1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	OF BARRIER CORPORTING HAN 1722 HAN
10	BARRINGTON PLANNING BOARD MEETING
11	NEW LOCATION: EARLY CHILDHOOD LEARNING CENTER
12	77 RAMSDELL LANE
13	Barrington, NH 03825
14	
15	Tuesday April 4, 2017
16 17	6:30 p.m.
18	DRAFT MEETING MINUTES
19	
20	ROLL CALL
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Members Fred Nichols, Chair James Jennison, Vice Chair Richard Spinale Daniel Ayer-ex-officio Jeff Brann Steven Diamond Alternate Member Absent: Andrew Knapp-ex-officio Town Planner: Marcia Gasses MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL 1. Approval of the March 7, 2017 Meeting Minutes. Without objection the Board approved the March 7, 2017 meeting minutes with minor changes. The motion carried unanimously.
 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 	 <u>ACTION ITEMS</u> 2. <u>238-7-TC-17-SRExpansion (Owner: George Tsoukalas-Millo's Pizza)</u> Request by applicant for a proposal for a building expansion of 720 s.f. and gravel parking lot expansion with 20 spaces at 575 Franklin Pierce Highway (Map 238, Lot 7) in the Town Center (TC) Zoning District. By: Stephen J. Haight, P.E./Paige D. Dickie, E.I.T; Civilworks New England; P.O. Box 1166; Dover, NH 03820.
	Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 1 of 14 48 Paige Dickie, E.I.T. from Civilworks New England, explained that she was representing Millo's Pizza 49 located at 575 Franklin Pierce Highway. The applicant was looking to expand and add 20 additional 50 gravel parking spaces. Paige Dickie explained that the applicant was proposing to enclose the porch area 51 and the Zoning Board of Adjustment granted a variance to allow 38.8' setback vice the 50' front setback required. Paige Dickie explained that the applicant would abandoned the well on site and hookup to the 52 community well (Map 238, Lot 16.21) and there would be an updated septic system design for 120 seats 53 54 when the well on Map 238 Lot 16.21 became operational. Paige Dickie explained that that there would be 55 no change to the drainage and no additional landscaping. Paige Dickie explained that a wetlands scientist 56 went out and there were no wetlands, and no change to the traffic pattern, so no changes to the NHDOT 57 driveway permit. 58 59 J. Brann questioned that the septic system wasn't designed for 80 seats and how the septic system would 60 be redesigned for an additional 40 seats. J. Brann questioned that the original seating was in the 50's. 61 Paige Dickie explained that the original approval was for 57 seats. Paige Dickie explained that 62 calculations were done and it works for the 80 seats. Paige Dickie explained that they need 120 seats so 63 64 they need a new design. 65 66 R. Spinale asked if the septic system was approved for 80 seats. 67 68 Steve Haight from Civilworks New England explained that the original septic system was designed for 57 69 seats. Steve Haight explained that the septic system has a capability to support the 80 seats or more based 70 on metered readings. Steve Haight explained that by removing the well from the site and having the well 71 offsite this gave more room for the larger septic system. Steve Haight explained if the larger septic system gets approved by the State, the new system would be put in when and if the old system fails. 72 73 74 J. Brann questioned if the new septic system would be for 120 seats not 97 seats. 75 76 Steve Haight clarified that the new septic system would be for 120 seats. 77 78 R. Spinale questioned the original approval was for 57 seats and asked if it showed on the permit approval 79 that the system could support 80 seats. 80 81 Steve Haight explained that the septic leach field was designed larger than the approval of the 57 seats. 82 83 J. Brann questioned book value basis versus what the water usage was. 84 85 Steve Haight explained what book value was to the Board. 86 87 A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>J. Jennison</u> to accept the application as complete. The 88 motion carried unanimously. 89 90 J. Brann asked if the data reflected the busiest time of year. 91 92 Steve Haight explained that these numbers were the busiest time of the year. 93 94 <u>R. Spinale</u> asked what was the State using for a number. 95 96 Steve Haight explained it was 20 gallons per seat plus 35 gallons per employee per day. 97 98 R. Spinale questioned that the employees' usage are more than the seats.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 2 of 14

