



Beacon Falls Board of Finance Budget Workshop Minutes

May 7, 2019
(Subject to Revision)

May 9, 2019

Mr. Leonard Greene
Town Clerk, Town of Beacon Falls
10 Maple Avenue
Beacon Falls, CT 06403

Dear Mr. Greene:

The **Beacon Falls Board of Finance and the Board of Selectman Continuation from 4/29/2019** will hold a joint Budget Workshop on Tuesday May 7, 2019 at 7:00 pm at the Beacon Falls Senior Center, located at 57 N Main Street, Beacon Falls.

1. Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance

Board Members present: Tom Pratt(TP), Steve Leeper(SL), Jim Carroll(JC), Larry Hutvagner(LH), Kyle Brennan(KB)

Board of Selectman members present: First Selectman Chris Bielik(CB), Selectman Michael Krenesky(MB) and Peter Betkoski(PB)

Others Present: Natasha Nau(NN), Erin Schwartz(ES),

Members Absent: Marc Bronn (MB)

Chairman Tom Pratt called the meeting to order at 7:01 pm, with the Pledge of Allegiance

2. Board of Finance Clerk's Invoices

Invoice from Joann, dated 3/27 meeting 83.00 for 3 hours

Motion to accept and approve to pay the clerks invoice

LH/KB all ayes

Invoice from Angela Moffat, 5/2 dated, for 4/29 meeting, 270.50 11.5 hours

Motion to accept and approve to pay the clerks invoice

LH/KB all ayes

Invoice from Angela Moffat, 5/2 dated, for 4/22/2019 meeting, 9.5hours 177.50

Motion to accept and approve to pay the clerks

LH/SL all ayes

3. **Budget Transfers**: none today

4. **Board of Selectman and the Board of Finance shall discuss jointly and vote separately as Individual Boards when necessary on all remaining items presented during the previous workshops including items and information presented by the Finance Department and any and all departments, relating to 2019 - 2020 budget and items for a 10 year plan. Items of review: Waste Water facility upgrade, Burton Road Wall, expansion over at the Public Works facility for handling brush and dumpsters, firm up plan on future road repairs. Board of Finance will now review and accept transfers approved by Board of Selectman**

Burton Rd WALL: TP: Chris can you fill us in on Burton RD?

CB: refresh me about Burton Rd

TP: The wall

CB: rough estimate, about half a million, it's been in that condition for about a decade now, wall, how critical is this to get done, half a million dollars, where does it fit into our priorities

MK : It's been like this for over a decade, but prior to 2012, was suggested to do emergency repair as the wall falls down, but I think we should be more preemptive

PB: what does our engineer say, is it going to collapse?

CB: Engineer says there is nothing that can be done to repair, we need to fix this, and would close the road for a half of a million dollars,

MK: whether we should talk about this or not, but I had a discussion with Joe Roderigo, but at some extent, the wall may not fall in our lifetime, but that when it does fall, I don't want someone to be there too

CB: The opinion of the engineer, really nothing we can do to improve as a band aid, needs full blown repair, at a half million dollars, close down the traffic and need to figure out what is higher priority, own opinion until we put together the packages of the roads pieces to fold it in to the larger package, make it more cost effective, but if we can do some work this year, as we pick up on other roads, but need to get the data back. We have opinions, but my own opinion, on the discussion, kind of on the side of what Joe says, it could fail tomorrow, but it hasn't failed in the condition that it has been in the decade, don't know the condition of it at this time,

TP: want to know that it does/ doesn't impact the budget we are working on

NN: FEMA suggested we apply for a highway federal grant, not in the jurisdiction of FEMA

CB: There are limited federal funds for roads, currently, but at our next COG meeting, I'll bring it up for discussion.

TP: Need to cover the basis, need to move forward, to find out on this subject, need to be proactive,

Expansion at the public works: where are we at, we going to take funds for this, is this moving forward or stalemate?

CB: not necessarily needed to be done immediately, the current permit runs through 2023, if we can negotiate with OG, we have some numbers together, but kind of waiting, I don't think it's necessary for this year.

