



MEETING MINUTES
BARRINGTON PLANNING BOARD MEETING
Barrington Annex (next to the Elementary School)
572 Calef Highway
Barrington, NH 03825
Tuesday November 4, 2014
6:30 p.m.

Members Present

Anthony Gaudiello-Chair
Jason Pohopek Vice-Chair
George Calef
Bob Williams *arrived at 6:45 p.m.*

Members Absent

Joshua Bouchard
Jackie Kessler
Dennis Malloy, Ex-officio

Alternate Member Absent
Daniel Ayer

Town Planner: Marcia Gasses

Without objection minutes moved to after item 5.

MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

1. Approval of the October 21, 2014 Meeting Minutes.

A motion was made by G. Calef and seconded by B. Williams to approve the October 21, 2014 meeting minutes. The motion carried unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS

2. [234-1.5-V-14-SR-3.4 & 9.6 \(Applicant: Turbocam, Owner Town of Barrington\)](#) Request by applicant to present a Site Review for the purpose of constructing a building footprint with 26,640 s.f. of industrial space and 6,240 s.f. of office space, 3.4 Conditional Use Permit for a light manufacturing use within the Village District and a 9.6 Special Permit for a 478 s.f. of grading within the 50' wetland buffer on a 3 acre lot located on Redemption Road (Map 234, Lot 1.5) in the Village (V) Zoning District. By: Michael Sievert, P.E.; MJS Engineering, PC; PO Box 359;Newmarket, NH 03857

Request by Applicant to be continued until November 18, 2014

A motion was made by G. Calef and seconded by B. Williams to continue the application to November 18, 2014. The motion carried unanimously.

3. [268-1& Additional Lots –GR-13-SUB \(Gerrior Lane Trust\)](#) Request by applicant to present a Section 9.6 application for Special Permit for Construction in wetland buffer, Subdivide and create 10 lots, construct approximately 990LF of roadway, a shared driveway and realign a portion of Saint Matthews Drive located on Gerrior Lane and Saint Matthews Drive (Map 268, Lots 1, 1.1, -1.6 and 260-70-78) in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. By: Michael Sievert, P.E.; MJS Engineering, P.C.; 5Railroad Street; Newmarket, NH 03857

Mike Sievert explained the easement would increase by 7 acres over the prior approval. The Attorney General had submitted a letter which stated they would take no action.

G. Calef asked that the Board receive clarification.

M. Gasses expressed she would receive clarification from FX Bruton and Town Counsel.

Mike Sievert addressed the request for a 9.6 Permit for 5 impacts.

The first two buffer impacts were on either side of a wetland impact. There were three other impacts without wetland impacts. Mike Sievert explained the new subdivision reduced the impacts significantly. Mr. Sievert described the proposed impacts.

Impact areas 1 & 2 were associated with providing access to lots 65 & 66. Impact area 3 was associated with development for construction of lot 6. Impact area 4 was associated with the construction and grading of the proposed subdivision roadway and large gravel wetland, which would be revegetated. Impact area 5 was associated with roadway construction and grading.

Mike Sievert read from the addendum how the design satisfies the standards of 9.6. They had removed roadway and increased conservation land. The large impact had been minimized as the crossing was for a shared drive instead of the former proposed road.

1. The proposed use is in keeping with the intent and purposes set forth in the zoning ordinance as permitted in the base zoning district.

The residential subdivision and associated roadway, grading, stormwater and erosion control was allowed in the GR District.

2. After review of all reasonable alternatives, it is determined to be infeasible to place the proposed structure or use outside the buffer zone.

The original approval of this phase of the proposed subdivision had several more buffer area impacts and additional wetland impacts. The redesign had significantly minimized the buffer and wetland impacts and eliminated all construction within the area of the vernal pool.

3. The proposed structure or use must be set back as far as possible from the delineated edge of the wetland or surface water.

There are no structures proposed within the buffers but the grading associated with the roads or structures. So structures are set back outside the buffers.

4. Appropriate erosion control measures must be in place prior to and during construction.

The plans include temporary and permanent erosion controls to be in-place prior to, during, and after construction. The plans detail the installation and maintenance of these controls.