99	D. Coincle school have done dot and in the second
100	<u>R. Spinale</u> asked how they determine the usage.
101	
102	Steve Haight explained that you don't have to use the State value and that they used the data so they came
103	up with a number.
104	
105	J. Brann asked if the number of employees would increase due to the extra seats.
106	
107	Steve Haight explained that the number of employees doesn't necessarily change.
108	
109	J. Brann asked about the water usage per employee and customer changing.
110	
111	J. Jennison asked how many seats were allowed at the original restaurant.
112	
113	Steve Haight explained the original seat count was 57 seats.
114	
115	J. Jennison asked if there was currently 80 seats allowed.
116	and the test of the second s
117	M. Gasses explained that as long as they meet their septic requirements, yes.
118	The cusses engrances and as rong as and proceed on orphic requirements, yes.
119	J. Jennison asked if expansion to 120 seats was approved and if meter readings supported it, could they
120	have 300 seats and add tables.
120	have 500 seats and add tables.
121	Steve Haight explained yes and no. Steve Haight explained that the reason that this has all came about
122	was because of the community well that would be located off site to service Millo's and other connection
124	to the well. Steve Haight explained that Millo's was looking for 120 seats.
125	I Innigen estad who monitors the medians for future we in some of a faulty system.
126	J. Jennison asked who monitors the readings for future use in case of a faulty system.
127	
128	Steve Haight explained that code enforcement and State control this. Steve Haight explained that Millo's
129	cannot operate with a faulty system; the State Health System would come down and check the septic
130	system.
131	
132	<u>R. Spinale</u> asked if Millo's has so many people that could come in at one time that it would limit the
133	usage.
134	
135	M. Gasses explained that the Board was approving the size of the building and Fire would control
136	occupancy.
137	
138	Steve Haight explained that Millo's would have to get septic approval and that Millo's could not do the
139	addition until the well offsite was approved and operating. Steve Haight explained that they went to the
140	Zoning Board of Adjustment for the variance and the only reason Millo's went for the variance was
141	because the zoning changed. Steve Haight explained that they wanted to get the approval for the gravel
142	parking spaces and that they cannot move forward on the building permit until the well is in place and
143	operating.
144	
145	<u>F. Nichols questioned the gravel parking spaces being put in before the septic was put in.</u>
146	
147	Steve Haight explained that the gravel parking doesn't have anything to do with the septic system.
148	stere magni enplanted dat die graver parting doesn't have anything to do with the septie system.
149	J. Brann asked where the parking spaces were compared to where the abandoned well was.
1.5	<u>- 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2</u>
	Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi

April 4, 2017/ pg. 3 of 14

150 151 Steve Haight explained where the gravel parking was located.

152 153 S. Diamond asked about the location of the community well from the septic and the number of businesses 154 using the well.

155 156 Steve Haight explained that they have no control of the well and that it has the maximum amount for 157 Millo's. Steve Haight explained that George Calef's Fine Food also gets water from this well.

158 159 Steve Haight questioned if George Calef's Fine Food was still getting water from this well as they drilled their own well. Steve Haight explained that when Millo's was approved, water was supplied to George 160 161 Calef's Fine Food at that time. Steve Haight explained that the connection was still there and would stay 162 whether George Calef's Fine Food uses or not.

- 164 J. Brann questioned that when the well was abandoned would it still be operational.
- 166 Steve Haight explained that it would not be operational.
- 168 J. Brann questioned if the lines would remain.
- 170 S. Diamond asked why each of the businesses doesn't have their own well.