TP: just want to make sure we don't have it impact the budget this year

NN: It was a line item on the non-recurring cap, but as I recall, it was removed for this year.

Waste water upgrade and discussion of bond vs band loan:

TP: what is Dave's recommendation?

CB: Dave recommendation has been working on a 10 million project, scaled back upgrade, put this before the pump, I have my doubts, I need to talk to Tom, there may be a scaled back further, to improve some operational improvements, look at as an alternative, is something but need further discussion with Tom at this point. Need to determine the upgrades, that can be done with the million dollars, and to see what can be done for 2 mil, enough upgrades to improve the operations of the plant for the next 5 plus years, this would give us time to, we have some funding in the sewer fund,

TP: funds set aside, engineering funds are also available to use for the improvements or upgrades,

CB: long term debt-service plan

NN: About a million, 484,000 FY21 being proposed, 514,000 FY22

CB: Short term-look at a band, a monetary note, interest only payments for 3 years before we convert it into long term debt, additional money within the band, for roads, in the next year or so. How much under the debt service flexibility do we have? Issuing 3 million band and what impact would this be to the budget, look at interest only payments, in the short term, origination costs, within the debt service structure that we have right now.

NN: spoke to 7,000-20,000 fees, they are just waiting for the go-ahead, but to execute this, the fees would be 7,000 to 20,000 fees.

LH: in the scenario, why would you want to pay fees for a 3 year band, why don't you go to larger bond for 3 mil bond for 20 years, no payments for first 2 years of principal payments, it can be structured that way

CB: executing long term debt, looked at unfavorable by the bond rating agencies to interest only payment debt, potential to negative credit rating, doing a band, would keep us at the solid double A rating, have shied away from structuring long term debt that way

NN: next rate down, is highly respected, but not advocating for that

LH: not necessarily run the risk for losing bond rating level, 4 towns got an increase, the rest got a decrease, it's the fees you pay for the band vs bond, paying twice, once for the band which is less, then pay for the bond, do bond for 5-10 million dollars, payments over the 2nd 18 years, I'm used to these suggestions coming from Board of Selectman, but here, it is the Board of Finance has to make these decisions.

CB: working on a positive track double A to double A-, the origination fees, in the short term, get us in the progress and get some road work done, before we get our hands on bigger issues, when we issued this a few years ago, with the smaller amount, to approve a bond budget of 5 mil, but a smaller approach now, get some progress done, then have a plan to a transfer of the short term plan and to roll that into a longer term, plan

LH: we have potential transfers to transfer from general fund to the capital non-recurring fund, discuss to keep the money in unassigned fund balance, until after July, then it helps look better to the bond rating agencies make us look better with the bond rating agencies or to make the transfers before July 1.

TP: I think long or short term, plan the first 3 years, this is the plan, the 4th 5th, plan, then they see the follow through, as long as it is a feasible budget. Natasha has the review packet to go over.

Review Packet: Attached

NN: refined package, visuals, to review long item budget, where we stand with expenditures, truncated like services for departments, really colorful, to pair the services together, the attachments, supplemental attachments, BAA adjustment summary of proration's for the last 4 years, what was billed, various summaries the revenue patterns, bar charts revenue of the last 5 years, current prior, liens fees, interest, conveyance fees, which we do not provide prior years columns on the budgets on the spreadsheets

TP: How did you do it go with MaryAnn,(Tax Collector) incorporating the sales of new homes at Chatfields Farms. And her numbers

NN: Proration's through June, the assessor's office provides this 1.3 million

CB: under 50K, in projected revenue

NN: BAA adjustment 62,000 is actual value, not mil rate dollars, original mil rate went down by 62 and I know that Larry had posted question, adding the proration in the calculation, I personally have not seen that way before, if there are examples that we can use to model after.