5. Any disturbance to the surrounding buffer zone must be repaired and restored upon completion of construction.

The plans call for all areas to be restored with specific grading and proper landscaping and erosion controls.

6. All available mitigation measures to address changes in water quality and quantity be implemented, along with design and construction methods to minimize adverse impacts, if required by the Planning Board.

The design incorporates all stormwater systems and erosion controls to properly manage the quality and quantity of the stormwater generated from the proposed development.

G. Calef expressed the cul-de-sac could be redesigned to avoid impacts.

Mike Sievert explained that reducing the impacts further would require a complete redesign of the cul-de-sac and the design proposed already cut the impacts from the prior approval in half.

J. Pohopek asked if Lot 4 at the end of the cul-de-sac had changed from the original approval.

Mike Sievert explained the road had previously continued.

Mike Sievert expressed how the slopes would be regraded and reseeded.

A. Gaudiello asked if the applicant was doing anything that would augment the buffer impact.

Mike Sievert explained the areas that would be impacted would be subject restoration.

J. Pohopek asked if they had done design work on impact A and buffer impact 1 & 2.

Mike Sievert explained the area was part of the original right of way. At one time the site had been permitted. The original design was much longer.

G. Calef asked about alternative access.

Mike Sievert explained that alternative access from the private portion of Gerrior Drive was not feasible. The lots shown on the private portion of Gerrior Drive already existed and were not part of the subdivision.

A. Gaudiello opened for public comment on the 9.6 impacts.

John Wallace expressed the Conservation Commission had not yet had a chance to comment. The Commission had just recently received a more detailed plan of the impacts. During the site walk they had viewed impacts 1, 2, and 5.

Paul Howes of 67 Homestead Lane asked about mitigation for the impacts caused by the upgrade of Gerrior Drive.

Mike Sievert expressed they would meet with the road agent to look at the issue. It had been part of the comments from Dubois & King.

Paul Howes asked which entity would hold the Conservation Easement.

John Wallace expressed the Town would hold the easement.

Paul Howes asked who would own the property.

John Wallace expressed he believed it would be turned over to the home owners association.

Mike Sievert explained the homeowners would join the existing home owners association and joining would be a requirement listed in their deed as part of the purchase.

A. Gaudiello closed public comment on the 9.6 Permits.

A. Gaudiello expressed that this was a marked improvement over the prior plan.

Mike Sievert expressed that he had submitted the 9.6 application in May and had reduced the impact by over 35,000 square feet. He encouraged the Board not to postpone.

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by B. Williams to continue discussion of the 9.6 Permit Applications to December 2, 2014. The motion carried unanimously.

Mike Sievert described the waiver request for 3' shoulders instead of 6 foot shoulders. He expressed there would only be 8 house lots and a 6' shoulder would increase potential wetland buffer impacts. The shared driveway would be curbed to collect the water. The cul-de-sac still had an 11' lane and enough room for snowplowing.

J. Pohopek asked how wide the shared driveway was.

Mike Sievert expressed 20' paved at the request of the Fire Chief.

J. Pohopek wished to see a comment from the Road Agent or another engineer.

Mike Sievert expressed that the Dubois & King stated in their comments that the applicant needed to request a waiver.

A. Gaudiello opened public comment.

John Wallace asked whether the previous approval included a three foot shoulder.

Mike Sievert stated the previous approval included a three foot shoulder.

A. Gaudiello closed public comment.

A. Gaudiello expressed that 3' shoulders were common and the road only contained 8 lots.

B. Williams believed the engineer would have commented if he was in the negative.

J. Pohopek expressed he would like to see a comment from the Road Agent and the Engineer regarding the waiver request for 3' shoulders.

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by G. Calef to continue the application to December 2, 2014. The motion carried unanimously.