172 Steve Haight explained that as far as he knows each of the businesses have their own well and the convenience store gas station was looking for water as well. Steve Haight explained that George Calef's 173 Fine Foods has a well on site. 174

175 176

163

165

167

169

171

- F. Nichols asked if it was a real concern from the Board on where the water comes from.
- 177

George Calef owner of the real estate of George Calef's Fine Foods explained that the well on Millo's 178 was drilled in the 60's and serviced George Calef's Fine Foods. George Calef explained that the well on 179 180 Millo's needed to be abandoned and a new well has been drilled to service Millo's, gas station, myself

and The Journey Church. George Calef explained that he chose to drill his own well. George Calef 181

- explained that this started because State law requires underground fuel storage to be 500 feet away from 182 183 the well. George Calef explained that the current well that serviced Millo's and George Calef Fine Foods
- was within 500 feet so would need to be abandoned. George stated that he does not receive water from the 184 185 Millo's well. 186
- 187 J. Jennison asked if he still has rights to get water from Millo's well.

189 George Calef explained that the State has requested the gas station to offer George Calef's Fine Foods an 190 easement in case the well for George Calef's Fine Foods gets contaminated where this well is within 500 feet of the tanks. 191 192

- 193 J. Jennison asked if George Calef's Fine Foods still had an agreement in place for access from Millo's for 194 water.
- 196 George Calef confirmed that this was true. George Calef explained that well and septic permits are 197 handled by the State.
- 198

195

188

- 199 <u>**R**</u>. Spinale stated that this is not a community well.
- 200

Steve Haight explained that it was a private well serving more than one business. J. Brann stated that it was a Transient, Non-Community Water System. J. Brann stated that now Millo's would be receiving their water from the non-community well. F. Nichols asked about the additional parking being gravel vice asphalt. Steve Haight explained that the soils in this area of town are fantastic and instead of paving the parking lot has no issue with the drainage and is lower cost. R. Spinale asked how the parking spaces would be controlled. Steve Haight explained that there was an area for the parking spaces. F. Nichols asked if the town had any restrictions on using gravel parking lots. M. Gasses explained not as long as there are no more than 20 parking spaces. F. Nichols asked if within the footage area. Steve Haight explained that this was within the footage area. George Calef asked if the pump house was on the plan. Steve Haight stated that the pump house was on the plan. George Calef asked if the pump house has been approved. Steve Haight stated that the well has been approved. F. Nichols for the record that George Calef stated that the pump house has not been approved. M. Gasses explained that the plan was certified and approved. M. Gasses explained that the attorney would address this at the next meeting. M. Gasses explained that Millo's could not move forward without the well-being operational so it would not affect the approval. D. Aver explained that to get a septic permit the applicant needs a source of water within the radius. D. Ayer explained that legal has not got back to the Board and the applicant knows that they cannot move forward without the well and septic permits. D. Aver explained that this had no effect on this approval. J. Brann explained that that the expansion of the building is contingent upon the shared well becoming operational. George Calef explained that the well and pump house are on separate lots. J. Brann questioned how the well would be operational without the pump house. M. Gasses explained that the attorney is looking into this and should not be debated until the Board hears from the attorney.

- 251 John Wallace explained that the plan stated the gravel parking area showed no changes to impervious
- coverage and explained that gravel is considered impervious.
- 254 <u>F. Nichols</u> asked if the Conservation Commission preferred the gravel parking lot.
- John Wallace stated that the Conservation Commission had no problem.
- 258 <u>F. Nichols</u> closed public comment.259
- 260 <u>F. Nichols</u> stated that there were no waivers.

261
 262 <u>R. Spinale</u> asked if the Board approved this application does it have anything to do with the pump house.
 263 <u>R. Spinale</u> asked if the applicant would need to come back for pump house approval.
 264

- 265 <u>F. Nichols</u> stated that they would need to come back before the Board for the pump house.
- 266267 M. Gasses explained <u>that</u> until the Board is told something different the pump house has been approved.
- 268
 269 <u>F. Nichols</u> stated that the Board would move forward with the understanding that the pump house has
 270 been approved unless the Board hears back from legal differently.
 271
- 272 <u>R. Spinale</u> asked if the pump house has been approved as part of the plan.
 273

M. Gasses stated that not part of this plan. M. Gasses explained that the pump house had been approved
under a different application. M. Gasses explained that construction cannot begin until everything is
operational so that the applicant could tie into the well.