LH: Natasha that is Jim's question, the homes could sell tomorrow, they are called CFC's,

NN: the proration's that have been sold, and recorded, 9 homes not 13

JC: 9 homes that are sold and counted for July 1 payment, should be counted for revenue,

NN: not in the mil rate calculation,

JC: my problem is we collect and we are going to set a mil rate higher than it should be, we are going to collect these payments this year. We should account for those as of July1 payment, cash is going to come to town, you are billing them, and these are closed, recorded, conveyance fees, brand new constructions

LH: New construction, do they include the prorated, or is it 50% done on October 1st, Chatfield Farms: 50% done now

NN: 9 homes are the proration's from October-April, 9 homes total, not including the 1.3 million in that calculation, never seen that done that way, most towns use that fund balance as the cushion, as the unknowns are projections, do not include, motor vehicle is an example, billed 238K, we don't know if she would come close to this, when we are doing the cal., we are at 78% collection rate

LH: they haven't tracked the supplemental separately in the past, if they do not pay taxes annually, they wait until they get the notice from the state, those car taxes are not paid until they get the notice, some are not registered until they send the money in, 3 year average for buying cars,

NN: the other towns, use the weighted average for the last 3 years, just a suggestion, supplemental attachment shows growth

LH: But Tom as Jim has said, we need to include all in the grand list all possible items should be included

NN: 1.3 million in the mil rate calculation, reduce the revenue lines to even out the revenues, all of the increases we are showing more revenue than expenditures, 10th of a mil, is 1.2 mil, if you recall We are showing more revenue than expenditures, 1.3 million, when to 10th of a million, 220,000 for example motor vehicle, I might suggest 185,000 or 195,000

JC: Additional comment the revenue piece ECS appropriation on published on 4/30 revenue and bonding updated from State Comptroller's Office, raises our ECS 3.9 million, appropriations committee-3,995,130 is the new number instead of 3,897,571, 97,559 increase

KB: Chris forwarded that email to us

Roads:

NN: we have 156 pot holes to fix

TP: while we are talking about roads: town of beacon falls packet, street logic software, 650 sq. yard of road of skokrat, do the whole road, but we do not have a number for the plan? Because we have some bonds in here as well, I see that Rob suggested under bond projected 2.1 million bond, How can we move with \$180,000 but we don't have the number of the cost to this, because the road to pave 650 sq. yard, but we don't know the rest of the road will cost from Seymour to 42. About 125,000 to cover the section of 650 sq. feet of Skokrat., maintain it and do chip/seal after it is fixed

CB: highland avenue paving, we had funding in several different pieces, STEEP money, bond, when contracted to do the Highland, we were able to start because we had STEEP money in hand, did a change order to the existing contract, past record, we'd be able to get them here, change order, extend to the rest of the way, firm should be able to expand on that, we have a bid of 125,000 to start the project, presupposed us to move forward when we start the project

MK: replacing pipes? Do we know which pipes?

TP: pushed for the whole road with the camera, went 360

NN: depends on road challenges, camera, we do not know the pipe materials, skokrat has different material in different sections

TP: need to review with the camera the unknowns before paving any roads

CB: we have the money in hand, to hold the town meeting, resolution in an open ended way to not restrict if we need flexibility to expand on the project, repurposing the funding to get Skokrat done

TP:: Pend Rd- goes to open bid, moving half million dollars

CB: need to pass a resolution next Monday that we don't restrict that, the town received STEEP grant, we request OPM to shift those funds, and all the rest of the preliminary work has been done. West Rd has been become less of a priority than Beacon Valley Rd

KB: is that the entire stretch to the entire line,

CB: 575,000 makes it enough,

LOCIP eligible projects

ES: 125 K locip available, there is a list of projects in the packet that is locip eligible, we only get 37,000 a year from the state, what can we cover pg. 12 and pg. 13 in the attached

ES: in a couple years, we will do a re-roof the police station, the senior center roof is ready

TP: Rob mentioned the catch basins are they used with LOCIP money to replace, what about railroad ave

ES: we are replacing the 4 catch basins at the waste water treatment plant with LOCIP money.

TP: How are we paying for the ones that are bought on railroad ave?