The comments below were part of the staff recommendations:

- The original approval was signed by the Boards designee on September 22, 2005
- Application for modification of Phase II was received on May 15, 2013
- The Technical Review Committee met with the applicant's representatives on June 13, 2013
- Revised plans were received on July 1, 2013
- The application was accepted as complete on July 9, 2013 and has been continued to this point while the applicant hired Counsel to work on modification of the conservation easement area.
- Revised plans were received on July 21, 2014
- Abutters were renotified on July 22, 2014
- The Board scheduled a site walk for August 19, 2014
- The existing building in the right of way must be removed prior to certification of the plans, please label "to be removed"
- Add the Aot Permit number to the plan
- Add the State subdivision approval number to the plan
- The amount and type of performance guarantee must be set prior to final approval of the plan. (Reference 8.3 & 12.8 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations)
- Maintenance easement for shared driveway reviewed by Town Attorney
- Intent to excavate must be filed if more than 1000 cubic yards of material leaves the site
- The applicant must submit a plan for the handling of the gravel and rock on site
- Lots on sheet C2 are numbered incorrectly
- Plan set should include the existing approved layout for reference purposes
- Show wetland buffer on land to be transferred to Conroy, lot line adjustment part of prior approval
- Explain if the shared driveway will be constructed in conjunction with the proposed road construction. The construction of the shared driveway involves a number of drainage structures and should be completed in conjunction with required road improvements.
- Response has not been received to the September 16 review comments by Dubois & King.

- Drafts of all easement language which may need to be revised from the prior approval must be provided to the Land Use Office for review by Town Council
- The Applicant's legal counsel has provided a letter from the Attorney General regarding the Conservation Easement

Staff recommends the Board address the waiver for 3' shoulders where 6' is required and the 9.6 Permit for buffer impacts and continue the application to December 2, 2014

4. [216-8 & 9-GR-14-ANNEX \(Ellen Dorrance & John R. Olson Trust\)](#) Request by applicant to clarify title issues of a lot line adjustment presented to, and conditionally approved by Planning Board in 2004. The application will annex all of Lot 8 (Olson) with Lot 9 (Dorrance) located on New Bow Lake Road and Route 126 on a 34.9 total acre lot (Map 216, Lots 8 & 9)) in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. By: David W. Vincent, Land Surveying Services; Po Box 7418; Rochester, NH 03839-7418

The comments below were part of the staff recommendations:

This plan is to rectify errors made 10 years ago when a Lot Line Revision did not meet precedent conditions and went unsigned. Subsequent land sales and a subdivision occurred without the original Lot Line Revision ever being recorded. Staff has worked with the applicant and the Town's legal counsel to come up with a resolution to the situation, the application before you is what is proposed.

- The application was received by the Land Use Office on August 13, 2014
- Abutter Notice were sent on August 26, 2014
- The Legal Notice appeared in Fosters on August 27, 2014
- The applicant has requested waivers from 5.3.1(6) and 5.3.1(9) specific plan information for existing topography and wetland delineation. Staff suggests the waiver be granted with a note added to the plan as a condition of approval, that any construction on the lot would require delineation of wetlands and their buffers.
- John Scruton, Town Administrator has requested that the Town require a letter be provided from Daniel and Rebecca Butcher stating that they are in agreement with the proposal. This should be a precedent condition of approval.

The applicant must provide authorization from Jon R. Olson Revocable Trust, Cheryl O'Connell Trustee prior to proceeding with review of the application. The waiver for the plan required information should then be addressed. The Board should then vote to accept the application as complete and open the public hearing.

David Vincent explained the existing lot configuration. He explained the original subdivision occurred in 1998. Mr. Olson had agreed to a conservation easement which did not get recorded at the time of the 1998 subdivision. In 2003 a lot line adjustment had been proposed and once again the easement came up for discussion. The 2003 lot line revision never was recorded. Mr. Butcher was somehow able to purchase a lot even though the lot line revision was never recorded. Subsequently a lot was subdivided off Mr. Butcher's lot. In 2009 the conservation easement was recorded. The applicant was now fixing the prior errors. The plan as presented was a lot annexation.

A. Gaudiello asked that the waivers be addressed.

David Vincent explained the waiver request: Article 5.3.1(6) Existing grades and topographic contours at intervals not exceeding two(2) feet with spot elevations where the grade is less than five (5%), and Article 5.3.1(9) Natural features such as streams, marshes, lakes, ponds, rock outcrops, wooded areas, significant trees, and other significant environmental features, including wetland areas as defined in the Zoning Ordinance.