277

257

278 F. Nichols asked M. Gasses to read the conditions precedent:

279



Planning & Land Use Department Town of Barrington PO Box 660 333 Calef Highway Barrington, NH 03825 603.664.0195 <u>barrplan@metrocast.net</u> <u>barrplan@gmail.com</u>

NOTICE OF DECISION

280

[Office use only	Date certified:	As builts received:	Surety returned

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, individual(s), or organization submitting this application and to his/her/its agents, successors, and assigns.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 6 of 14 **Proposal Identification:** 238-7-TC-17-SR - Expansion (Owner: George Tsoukalas-Millo's Pizza) Request by applicant for a proposal for a building expansion of 720 s.f. and gravel parking lot expansion with 20 spaces at 575 Franklin Pierce Highway (Map 238, Lot 7) in the Town Center (TC) Zoning District. By: Stephen J. Haight, P.E. Civilworks New England; P.O. Box 1166;Dover, NH 03820.

281

Owner:	Dated: xxxxxxx, 2017
George Tsoukalas	
Millo's Pizza & Grill	
P.O. Box 684	
Barrington, NH 03825	
Professional:	
Stephen J. Haight, P.E.	
Civilworks New England	
181 Watson Road	
P.O. Box 1166	
Dover, NH 03820	

282

283 Dear applicant:

- This is to inform you that the Barrington Planning Board at its XXXXXXXX, 2017 meeting
 CONDITIONALLY APPROVED your application referenced above.
- All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant,

prior to the plans being certified by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to
 commencement of any site work or recording of any plans. Once these precedent conditions are met

and the plans are certified the approval is considered final.

Please Note* If all of the precedent conditions are not met within 6 calendar months to the day, by
 XXXXXX, 2017, the Boards approval would be considered to have lapsed, unless a mutually agreeable

292 extension has been granted by the Board.

293 **Conditions Precedent**

- 294 1) Add the following plan notes
- 295a)The proposed expansion of the building is contingent upon the shared well on Map 238296Lot 16.21 becoming operational
- 297 2) Revise the following plan notes
- 298 a) Revise the note on page 5 to say "two Handicap Spaces
- b) Revise note #10 to say "Planning Board Designee" instead of "City Engineer"
- 300 3) Make the following plan revisions

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 7 of 14

- 301 a) Add monuments found
- 302 4) Add the wetlands scientist stamp and signature to the final plan.
- 303 5) Add the owners signature to the final plan.
- 305 #4) Any outstanding fees shall be paid to the Town.

5) Prior to obtaining Board signature, the Applicant shall submit three(3) complete paper print plan sets and supporting documents as required in Article 3 with a letter explaining how the Applicant addressed the conditions of approval. This shall include final and complete reports for all items submitted during review for the Town of Barrington's file. The Chairman shall endorse three copies of the approved plan(s) meeting the conditions of approval. The Town shall retain a signed and approved reproducible 11"X17", and PDF format with supporting documents for Town records.

312 General and Subsequent Conditions

- The proposed expansion of the building cannot occur until the well on Map 238 Lot 16.21
 is operational.
- The applicant will provide a copy of the NHDES revised septic approval prior to
 Issuance of a building permit.

318 #3) Where no active and substantial work, required under this approval has commenced upon the 319 site within two years from the date the plan is signed, this approval shall expire. An extension, not to 320 exceed one year, may be granted, by majority vote of the Board so long as it is applied for at least thirty 321 days prior to the expiration date. The Board may grant only one such extension for any proposed site 322 plan. All other plans must be submitted to the Board for review to ensure compliance with these and 323 other Town ordinances. Active and substantial work is defined in this section as being the expenditure 324 of at least 25% of the infrastructure improvements required under this approval. Infrastructure shall 325 mean in this instance, the construction of roads, storm drains, and improvements indicated on the site 326 plan. RSA 674:39

- 327 (Note: in both sections above, the numbered condition marked with a # and all conditions below the #328 are standard conditions on all or most applications of this type).
- I wish you the best of luck with your project. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free tocontact me.
- 331

304

- 332 Sincerely,
- 333 Marcia J. Gasses
- 334 Town Planner & Land Use Administrator
- 335 cc: File

336

A motion was made by <u>J. Jennison</u> and seconded by <u>D. Ayer</u> to approve the application with the
 conditions as read by the planned. The motion carried a 5/0 vote one abstained...