ES: Highway materials for railroad ave

TP: Any questions?

NN: NON-recurring cap page, we made some modifications, asphalt for basketball courts, 99,000 option to seal the stuff, Rob would prefer the roads, however if you replace the courts, it is LOCIP eligible

SL: when do you need to file to LOCIP?

NN: It is continuous to get reimbursed

ES: needs to be voted, because it is part of the town capital plan and approved by the public

Basketball court

TP: has anyone walked them?

KB: main basketball court, and two half courts, used to be tennis courts

TP: is it a liability issue, is it too dangerous, should they be closed?

PB: if they are too dangerous

NN: supplemental documentation request to crack sealing took place 3 years ago as temporary repair, but needs more repair,

TP: I'd love to have that money put on roads, but at the same time, I wonder

LH: are they open to the townspeople? Or are they closed?

CB: tennis courts nets are not up, but tennis courts are open

TP: Larry, what is your feeling about the basketball courts?

LH: My bigger concern is the liability and insurance for this. It becomes a good size case and we knew about it,

TP: Kyle, what are your feelings on this?

KB: liability issue, they are used often, personally in favor of especially with this LOCIP opportunity, longer term replacement, crack sealing

JC: favor of the insurance issue

SL: liability for someone could get hurt, in favor of knowing about this, during seasons, there are 100's of people that are down there

CB: would spend about half of what we have in the LOCIP pot

TP: 135 plus 37 that is in the budget, to fix this

Motion to spend the 78,650 as a LOCIP project

LH/ JC, all ayes

LH: Typically pay in 10 days with LOCIP

CB: reimbursable by LOCIP,

PB: when we will do the project

CB: it is up to us with OPM, can't do the project until we get authorization from them, but the quote came from someone, out for bid,

TP: I'm not sure where the quote came from but if someone thinks they are unsafe, then it needs to be closed down until the replacement happens. The ball is in your court.

KB: at our last meeting, the 11,000 in field 3, crossed out to FY 2021, in the last meeting,

NN: ok will cross that out, move to FY2021

road paving

LH: are we going to put money into this? An amount that would get something done, about half a million

NN: Presentation in the green columns,

LH: need to leave the 58K, may need a roof with all this rain, but roads are getting worse, putting it off too long

CB: earlier discussion, the potential going down to short term interest path, we need report back from street scan, to see where the highest priorities are, how many projects can we do, with 3 million for roads, 2 million for waste water treatment plant, verifying that will complete the waste water treatment

TP: 2 million will give us about 5 to 6 miles of roads, 3 million would get reconstructive and paving for how many roads/

NN: just milling and paving or reconstruction?

CB: That is where we have to allocate to get the most return on investment, broad resolution passed on it so we have the flexibility to put it where the most good

LH: is it the current philosophy on road work again

NN: Disclaimer on it, street scan data, mock up to where we are calculation \$20 sq. yard, some roads, might need less, might need more

LH: is that 12 million in it, for this FY20?

CB: not necessarily, not going to get all this done this year, need to see what we can do with what is palatable for the town

NN: going to change when the street scan, worse roads would get done worse, want you to preserve the roads that, a lot of reconstruction

CB: by categories, the roads would get PCI (pavement condition index), there would be roads that would be the highest priority if they are, good, fair, or worse, we need to get the street scan back to determine this

TP: street scan-who is going to develop a firm 10 year plan for the plan, how are we going to share and start that plan, and stay committed to the plan

NN: I would anticipate to lead this plan, with everyone's input, next charge is to sit down with Tom was looking for piping information,

TP: Water Company should be able to give you data, all their information on the age of the data,

LH: routine repairs, entering beacon falls, do not know if there is going to be another pot hole, on skokrat, Rimmon Hill is a major road vs a cul-de-sac,

NN: be able to answer the question, when the data comes in,

PB: paving maintenance, can we band aid some of these roads

ES: yes 75,000 in paving maintenance

TP: on dry days, we need to show that we are fixing these roads

MK: paving maintenance-roads street scan, here are potential roads, is that 85,000 close to fix general maintenance, we are talking about band bond,

