## BELLINGHAM PLANNING BOARD

The solveduled sublic benedles on this present suculation of based law and

are subsect entires and a substance develop-

local aid bedåmin dakte got lyt die end as been elecat

Amile to take a treatment of the

BELLINGHAM, MASS. the seconditied enable constant or you required conference of the filters States

Meeting of Monday, February 20, 1961. In t. It was felt by be and such age artice was an Hickory. Grare were dayed

Five members were present: H. Cook, Jr. රු <mark>වෙන්ර</mark>ය පළමුව රුදුව වලදා එවරදා

R. Richard

any all .bank sid no specimen E DeRouin out evoted pero reference moderal evo

. Drawit a mailtriogna to second and R. B. Biron could disconfict a desired acrostor as

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Arthur Pichette to be located off Paine St., was held. There were no objections filed with the Board on the subdivision and face a fraction of the subdivision of

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Paul Coderre to be located off Wrentham Rd., was held. A Mr. Gareau was concerned about the maintenance of an existing fence along the east line of the subdivision. He was informed that this was not a ground on which to turn down a subdivision. He was also told that he would have to deal with the future owners of the land concerning this mattere Mr. Wilfred Dufresne, who was representing the Cemetery Assn., was concerned about the possibility of having the subdivider place a fence between the cemetery and the subdivision. Mr. Russell Bowie. C.E., who was representing the petitioner, said that he thought that the petitioner might be willing to place a fence between the cemetery and the subdivision at his own cost. There were not objection filed as such against this subdivision. Mr. E. Crooks, sec. of the assn., was concerned about keeping the cemetery clean. He was told that attempts would be made to accomplish that result. Mr. & rs. Clinton were present, but did not object.

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Joseph Winiker off Hartford Ave. in the vicinity of the proposed Route 495, was held. Mr. Edward Sawyer and Mr. Karakeian, abutters to this subdivision stated that houses should not be constructed there because of the proposed Route 195. They would have to be knocked down at a later date, it was said. Mr. Biron then informed the petitioner that the loop for the interchanged was going to go through this subdivision, and that it was not wise to construct homes in that area. Mr. Biron stated that he had seen the proposed plans at the Dept. of Public Works in Boston, and that a loop was proposed over this subdivision, Mr. Sawyer stated further that he had been unable to sell his house because of the fact that it might have to be torn down when the proposed highway went through. Mr. Sawyer further stated that there was alot of ledge in this area. All of these facts were brought to the attention of the petitioners, however, he still wanted to go through with his plan. It was felt by the Board that these were not grounds on which this subdivsion could be turned down. However, no legal action was taken official at this meeting. This was just the public hearing, and the decision did not have to be made on that evening.

## BELLINGHAM PLANNING BOARD

look were as her suit but doe, which abouted his land.

. milatultilna nivetitati . ni nar

eminde acess i la col Estevio honogram medico geligend pilago principal si sul. Tanto de la granda de la Estado de la granda en la granda de la granda de la granda de la granda de la granda

BELLINGHAM, MASS.

Meeting of Monday, February 20, 1961.

Five members were present: H. Cook, Jr.

R. Richard

E. DeRouin

. Travel to much suit for han eferred H. Guerin . A. E. and so reduce a saw sind food City. by H. B. Biron could discontinue to severe act R. B. Biron could discontinue to severe act and

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Arthur Pichette to be located off Paine St., was held. There were no objections filed with the Board on the subdivision.

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Paul Coderre to be located off Wrentham Rd., was held. A Mr. Gareau was concerned about the maintenance of an existing fence along the east line of the subdivision. He was informed that this was not a ground on which to turn down a subdivision. He was also told that he would have to deal with the future owners of the land concerning this matter. Mr. Wilfred Dufresne, who was representing the Cemetery Assn., was concerned about the possibility of having the subdivider place a fence between the cemetery and the subdivision. Mr. Russell Bowie, C.E., who was representing the petitioner, said that he thought that the petitioner might be willing to place a fence between the cemetery and the subdivision at his own cost. There were not objectionafiled as such against this subdivision. Mr. E. Crooks, sec. of the assn., was concerned about keeping the cemetery clean. He was told that attempts would be made to accomplish that result. Mr. & Mrs. Clinton were present, but did not object.