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 8 of 14

- 339 Spinale-Yay
- 340 Brann-Yay
- 341 Ayer-Yay
- 342 Nichols-Yay
- 343 Jennison-Yay
- 344 Diamond-Abstained
- 345 3. <u>249-32-NR-17-(3)Sub (Owner: Peter Cook)</u> Request by applicant for a 3 lot Subdivision and 346 waivers from specific plan information and proposed site conditions on remaining site on a 80 acre 347 site at 270 Beauty Hill Road (Map 249, Lot 32) in the Neighborhood Residential Zoning District. By 348 Joel D. Runnals, LLS, Norway Plains Associates, Inc.; PO Box 249; Rochester, NH 03866-0249.
- 349

351

353

- 350 M. Gasses and R. Spinale recused from this application.
- John Huckins, Code Enforcement would be filling in for M. Gasses, Town Planner.
- 354 <u>F. Nichols</u> gave a brief description of the application.
- 355

364

366

370

372

374

376

378

380

383

385

- Joel Runnals from Norway Plains Associates, Inc. was representing applicant Peter Cook. Joel
- Runnals explained that the applicant would like to create two new lots a little over 2 acres each and leave
- the remaining acreage with the farm house of 75 + acres. Joel Runnals explained that subdivision
- approval was received from the State. Joel Runnals explained that the topographic plan shows where the
- test pits are shown on the plan for both lots. Joel Runnals explained that the plan also shows the existing
 well and septic location of the farm house. The plan shows the location of the 2 proposed wells locations.
 Joel Runnals explained that all corners are staked. Joel Runnals explained that they have several waivers
- to go over.
- 365 <u>F. Nichols</u> asked to show location of the farm house and asked if this was part of the subdivision.

Joel Runnals explained that 2 lots would be subdivided off the farm house lot. Joel Runnals explained that
75 acres would be the remaining lot with the farm house. Joel Runnals explained that the highway
department has verified site distance for driveways for both lots and the 50' access.

- 371 <u>F. Nichols</u> asked about what the space between the two proposed lots was.
- Joel Runnals explained that this was a piece of land for access to the 75 acres.
- 375 J. Brann questioned the piece of land for future development.
- J. Huckins explained that this piece of land could be for future development or shared driveways.
- 379 J. Brann asked if this was all uplands and no wetlands.
- Joel Runnals explained that David Allain wetlands scientist had inspected the areas that have contoursshown and they consist of all uplands.
- 384 <u>D. Ayer</u> explained that the Conservation Commission had no concerns with this subdivision.
- 386 S. Diamond asked about wetlands.
- 387

<u>5. Diamona</u> asked about weitands.

388 J. Brann explained that the wetlands are not within the lots.389

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 9 of 14

- 390 <u>J. Jennison</u> asked about the well radius going into the road. Was this normal-?
- 392 Joel Runnals explained that this was.
- 394 <u>S. Diamond</u> asked what the date of the test pits were done.
- 396 Joel Runnals explained that the test pits were done in December.
- 398 F. Nichols addressed the waivers as follows:
- 400 **Requested Waivers**:

391

393

395

397

399

- 401 **1.** 5.3.1(4) Revision block
- A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>S. Diamond</u> to deny the waiver based on no undue
 burden. The motion carried unanimously.
- 404 **2.** 5.3.1(5) Surveyed property lines... of the entire parcel.
- A motion was made by <u>D. Ayer</u> and seconded by <u>J. Jennison</u> to approve the waiver not granting the
 waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be
 contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.
- 408 **3.** 5.3.1(8) The estimated location and uses of all existing structures... on the site and within 100' of the409 site.
- 410 A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>D. Ayer</u> to approve the waiver based on to show
- 411 structures that are in the area being developed and not on the remaining 75 acres or on the abutting lots
- 412 because not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the
- 413 waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.
- 414 **4.** 5.3.1(9) Natural features...
- 415 **5.** 5.3.1(10) Man-made features ...
- 416 A motion was made by <u>J. Jennison</u> and <u>J. Brann</u> to approve the waiver based on to show structures that
- 417 are in the area being developed and not on the remaining 75 acres or on the abutting lots because not
- 418 granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would
- 419 not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. Vote 4/1
- 420 Brann-Yay
- 421 Ayer-Yay
- 422 Nichols-Yay
- 423 Jennison-Yay
- 424 Diamond-Nay
- 425
- 426 **6.** 5.3.1(11) The size and location of all existing public and private utilities...
- 427 A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>D. Ayer</u> to approve the waiver without adding the
- 428 additional lines to the plan because not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the
- 429 applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The
- 430 *motion carried unanimously.*

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 10 of 14

431 **7.** 5.3.2(16) Monuments

- 432 *A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>D. Ayer</u> to approve the waiver only on the two new lots*
- to be monumented with re-bar or drill holes because not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary
- 434 hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the
- 435 *regulations. The motion carried unanimously.*
- 436 **8.** 5.3.1(6) Existing grades and topographic contour on entire site
- 437 A motion was made by <u>D. Ayer</u> and seconded by <u>J. Jennison</u> to grant the waiver to not require existing
- 438 grades and topographic contour for the balance of land because not granting the waiver would pose an
- unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and
 intent of the regulations. Vote 4/1
- 441 Brann-Yay
- 442 Ayer-Yay
- 443 Nichols-Yay
- 444 Jennison-Yay
- 445 Diamond-abstained
- 446
- 447 A motion was made by <u>D. Ayer</u> and seconded by <u>J. Brann</u> to accept the application as complete. Vote 4/1
- 448 Brann-Yay
- 449 *Ayer-Yay*
- 450 Nichols-Yay
- 451 Jennison-Yay
- 452 Diamond-Nay
- 453
- 454 <u>F. Nichols</u> opened public comment.455
- 456 F. Nichols closed public comment.
- 457
- 458 John Huckins read conditions precedents:
- 459



Planning & Land Use Department

Town of Barrington PO Box 660 333 Calef Highway Barrington, NH 03825 603.664.0195 <u>barrplan@metrocast.net</u> <u>barrplan@gmail.com</u>

NOTICE OF DECISION

460

[Office use only	Date certified:	As builts received: n/a	Surety returned n/a

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, individual(s), or organization submitting this application and to his/her/its agents, successors, and assigns.

Proposal Identification: 249-32-NR-17-(3)Sub (Owner: Peter Cook) Request by applicant for a 3 lot Subdivision and waivers from specific plan information and proposed site conditions on remaining site on a 80 acre site at 270 Beauty Hill Road (Map 249, Lot 32) in the Neighborhood Residential Zoning District. * By: Joel D. Runnals, LLS, Norway Plains Associates, Inc.;PO Box 249; Rochester, NH 03866-0249

	Appli		Dated: April 11, 2017
	Peter	Cook	
	270 E	Beauty Hill Road	
	Barri	ngton, NH 03825	
		ssional:	
		D Runnals, LLS	
		vay Plains Associates, Inc.	
		ox 249	
462	Roch	ester, NH 03866-0249	
462			
463	Dear a	pplicant:	
464			
465	This is t	to inform you that the Barrington Planning Board at its April 4, 2	2017 meeting CONDITIONALLY
466	<u>APPRO</u>	VED your application referenced above.	
467			
468	All of th	he precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, a	t the expense of the applicant.
469		the plans being certified by the Planning Board. Certification o	
470	•	encement of any site work or recording of any plans. Once thes	
471	and the plans are certified the approval is considered final.		
472	Please	Note* If all of the precedent conditions are not met within 6 ca	lendar months to the day, by
473		er 3, 2017, the Boards approval will be considered to have lapse	
474		ion has been granted by the Board. Reference 8.2.3 of the Towr	
475	Regula		, ,
476	Cond	ditions Precedent	
477	1)	a) Add the owner's signature to the final plan	
478	,	b) Add the wetland scientist stamp & signature to the final pla	an
479		c) Add State Subdivision Approval Number to the Plan	
480	2)	Add the following plan notes	