LH: you don't know if it's 85,000 or more until you get the study back

NN: Look at the sq. yard, 75,000 isn't going to do much if you look at a larger road, but look at the white birch lane, 34,000, could do that tiny little portion, depends on what they say, you can do these, right now, to do some small sections

CB: repurpose the 180K not expended from the bond package with a resolution properly, that becomes the pot of money that's available to do the road construction

LH: road resolution, for road construction, do not need to label the streets, you could repurpose the money

CB: that is how we worded it 5 years ago, when we did a half a million dollars allocation to general road repairs, we have a template on how we do it, more specific language, we can crack that resolution for 180,000 to do chip sealing or whatever needs to be done for the roads

TP: Entire rimmon hill, is that top coating? Milling and paving, use half a million to do this,

NN: curbing, is not included in paving the road, Rob was mentioning, the PCI numbers are just paving, curbing is extra.

LH: I would presume the hill section of the rimmon hill road was done 20 years ago, would need

curbing on both sides because of the drainage

PB: curbing has been removed, on one side maybe, you're right on both sides

CB: curbing on Highland and Burton, bid came in lower from Cochiolla, curbing machine to make it cost effective, now most companies have the tools for this

TP: Throwing this out again and looking at skokrat, 125,000 to fix, but to pave it 385,000, from Seymour to 42, once we know the piping is good, picking roads just once this comes through, where we are going to take for other roads and what we might use for bonding

PB: open bids tomorrow, contractors will be booked

KB: specific road in the capital plan, it has to be a road that is traveled enough, that more people benefit, because the roads are travelled, to me skokrat or rimmon hill, start a skokrat project, 180K< more than half way there to finish this year's plan, 125 from current cap, plus 180, then we are more than half way there, another 100,000 to finish,

ES: LOCIP but you could do roads, you could do the roads, but you agreed to use for tennis courts

LH: You have two A projects, Basketball courts, need to be done, liability issue

TP: Whether we like or not, realistically under cap plan, we should sub fund skokrat road

KB: what number are we going to put in or do we look at 80,000 for skokrat, are we looking for more for different road, or do we want to save it for the band

ES: you have to look at the fund balance as well

PB: commend these two ladies, very comfortable with the Financial team, Erin and Natasha

NN: Attachment, page 8

JC: debris removal-300,000

ES: we have 40,000 obligated that is it

SL: when it comes in

ES: obligated

LH: did we transfer the fund balance, it is looking like we don't have to, based on more building permits, etc.

CB: bumped up to 475,000

Debris Removal

LH: debris removal expense 300,000 that will come back from FEMA at some point?

CB: next year, 300,000 was spent out of the unassigned fund balances, so when we get that back from FEMA in excess, non-assigned, reimbursable, about a half a million that would be reimbursable?

LH: they have been obligated by CRC?

TP: what is our projected surplus? For current year?

NN: full disclosure from yesterdays to todays, error on my part, full recoup, committed 15K net out to recurring non-recurring cap, the rest of it should be recouped, the legal, line changed for year-end projection, page 49,784 projected surplus, the folks that we need to do transfers-

ES: part time patrol-use contingency,

LH: Underspent in full time?

ES: not much, because we had to pay out vacation payout will use up that.

To the board of Finance: Community/Media Center

What is your thought to put \$40K in the community center?

LH: I don't know that much about it, the problem putting 40,000 into a 7mil project, where do you get that from, yes there is a state grant but that is 5 mil, but we need to spend 15-20 million, on road work and other construction, that is necessary for the next year or two items,

KB: What was the 40,000 set aside for?