Mr. Vincent expressed the waivers should be granted for the following reasons:

5.5(1) The granting of the waiver will not result in a review that may address impacts that are detrimental to public safety, health or welfare or injurious to other property.

Lot 8, an undeveloped lot is to be merged with Lot 9. No new building lots are being created.

5.5(2) The waiver will not, in any manner, vary the provisions of the Barrington Zoning Ordinance, Master Plan, or Official Maps.

Lot 8, an undeveloped lot is to be merged with Lot 9. No new building lots are being created.

5.5(3) The submittal, upon granting of such waiver(s) may be reviewed in such a fashion as to secure substantially the objectives, standard and requirements of these regulations.

Lot 8, an undeveloped lot is to be merged with Lot 9. No new building lots are being created.

5.5(4) A practical hardship, or unnecessary and unreasonable expense, would result from strict compliance with the foregoing submission regulations that clearly outweighs the benefit of providing the required information.

The purpose of the application was to clarify title issues of a lot line adjustment presented to and conditionally approved by the Planning Board in 2004, in where the terms of the conservation land granted to the Town of Barrington was not completed until 2009.

5.5(5) The purposes of these regulations may be better served to a greater extent by an alternative submittal, or where such submittal information is redundant and clearly unnecessary for the Board to review the application adequately.

The purpose of this application was to clarify title issues of a lot line adjustment presented and conditionally approved by the Planning Board in 2004, in where the terms of the conservation land granted to the Town of Barrington was not completed until 2009.

J. Pohopek raised a concern with the fill being brought into the site and whether the Board should approve the waivers given the fill.

M. Gasses suggested that the question of the fill had just been brought to the Town's attention this evening and she believed that the fill needed to be dealt with separately.

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by G. Calef to approve the waivers for topography and wetland delineation for the reasons stated in the written request. The motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by G. Calef to accept the application as complete. The motion carried unanimously.

A. Gaudiello opened Public Comment.

John Wallace asked if the lot line adjustment had any effect on the recorded Conservation Easement.

M. Gasses expressed it did not affect the parcel of land that contained the Conservation Easement.

M. Gasses read from the draft Notice of Decision



Planning & Land Use Department
Town of Barrington
PO Box 660
333 Calef Highway
Barrington, NH 03825
603.664.0195
barrplan@metrocast.net
barrplan@gmail.com

DRAFT NOTICE OF DECISION

[Office use only]	Date certified:	As built received: N/A	Surety returned: N/A
<i>"Applicant," herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, individual(s), or organization submitting this application and to his/her/its agents, successors, and assigns.</i>			
Proposal Identification: 216-8 & 9-GR-14-ANNEX(Ellen Dorrance & John R. Olson Trust) Request by applicant to clarify title issues of a lot line adjustment presented to, and conditionally approved by the Planning Board in 2004. The application will annex all of Lot 8 (Olson) with Lot 9 (Dorrance) located on New Bow Lake Road and Route 126 on a 34.9 total acre lot (Map 216, Lots * & 9) in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. By: David Vincent Land Surveying Services; PO Box 7418; Rochester, NH 03839-7418			

Applicant: Ellen J. Dorrance 95 New Bow Lake Road Barrington, NH 03825 John R. Olson Revocable Trust, Cheryl O'Connell, Trustee 22 Hammond Street Rowley, MA 01969	Dated: , 2014
---	---------------

Dear applicant:

This is to inform you that the Barrington Planning Board at its XXX, 2014 meeting **APPROVED** your application referenced above.

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to the plans being certified by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work or recording of any plans. Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final.

Please Note* If all of the precedent conditions are not met within 6 months to the day, **by , 2015**, the Boards approval will be considered to have lapsed, unless a mutually agreeable extension has been granted by the Board.