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 12 of 14

481 482 483 484		 a) "Future construction or soil disturbance of Map 249 Lot 32 will require wetland delineation of the affected area prior to the disturbance or issuance of any permits b) As part of this application a waiver was granted to 5.3.1(5) Surveyed property linesof entire parcel.
485 486		c) As part of this application a waiver was granted to 5.3.1(6) Existing grades and topographic contours on entire site.
487 488		d) As part of this application a waiver was granted to 5.3.1(8) <u>.</u> The estimated location and uses of all existing structureson the site and within 100' of the site.
489 490		e) As part of this application a waiver was granted to 5.3.1(9) Natural features including wetlands of entire site.
491		f) As part of this application a waiver was granted to 5.3.1(10) Man-made features on entire site.
492 493		g) As part of this application a waiver was granted to 5.3.2(16) Monuments on all proposed corners.
494		
495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502	3)	Proper and complete survey monumentation shall be installed on the properties as a condition to final approval of the application. Granite bounds shall be set at the intersection of existing or proposed lot sidelines with existing proposed streets. Iron pins (pipe or rod) are to be placed at all property line corners and angles, and all points of curvature and points of tangency. Monuments for the lot being developed shall be placed not more than 300 feet apart in any straight line. The applicant's surveyor shall certify in writing that the bounds and pins have been installed according to the submitted plan. (<i>Reference 8.8 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations</i>)

503 4) Any outstanding fees shall be paid to the Town

5) Final Drawings (a) five sets of black line (b) plus one set of 11"X17" final approved plans (c) one electronic version by pdf or CD must be on file with the Town. Each individual sheet in every set of drawings must be stamped and signed by the land surveyor, engineer, or architect responsible for the plans. Note. If there are significant changes to be made to the plans, as specified above, one full size check print must be sent to the Land Use Office for review prior to producing these final drawings.

510

511 General and Subsequent Conditions

- Current Use subject property or a portion of it is presently in Current Use. The applicant must
 provide the Town of Barrington Assessing Department current use map and/or other items
 needed to assure requirements of RSA-79A and the New Hampshire Department of Revenue
 Administrations Rules are satisfied.
- 516 I wish you the best of luck with your project. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to 517 contact me.
- 518 Sincerely,
- 519 John Huckins

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 4, 2017/ pg. 13 of 14

520 Zoning Administrator

- 521 cc: File
- 522 A motion was made by <u>J. Jennison</u> and seconded by <u>J. Brann</u> to accept the 3 lot subdivision.
- 523 Vote 4/0 1 abstained.
- 524 Brann-Yay
- 525 Ayer-Yay
- 526 Nichols-Yay
- 527 Jennison-Yay
- 528 Diamond-Abstained
- 529

531

533

530 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED

4. Timeline received from The Three Socios-no discussion

534 **<u>REPORTS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES</u>**

535 536

540

537 <u>UNFINISHED BUSINESS</u>538

539 **5.** Discussion of Rules of Procedures

541 Board had discussion on Rules of Procedures and <u>F. Nichols</u> will bring copy of all the changes for the542 April 18, 2017 work-shop.

544 OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE BOARD

545 546

548

543

547 SETTING OF DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

- 549 Next meeting would be April 18, 2017 6:30 p.m. Early Childhood Learning Center
- 550 Meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.
- 551 Respectfully submitted,
- 552
- 553 Barbara Irvine