MK: conceptual drawings to pay for drawings, I have heard numbers from 5,000 to 60,000 to try to get what we want to get

CB: Friends of the library are sitting on funds for this bank

MK: yes, they are sitting on 11,000 approx. for this project

KB: do not see any point in general in the next 10 years, 40,000 can go on the roads

PB: it will, the fire house, happened, the senior center happened

JC: we are talking about a couple million dollars in bonding for the waste water treatment plant and roads, that won't get done in the 10 years

PB: I hate to see it be dead in the water

MK: it just doesn't fit in the 10 year plan, is it year 11 or year 20 that this gets revisited

KB: 39 million dollars in the next 10 years of unfinished cap projects

PB: ok then we need to clean up what we have

SL: been going for a long time, it's been 40,000 should go for another cause, might be roads, or waste water treatment plant

PB: Wolfe Ave-house itself, it is a blight issue, should be clean up, library get by what we have, media center, but we have other obligations, but please figure out how we are going to take that

TP: put 40,000 to hold, just then we need to take the building down

SL: if the house is taken down for remediation, is it marketable at this point? Housing market maybe

CB: when we bought the property 2009, bonded 435K, purchase 375K, does anyone think we will get half of that to, throwing away an asset, if we hold it indefinitely, to hold for future use, if we have to sell it for 50% loss, what are we gaining for the town, we lost so much, and it puts it back to square one, we got to start all over to find another place, I'm not in favor of choosing of disposing the property, not lucrative enough

TP: the benefit from us, come up with the funds, take the building down, we talk about liability that is the risk to the town,

MK: Salvage Company has taken the light fixtures, the electric switches, toilets, and bathtubs, took door knobs, most doors are not worth it, I would guess that in 3-4 weeks he will clear out the stuff he would take what, I had someone ask if it is viable to have someone offer to take the mantels?

TP: Recreational 40,000, what is the purpose?

NN: set aside, not as the high of a cost, 2 mil project of the master plan that was done in 2009

TP: demolition of the house-take 80,000, how short would we be?

KB: can we get quotes on what it would be abate, deconstruct compared to burning it down?

MK: without a doubt this would go out to a bid,

CB: state ranks

KB: abated needs to be abated asbestos, cheaper to abated and deconstructed and hauled away, and what is the difference between burning down, is it 25,000 difference, lets burn it and save some money, give the guys some training, but I don't know the difference

MK: salvage will be looking at the carriage house, looking old barn wood

TP: Board of Finance is in Favor take 80,000, under fund balance, change to remove, and taking down the Wolfe Ave buildings and we have to add money to it

Motion to allocate to 80,000 non-recurring cap, to deconstruct all structures, remove addendum and remove the recreation and community center lines

KB/SL, all ayes

Ambulance:

NN: attachment on ambulance-3.57% page 9 and page 10, we discussed the down payments, 50,000 down, and 5 years, time the payments don't want the amounts to hit in the same year, and the 44, it is 4 month build process, for a FORD, they are over orderings going on right now, Dodge is 8-12 months,

CB: next to the Dodge,

KB: Dodge and Chevy have both been in the shop

NN: no issues for the Ford, 4 mo. built, as early as August,

CB: theoretically

Motion to purchase ambulance move 50,000 for down payment, term 5 payments

LH/KB, all ayes

Roads:

NN: data will be here on the 10th

KB: let's put money in the skokrat with the money we have already, knock some of the list down with this band idea, and not put a 6 figure, and shows we are doing something with this cap plan

ES: eating up the fund balance,

JC: still have the 9.25%; 2 million that we won't touch,

KB: allocated 290,

TP: recommend we add up to 235

KB: 145 in general fund balance

TP: I don't think we have ever been that low before

CB: As far as excess goes, this was down to 5.5% about 6 years ago, and we have built it up to this 9.25 plus excess.

KB: ceiling 2025, what would the ceiling be from FEMA?

ES: 350,000, all those new pieces,

KB: bring it down to 145 now. Conservatively 200K, we will far exceed that

CB: 360 is 60%, payback from FEMA

KB: is that too aggressive? 360,000 we will be paying in this cap plan project this year, but getting it back next year

CB: fair logical way to look at it

NN: put everything we could, and is under review right now

TP: when we present this? We are going to hear about our fund balance, use the lower number anticipating getting back

JC: we are holding steady over the surplus above the 9.25%

State Police

TP: concern about the state police, do you think Bethany is on track?

