will my intention to vote against this and I would recommend that motions to limit debate should be made when debate is dragging on.

Chairman Verville asked for any further discussion.

Mr. Bilodeau asked if it was the intent for the speakers are limited or that we are limited?

Chairman Verville replied that no, the motion is to limit the amount of time that a committee member has to ask

Mr. Bilodeau stated that he was clear, that it was us.

Chairman Verville stated that if the Chair does not indulge the extra minute, it's two minutes per line item.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. Spillane:

He states that he is still unclear. If we bring up a question about a line item and let's say I bring it up, and I put my questions forward, one, whose keeping track of the time if I ask thirty seconds worth to put it out now and I have an answer back and then I ask a follow-up question for that and I...

Mr. von Hassel stated that he would be glad to buy a stop watch.

Mr. Spillane continued, but who, but then if other members start getting in as well, then you have each other member speaking in his two minutes.

Multiple people start talking.

Chairman Verville stated, please one at a time.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. Spillane.

Chairman Verville continued and stated that the management of a clock would be the duty of the Chair so it would be at the, at the call of the Chair to do that because we don't have, we don't have a clerk, we don't have a secretary, and so presumably that would fall to the Chair or delegation of that responsibility to a willing member could be pushed to a member. But it would be at the will of the Chair. Unless the committee wants to vote to delegate a time keeper.

Mr. Spillane stated that although he likes the idea, he thought that it was overly complicated.

Mr. von Hassel stated that he thought that it didn't have to be. There shouldn't be more than one person talking at one time anyway.

Chairman Verville stated so, I agree, if we could be recognized, that would help order.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Spillane.

Mr. Spillane stated that we have sat through enough budget meetings that we know that I have a question, somebody else has a question or a comment, and that person has a comment and it does tend to from member to member on this Board which is the whole purpose of having this many people on the Board and trying to keep track who spoke for how long on any given line item. Basically, it would have, we're saying eleven possible members on the Board here with two minutes each so we are talking about 22 minutes of discussion on any particular line item divided between all the people and it just seems like it's, when we get into the actual meat of the budget items, it could be distracting and complicated.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. Dill.

Mr. Dill stated that when watching the videos last year, there seemed to be certain members holding court for an extended period of time that weren't being held up by the Chair. So, I think that this is a, while brief, there's a good point to it and I think that we should support it.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Robertson

He noted that if it doesn't work, if it appears to be failing and preventing reasonable debate, we can revisit it and change the time limit or do away with it.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. von Hassel

He stated that he was going to basically mimic that response but this is something that we can adjust if it appears that it is unworkable or we can make adjustments as it goes along to make it work better.

Chairman Verville stated that that is why he would recommend that we defeat the article and limit debate when debate drags on when it actually becomes an issue, because basically there will not, with a two-minute time limit, there is no debate. You have time to frame a question, give some brief answers and that's it.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. Robertson

Motion: Mr. Robertson moves the question

Second: Mr. Langlois

Discussion: Non-debatable.

Motion is "all that are in favor of a two-minute time limit per line item with a potential for a one-minute extension at the discretion of the Chair.

Vote: Yea 5, Nay 4, Abstained 1 – Motion Carries

Chairman Verville stated that he will invest in a stop watch and we will see how it goes.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Langlois

Mr. Langlois stated that if he may briefly ask Mr. Robertson a question from his previous experience on the committee?

Chairman Verville agreed.

Mr. Langlois went on to state that he believed last year in years past the questions to the respective boards in town had at one point come from a committee as a whole then they were voted on as a committee? Can you elaborate on that?

Mr. Robertson stated that sure, not so much questions but information requests. When we made information requests of the select board or the school board we took a majority vote of the budget committee to determine whether the committee wanted that material as opposed to just requesting it directly from a select board member or a school board member or the SAU and that had been protocol for a fair number of years.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Dill

Mr. Dill stated that he had been a selectman in Northwood for six years. We had the same policy.

Motion: Mr. Langlois moves that the Budget Committee to return to the previous policy where requests for additional information be a vote of the committee.

Ms. Hopkins seconded. Chairman Verville stated that he would take the second in just a second but that he wanted to make sure that he understood the motion. So, the motion is any request for information from any outside source other than the Municipal Association, requires a majority vote of the committee. Is that correct? Chairman Verville stated that he made the motion so he has to answer.

Mr. Langlois stated that it would be that requests for additional information from the select board, or its departments and the school board or the SAU come from the budget, as a vote from the budget committee.

Chairman Verville stated that for any requests for further information other than what's supplied, requires a majority vote from the MBC to request the information, is that correct.

Mr. Langlois stated yes.

Chairman Verville asked to jot it down and he will take the second.

Second: Ms. Hopkins.

Discussion: Mr. Langlois stated that he feels that continuing with the previous practice would help the meetings move a little bit quicker, a little bit smoother as well as allow the committee to get the information it's looking for by asking for it as a committee.

Chairman Verville asked for any further discussion and called on Mr. Robertson.

Mr. Robertson felt that it certainly adds more weight to the select board or the school board if the requests come from the committee as opposed to one or two individuals looking for something.

Chairman Verville recognized Ms. Hopkins

She would discuss that it would feel more comfortable when the board has made a decision as opposed to an individual bringing information to us and piling it on and telling us something that we need to know when we haven't even been aware of this information that we need to know.

Chairman Verville added that he didn't think that would prevent a member from supplying information to the committee.

Ms. Hopkins stated that she didn't think that anyone asking anyone to prevent a member, we are asking that if someone that they are seeking beyond what was offered, that the committee chooses to vote whether or not seeking further information on that topic.