Conditions Precedent

- 1) a) Add the owners signature to the final plan
- 2) Add the following plan notes:
 - a) Waivers were granted from specific plan requirements 5.3.1(6) and 5.3.1(9)
 - b) Any further construction on site would require wetland & buffer delineation of the site.
- 3) Provide a letter from Daniel and Rebecca Butcher stating they are in agreement with the proposal. (provided 11/4/2014)
- 4) Revise note 3.) to read General Residential Zoning District
- 5) ~~The applicant must provide written confirmation from Daniel and Rebecca Butcher of 31 New Bow Lake Road that they are in agreement with the proposal. Duplicate condition~~
- 6#) Any outstanding fees shall be paid to the Town, please contact the Land Use Office for additional recording fees if any
- 7) For lot line adjustments and annexations the applicant shall submit to the Land Use Office a copy of the signed and notarized deed which will effect the conveyance of the subject property before the plat is certified by the Planning Board Chair. Once the plat is certified the deed must be recorded simultaneously with the plat.
- 8) Final drawings. (a) Five sets of large black line plus (c) one set of 11"x17" final approved plans plus (d) one electronic version by pdf or CD must be on file with the Town. Each individual sheet in every set of drawings must be stamped and signed by the land surveyor, engineer, or architect responsible for the plans. (The applicant need only submit additional black line sets of drawings or individual sheets, as needed, to make three complete sets consult the Planning Department.) Note. If there are significant changes to be made to the plans, as specified above, one full size paper check print must be sent to the Planning Department for review prior to producing these final drawings.

Subsequent Conditions

1)# ~~Current Use~~ subject property or a portion of it is presently in Current Use. The applicant ~~must provide the Town of Barrington Assessing Department a revised current use map and/or any other items needed to assure that the requirements of RSA 79-A and the New Hampshire Department of Revenue's Administrative Rules are satisfied. The parcel is not in current use.~~

(Note: in both sections above, the numbered condition marked with a # and all conditions below the # are standard conditions on all or most applications of this type).

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by B. Williams to approve the application with the conditions precedent and subsequent as read into the record.

Roll Call Vote

*B. Williams aye
G. Calef aye
J. Pohopek aye
A. Gaudiello aye*

The motion carried 4-0

5. [249-2-GR-14-Sub\(Barry & Angela Carmichael and Carl J. Bodge\)](#) Request by the applicant for an 2 lot subdivision, a waiver from Articles 5.3.1(6),5.3.1(9),5.5(1-5) on a 67.9 acre lot on Beauty Hill Road and Young Road in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. BY: David W. Vincent, LLS, Land Surveying Services; PO Box 7418; Rochester, NH 03867

- Application was received on October 15, 2014
- Abutter notices were sent October 23, 2014
- The notice appeared in Fosters on October 24, 2014

Staff recommends the Board address the waivers for wetland delineation and topography for the entire site first. If the waivers are granted the Board can accept the application as complete and open the public hearing. A draft Notice of Decision is below for the Board's review.

David Vincent represented the applicant. He described the subdivision request. There was no wetland or special use permits required. The entire parcel was 67.9 acres. Test pits had been conducted on both lots. The proposed lot would be 3 acres with 200' of frontage. Topography was done on the area which would define a buildable area under the regulations. Wetland delineation was done, a wetland existed on one side of the parcel, the proposed driveway was located on the opposite side. Wetland buffers did apply. State subdivision approval had been regarded for lot 2-1.

M. Gasses expressed she had spoken to Peter Cook, Road Agent and the applicant would need to apply for a driveway permit although he had not seen any issues with the proposed location.

David Vincent expressed there was more than 400' of sight distance and the location was in the straight portion of Beauty Hill Road.

A. Gaudiello asked that Mr. Vincent explain the waiver requests.

David Vincent explained that the waivers were for topography and wetlands on the remaining land. There were no wetland impacts or special permits required. There was more than enough area delineated

for lot requirements. The waiver request was from Article 5.3.1(6) topography and Article 5.3.1(9) wetlands.

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by G. Calef to approve the waiver requests as submitted. The motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by G. Calef to accept the application as complete. The motion carried unanimously.

A. Gaudiello opened public comment.

Mr. Olivier of Beauty Hill Road asked for clarification. He wanted to be clear the application was for the one 3 acre parcel.

John Wallace asked where the snowmobile trail was.

David Vincent explained the snowmobile trail hugged the stone wall. He went on to explain that it would be the snowmobile clubs responsibility to contact the property owner.