MK: a vote was done in Bethany, a republican won

PB: the state is calling the shot

TP: Bethany could say no, we are no longer doing this, but we don't know

CB: I will reach out to Bethany about the state police program,-tabled until next meeting

TP: We need to put a request the Board of Finance, to move the 180K, do we make the recommendation ?

Motion for the Board of Finance to recommend the Board of Selectman 180,000 from the bond project for the road project to move forward to for skokrat recommendation,

JC/SL, all ayes

TP: A couple things were tabled- no numbers at this point, makes a motion to allow the finance to put the hard numbers in

CB: Absent the hard numbers in, you can vote to put the numbers be put in and concurrent with the Board of Finance, and look to set a date for public hearing and if concurrence to set the date

KB: don't have

NN: numbers do not have, correspond to the reductions, the blue lines, pg. 1 of expenditures, estimate monthly bills, phone system, 21,000 could go down, company looking at the alarm monitoring, do not have a resolved quote, Health care verbal is at 8%, left to be tabled, Fire and EMS- modifications to that data

TP: code red?

CB: got a reduction on the code red, 7500 to 5K,

ES: recycling fixed for the year,

NN: says could be voted on this tonight too

CB: waste removal, numbers are in

Refuse, Homeland security, benefits can be voted on this tonight

Motion to accept the finance numbers have put in place

SL/KB, all ayes

KB: updated on the comptroller budget ECS: 3,995,130

LH: all agreement on supplemental taxes 200 vs 220

NN: 16,555 to 16755, we should at least hit for the projected, difficult prorated line to exist

ES: when the supplemental taxes, do not have the system to break down the property taxes, not in the grand list, we have to figure this out

LH: not in the grant list? Regular taxes, all cfcs, all sales, should be in the grand list, run the new grand list, no more 49,000 separately

ES: then the numbers change, 1.3 million,

KB: as long as it is represented somewhere

NN: curious to see what the numbers are represented

TP: did we miss anything?

LH: general money for road repairs, are we going to put money as a place holder?

KB: Number in the 10 year plan, 385,000 at 20%, 125 current non-recurring plan, 80,600

NN: does the road need curbing? Camera as we go for the piping that needs work,

ES: hold off,

NN: rob has been looking at the portion,

TP: about 80,000 short,

CB: how much do we still have left? Around the 300,000 from half a million

ES: 15,000 left, after Pent Rd, Beacon Valley Rd, and railroad ave, and skokrat

TP: We need a motion?

KB: take 80K, 145, not including FEMA money, 286,809 plus 80, 366,809 use of the fund balance, with projected 50,000 surplus

CB: on the revenue side, we still have ECS, at the lower number, needs to be adjusted up, number,

Motion to move 80,000 from the fund balance to skokrat as a cap project

JC/LH, all ayes

TP: are we missing anything else?

NN: revenue number

LH: Revenue number is not fixed is property tax

CB: we will have those numbers on Tuesday's meeting.

NN: Erin had a good suggestion, using electronic display, all in the favor of using the spreadsheet, on the projector screening

TP: thank you for all of your hard work, I hope everyone is happy with this progress.

LH: set a date for the public hearing

If necessary to set new Budget Workshop dates and/or set dates for town meeting to present the budget with the Board of Selectman and Board of Finance relating to the 2019- 2020 Proposed Budget for the town.

Discuss dates but these are proposed and forthcoming at the May 14th Board of Finance meeting, Some dates discussed were Thursday the May 23rd, public hearing, potential vote on Friday May 31st or Move to June 4th for vote, Dates are forthcoming at the next meeting.

LH: make sure the microphone works at the high school.

Next Board of Finance meeting shall be Tuesday May 14th at 7 pm the Town Assembly room.

Motion to adjourn: 9:02

KB/LH, all ayes

Respectfully submitted,
Angela Moffat
Meeting Clerk