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Joseph Winiker off Hartford Ave. in the vicinity of the proposed Route 495, was held. Mr. Edward Sawyer and Mr. Karakeian, abutters to this subdivision stated that houses should not be constructed there because of the proposed Route 195. They would have to be knocked down at a later date, it was said. Mr. Biron then informed the petitioner that the loop for the interchanged was going to go through this subdivision, and that it was not wise to construct homes in that area. Mr. Biron stated that he had seen the proposed plans at the Dept. of Public Works in Boston, and that a loop was proposed over this subdivision, Mr. Sawyer stated further that he had been unable to sell his house because of the fact that it might have to be torn down when the proposed highway went through. Mr. Sawyer further stated that there was alot of ledge in this area, All of these facts were brought to the attention of the petitioners, however, he still wanted to go through with his plan. It was felt by the Board that these were not grounds on which this subdivsion could be turned down. However, no legal action was taken official at this meeting. This was just the public hearing, and the decision did not have to be made on that evening.

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of Joseph Winiker on Taunton Street was then held. Mr. Maurice Patrick was concerned about the brook next to the subdivision, which abutted his land. He stated that Wethersfield was draining in it, and that this new subdivision would drain in it also. The Board informed Mr. Patrick that it would view the area before taking any official action on Mr. Winiker's subdivision. 

The scheduled public hearing on the proposed subdivision of the Alfarm Street Realty Trust of a proposed subdivision on Farm Street was held. Thumbandmin) The legal notice calling for this hearing correctly described the land, but stated that the petitioner was Joseph Winiker, whereas it should have been the Alfarm Street Realty Trust. It was felt by the Board that the notice was sufficient. There were form) no objections filed against this subdivision. All the abutters had been notified by registered mail. No official action was taken on this subdivision at this time.

Mr. Morton Schaefer came before the Board for a variance on his land. He was told that this was a matter for the Zomning Board of Appeals and not the Planning Board. He was referred to the Selectment, since they were in the process of appointing a Board.

Mr. Daly, Atty. for Auston O'Toole, appeared to (whatman) obtain copies of our regulations. Mr. O'Toole's civil engineer was with him.

Mr. Russell Bowie then presented a preliminary plan for Ferigno & Walker of a proposed subdivision on So. Main St., for (managemental) the approval of the Board. H. Cook, Jr., then moved to approve same, and his motion was seconded by H. Guerin. Biron objected to the approval of same, since it did not comply with the new zoming by-law, and since there was a question of whether or not the by-laws became effective on Dec. 2, 1960 instead of Feb. 20, 1961. However, H. Cook, Jr., E. DeRouin and H. Guerin voted to approve same. Biron then abstained from voting, and so did Richard. Richard stated that he was involved in the sale of the land, and therefore did not want to take any part in this matter. The take of take of the take of the take of the take of ta

politioner right be willing to elece a fence between her comptery and the which as belifted its one cort. There were not objection filed as such against this subdivision. In the Frogra, sec. of the assur, was concerned about icensing the concleary diace. To was told blat assertion be made be accomplicht diet veralle, im, a res. Chinton were prement, but ald not object.

The wondered public bearing out the response bridge being being a contract with the residence of the contract off Hartford Ave. in the vicinity of one appared House Lys, was held. My. mend he yen and the intrakelar, continue to bein subdivision ataked that

R. Bernard Biron CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF

Chairman through but a subdiver me, and blat it was not wise to construct inches in the area. Fr. Wir un Nuthed that he had seen the proposed plant of the Dept. of Tablic Verks in Paston, and these a loop was cropesed over bis subdivisions of the fact that it might have to be tore down when the proposed bighway

want to reapp. Its Congra further stated that there was that of Jade to this ares. All of the se laths were brought to bes attention of the petitioners. based and yellil wanted to go through with its plan. It was felt by the board with the these were not grounds on which this mubdivelon could be harmed done. However, na Sejal setion yas Sekon Jiffelil at obie neetles. Wile was jaat the politic bearing, and the declaten all not have to be made on thit evention,