A. Gaudiello closed public comment

M. Gasses read the draft Notice of Decision.



Planning & Land Use Department
Town of Barrington
PO Box 660
333 Calef Highway
Barrington, NH 03825
603.664.0195
barrplan@metrocast.net
barrplan@gmail.com

DRAFT
NOTICE OF DECISION

[Office use only]	Date certified:	As builts received:	Surety returned
<i>"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, individual(s), or organization submitting this application and to his/her/its agents, successors, and assigns.</i>			
Proposal Identification: 249-2-GR-14-Sub (Barry & Angela Carmichael and Carl J. Bodge) Request by the applicant for a 2 lot subdivision, a waiver from Articles 5.3.1(6),5.3.1(9),5.5(1-5) on a 67.9 acre lot on Beauty Hill Road and Young Road in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. By: David W. Vincent, LLS, Land Surveying Services; PO Box 7418; Rochester, NH 03867			

Applicant: Barry & Angela Carmichael Carl J. Bodge 508 Beauty Hill Road Barrington, NH 03825	Dated: , 2014
--	---------------

Dear applicant:

This is to inform you that the Barrington Planning Board at its , 2014 meeting **APPROVED** your application referenced above.

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to the plans being certified by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work or recording of any plans. Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final.

Please Note* If all of the precedent conditions are not met within 6 calendar months to the day,XXX , **2015**, the Boards approval will be considered to have lapsed, unless a mutually agreeable extension has been granted by the Board. *Reference 8.23 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations*

Conditions Precedent

- 1 a) Add the owners signature to the final plan
b) Add the wetland scientist stamp & signature to the final plan
- 2) ~~The applicant's surveyor shall certify in writing that the bounds and pins have been installed according to the plans.~~
- 3) Correct Note #5 to read, General Residential Zoning District
- 4) Add the following plan notes:
 - a) Add the State Subdivision Approval number to the plan
 - b) *Waivers granted from Article 5.3.1(6)Topography and 5.3.1(9) Wetlands Delineation on entire parcel*
- #5) Any outstanding fees shall be paid to the Town
- 6) Proper and complete survey monumentation shall be installed on the properties as a condition to final approval of the application. Granite bounds shall be set at the intersection of existing or proposed lot sidelines with existing or proposed streets. Iron pins (pipe or rod) are to be placed at all property line corners and angles, and all points of curvature and points of tangency. Monuments for the lot being developed shall be placed not more than 300 feet apart in any straight line. The applicant's surveyor shall certify in writing that the bounds and pins have been installed according to *Article 8.8 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations*
- 7) Final Drawings (a) three sets of large black line (b) plus one set of 11"X17" final approved plans (c) one electronic version by pdf or CD must be on file with the Town. Each individual sheet in every set of drawings must be stamped and signed by the land surveyor, engineer, or architect responsible for the plans. Note. If there are significant

changes to be made to the plans, as specified above, one full size check print must be sent to the Land Use Office for review prior to producing these final drawings.

General and Subsequent Conditions

- #1) Other permits. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals, which may be required as, part of this project. Contact the Town of Barrington Code Enforcement Department at 664-5183 regarding building permits.

- 2) Current Use subject property or a portion of it is presently in Current Use. The applicant must provide the Town of Barrington Assessing Department a revised current use map and/or other items needed to assure that the requirements of RSA-79A and the New Hampshire Department of Revenue's Administrative Rules are satisfied.

(Note: in both sections above, the numbered condition marked with a # and all conditions below the # are standard conditions on all or most applications of this type).

A motion was made by J. Pohopek and seconded by B. Williams to approve the application as read into the record.

Roll Call Vote

<i>B. Williams</i>	<i>aye</i>
<i>G. Calef</i>	<i>aye</i>
<i>J. Pohopek</i>	<i>aye</i>
<i>A. Gaudiello</i>	<i>aye</i>

The motion carried 4-0

COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED

REPORTS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE BOARD

SETTING OF DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

November 18, 2014 6:30 p.m. Elementary School Annex

Without objection the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,

Marcia J. Gasses
Town Planner & Land Use Administrator