Chairman Verville stated that he understood that. That is fine.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Bilodeau.

Mr. Bilodeau stated so he is trying to understand, so if I were here last year or any one of the members here last year wanted to know more information from the school board or the health officer, that individual would simply call the health officer and say "I am on the MBC and I want the information."

Chairman Verville stated that historically what, the way that we have operated for at least the last five, six years, however long that I have been on it, the MBC, if a member wants further information, they can make that request and that request is, as far as he knew, always been done in a meeting. So, if a request from the town, say we get the town budget in preliminary format and somebody sees some information that they are looking for is missing, they would request it from the select board member. If we received information from the school board and somebody thumbed through it and thought something was missing or wanted more information, they, the request it through the school board member.

When department heads come in to provide information for their budget, going through it as a committee sometimes we find things lacking or things more information we would like supplied, then that request is made at that time. In the past, it is not required majority vote of the committee to be able to get that information. Does that answer your question?

Mr. Bilodeau thanked Chairman Verville and recognized Mr. Spillane

Mr. Spillane stated that in essence, for the last ten years or so since I have been on the board, if something was wanted because we're looking in the budget and find something is missing that we thought we should get some more information on, it didn't, the difference between this motion and what we historically did is if I said I'd like to see this it only took me asking while we're here in this meeting and that would be a formal request. The motion on the floor is to say if I ask that we have to take a vote now and see if a majority of people also want that and if a majority of the people, the board don't want to see that information then I can't get that information.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. Dill who yielded to Mr. Robertson.

Mr. Robertson stated that he would just comment that one of the things that we do at the Board of Selectmen pretty regularly is that before entertaining a motion, the chairman will say is there any objection to this information request or is there any objection to this, is there general consensus and if virtually everyone nods their head, raises their hand up, we don't formalize the procedure and I think that could be done in lesser instances as well two unanimous consent.

Chairman Verville recognizes Mr. Dill

He stated that he believed that his motion was not for when a department head standing in front of here, not to ask the fire chief how many miles are on a fire truck but that single members can't email the SAU for information, that it has to be I, Mr. Dill of the budget committee, present a motion at the meeting that we request from the SAU, this number or this report.

Multiple people wanted to speak.

Chairman Verville asked for one at a time and recognized Mr. Langlois

Mr. Langlois went on to say that it's important to recognize that this committee is in the same similar fashion to the select board and the school board. The weight is not their members, the weight of the committees as a committee the way to the select board is not within the individual selectmen, it is with the weight of the select board. Same applies to the school board so those requests for additional information which do utilize the town's resources and time should carry the weight of the budget committee and not individual members.

Chairman Verville asked for further discussion.

Chairman Verville stated that the only thing that he would add is that if one desires further information and a simple majority of the budget committee chooses to not be interested in that information for whatever reason, then the information will not be made available. I will, I will disagree a little bit that you know if there's a department head that comes before us and somebody and somebody asks them for information that's not part of the packet, that the way this motion is worded, would fall under the requirement for a vote and so unanimous consent just so we're clear on what these terms are, unanimous consent which is a common tool, simply to say do we have unanimous consent. If one objects, if one person says no then it does have to go to a formal vote to move forward. So, I, I'm going to vote no on this and I'm going to vote no for two reasons, one I think it becomes very cumbersome to try to get any information and number two I think it's very difficult to ask a member to vote yes or no on a line item or a budget without the background information that they feel is required to be able to make that decision in good faith instead of.

Chairman Verville recognized Mr. Dill

Motion: Mr. Dill asked to move the question.

Second: Mr. Robertson

Discussion: Moved and seconded and non-debatable.

Vote: Yea 7, Nay 3, Abstained 0 – Motion Carries

Chairman Verville asked if there were any other new business and recognized Mr. Langlois.

Mr. Langlois stated one final thing in regards to Mr. von Hassel's motion for setting time limits for individual members, I would move that we assign that responsibility to Mr. von Hassel to keep track of the clock for lack of a better term.

Chairman Verville asked Mr. von Hassel if he would be willing to accept that responsibility of which he replied sure.

Motion: Mr. Langlois moves to have Mr. von Hassel accept the responsibility to keep track of the clock

Second: Mr. Robertson

Discussion: None

Vote: Yea 9, Nay 1, Abstained 0 – Motion Carries

Chairman Verville stated that just for clarification, if Mr. von Hassel is unable to attend the meeting, how does the committee wish to handle that.

Mr. Dubiansky stated that he would volunteer to be the deputy vice chair.

Chairman Verville stated that okay so you'll find someone to (not able to transcribe) so I'm going to leave it then because I am the dissenting vote, I'm going to leave it to the committee to arrange itself to supply a timer.

Motion: Mr. von Hassel moves to have the vice chair do it

Second: Mr. Robertson

Discussion: Mr. von Hassel stated that if I'm not here, the vice chair keeps a clock which I, Chairman Verville stated that it's probably better if you guys, we just decide if you happen to be absent who does it because if you're not here and he's not here you have,

Mr. Robertson stated that he withdraws his second then. It can be a suggestion then as opposed to trying to be

Mr. von Hassel stated that it shouldn't be that complicated

Chairman Verville asked if there were any other new business. Seems there is none so a motion to adjourn is in order.

Motion: Mr. Langlois moves to adjourn

Second: Multiple people seconded

Discussion:

Vote: Yea 10, Nay 0, Abstained 0 – Motion Carries

Next Meeting: Tuesday, September 19, 2017 at 6:30 pm

*The Minutes were transcribed and respectfully submitted by Dianne L. Kimball, Recording Secretary
Pending approval by the Municipal Budget Committee*